



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY

Your Partner For A Stronger Arizona

Janice K. Brewer
Governor

Clarence H. Carter
Director

OCT 01 2014

Mr. John Arnold
Director
Governor's Office of Strategic Planning and Budgeting
1700 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Mr. Arnold:

Please find the enclosed Department of Economic Security's Annual Title XIX Reimbursement Rate Study reporting the adequacy and appropriateness of Title XIX reimbursement rates to the Division of Developmental Disabilities service providers, in accordance with A.R.S. § 36-2959:

The department shall contract with an independent consulting firm for an annual study of the adequacy and appropriateness of title XIX reimbursement rates to service providers for the developmental disabled program of both the Arizona long-term care system and the state only program. The consultant shall also include a recommendation for annual inflationary costs. Unless modified in response to federal or state law, the independent consulting firm shall include, in its recommendation, costs arising from amendments to existing contracts. The department may require, and the department's contracted providers shall provide, financial data to the department in the format prescribed by the department to assist in the study. A complete study of reimbursement rates shall be completed no less than once every five years.

If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Larry L. Latham, Assistant Director, Division of Developmental Disabilities, at (602) 542-6857.

Sincerely,

Clarence H. Carter
Director

Enclosure

cc: Members of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Richard Stavneak, Director, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Thomas Betlach, AHCCCS Director
Beth Kohler Lazare, AHCCCS Deputy Director
Virginia Rountree, ALTCS Manager

Burns & Associates, Inc.

3030 North Third Street
Suite 200
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Health Policy Consultants

Phone (602) 241-8520
Fax (602) 241-8529

September 19, 2014

Mr. Clarence H. Carter, Director
Department of Economic Security
1717 West Jefferson
Phoenix, AZ 85005

Dear Mr. Carter:

The Division of Developmental Disabilities is required under A.R.S. 36-2959¹ to conduct an annual analysis of the rates it pays to its home and community based service providers. The Division has asked Burns & Associates, Inc. to examine the “adequacy and appropriateness” of the reimbursement rates for SFY 2015.² The intent of this letter is to satisfy that request.

Based on the criteria we have used in our annual evaluations since 2010, i.e. that the rates will allow the Division to maintain a sufficient number, mix, and geographic distribution of providers and services to serve the Division’s enrolled members, we believe the rates for SFY 2015 are *generally* adequate and appropriate. We have found that in SFY 2014 the Division served more users, provided more units of service, and generally delivered more units of service per user compared to both SFY 2009 and to SFY 2013. We do note that the overall decline in the number of providers that has been observed since SFY 2009 has continued in SFY 2013, but this decline does not appear to have impacted services to enrolled members.

We indicate that the rates are only *generally* adequate and appropriate based on this sufficiency criterion because we have some concerns relating to the metrics associated with our evaluation of therapy services.

Since the rates paid to providers were recently rebased according to another provision of A.R.S. 36-2959, we also examined the rates based on the criteria we had applied in our evaluations prior to 2010, i.e. the ratio of the Division’s “adopted rates” to the “benchmark rates”. The result of this examination leads us to conclude that there are serious deficiencies in the rates for some services.

¹ Section 36-2959 subsection A reads in part: The department shall contract with an independent consulting firm for an annual study of the adequacy and appropriateness of title XIX reimbursement rates to service providers for the developmentally disabled program of both the Arizona long-term care system and the state only program. The consultant shall also include a recommendation for annual inflationary costs. Unless modified in response to federal or state law, the independent consulting firm shall include, in its recommendation, costs arising from amendments to existing contracts.

² Burns & Associates has also assisted the Division in the development of reimbursement rates for selected home and community based services, both as a separate firm and as part of the Consultant Work Group.

In reviewing the adopted rate ratios for SFY 2015 based upon the recently rebased benchmark rates, we find five of the top ten services have ratios that are below 80%. These relatively low adopted rate ratios raise obvious concerns about the quality of services providers are capable of delivering and therefore indicate that the SFY 2015 rates for these services may not be adequate and appropriate.

We present our analysis of the Division's rates in the following seven discussions: criteria used in the examination, introduction to the network analysis, users analysis, payment analysis, provider analysis, a special examination of therapy and nursing services, and the adopted rate ratio analysis. Following the discussions is our summary, and accompanying this letter is an Analysis Package that contains additional data from both a Total Funds and a Title XIX perspective.

Criteria Used in the Examination

The Arizona statute that directs the Division to perform the annual examination of the rates paid to community service providers contains the standard of *adequate and appropriate* but offers no definition of the phrase.

Since 2010 we have used the federal criteria contained in Section 1932 of the Social Security Act and its associated regulation in 42 CFR 438.206 to test the "adequacy and appropriateness" of the service network, and thereby test the sufficiency of the Division's reimbursement rates. We believe this criteria is appropriate because the Division is a Medicaid Managed Care Organization (MCO) and these two federal standards contain the requirements for Medicaid MCOs to maintain a sufficient number, mix, and geographic distribution of providers and services to serve their enrolled members.

To measure the adequacy and appropriateness of the Division's rates under the Section 1932 standard, we examine the number of clients receiving services, the units of services delivered, and the number of providers delivering services. These metrics are compared to both the previous year and to a base year of 2009, the year before the Division started reducing its rates because of the Great Recession.

Prior to 2010, and in fulfillment of the Arizona five year rebasing requirement, we were guided by different federal criteria, those contained in Section 1902(a)(30)(a). These federal requirements – which are applicable to Medicaid state plan services – specify that "payments are consistent with efficiency, economy, and quality of care and are sufficient to enlist enough providers so that care and services are available under the plan at least to the extent that such care and services are available to the general population in the geographic area".

When the Section 1902 standards were employed for the annual adequate and appropriate examination, we examined the ratio of the Division's adopted rates (the rates actually paid to providers) to the Division's benchmark rates (the rates established by the Division through the rate setting process). To a large extent this approach to measuring adequacy and appropriateness was guided by the then prevailing direction of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals that required Medicaid agencies to rely on cost studies to determine whether reimbursement rates "bear a

reasonable relationship to efficient and economical [providers'] costs of providing quality services”.

We abandoned the Section 1902 requirement as the criteria for the annual evaluation in 2010 for at least three reasons: 1) inasmuch as the Division is a Medicaid MCO, the Section 1932 criteria is the applicable criteria; 2) the direction from the Ninth Circuit regarding the use of cost studies was overturned by the U.S. Supreme Court, and; 3) the benchmark rates as they existed in 2009 were derived prior to the onset of the Great Recession.

With respect to this latter point, we observed in our 2009 report:

The [then current] benchmark rates are not appropriate during these economic times, and therefore the relatively low ratios observed are not prima facie indicators of inadequacy or inappropriateness. The benchmark rates were not developed in the context of the worst economic downturn in eighty years and the rates should not serve as an inoculation for the Division’s providers against the effects of the current recession. It is not unreasonable to assume that the benchmark rates are constructed based on both policy aspirations and cost structures that are currently inappropriate.

In our 2010 letter we stated:

We believe that in order to restore the adopted rate ratio to a valid metric for the evaluation of the appropriateness and adequacy of the rates, a new set of rate models and benchmark rates would have to be established by the Division.

While maintaining our position that the Section 1932 standard is the appropriate standard to evaluate the adequacy and appropriateness of the Division’s rates, we also believe that the results of an examination of the Division’s rates according to the Section 1902 standard is informative in reaching a conclusion for the annual evaluation. The Section 1902 evaluation (the adopted rate ratio) is particularly informative for this year’s examination since the Division just recently concluded its statutorily mandated rebasing project to reset the benchmark rates.

The rate rebasing project is performed once every five years and is a more comprehensive examination of the provider rates than is the annual examination. The rebasing project reviews the definitions of the services the Division wishes to purchase, examines the likely cost to providers to deliver those services, and reviews alignment of the Division’s (and the State’s) policy goals associated with the definition, the cost, and the desired outcomes of those services.

This letter therefore contains evaluations of the Division’s rates under both the Section 1932 standard – the network adequacy standard, which we regard as the primary evaluation standard – and the Section 1902 standard – the adopted rate ratio standard. The preponderance of the letter addresses evaluation of the Division’s network and then presents a brief discussion of our findings under the adopted rate ratio evaluation.

Introduction to the Network Analysis

In evaluating the Division's provider network we compared the SFY 2014 users, payments, and provider data to both a baseline year (SFY 2009) and to the previous year (SFY 2013). SFY 2009 was selected as the baseline year because it largely preceded the first of the two rate reductions that the Division implemented: in May of 2009 the Division reduced rates by 10% and in October of 2011 an additional 5% reduction was put into place. More recently, the Division received an appropriation that allowed it to raise rates by 2% beginning April 2013 and again by 3% beginning July 2013. Additionally, there was a 2% rate increase appropriated for services delivered beginning July 2014.

The objective of our analysis is to measure changes in the number and amount of services delivered, as well as the number of providers delivering services. Having these multiple years of data affords our analysis greater context when assessing the service delivery network's sufficiency. The evaluation is based on the Division's claims files for SFYs 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014. These files include the claims of all contracted service providers. The particular files used in the analysis were generated in the month of August following each fiscal year and included all fiscal year claims except for a small number of "lagged" claims that had yet to be billed.

This year we have adjusted our processing of the claims to present our findings in new service groupings. The Division requested a redefinition of service codes to better distinguish between qualified vendors and independent vendors, to accumulate similar services into more generic groupings, to separate institutional services from the previous miscellaneous service grouping, and to streamline the overall coding system. These changes caused us to update our processing methodology. During the methodology update we included certain other changes including our treatment of "zero pay" claims. This refinement allows us to account for the number of units delivered for which no "payment" was made by the Division either because the cost of the services were covered by appropriation (services delivered by state personnel) or were offset through the third party liability process.

We have recast all of our previously reported data with these new definitions and methodologies and have included crosswalks depicting the changes from the old to the new processing in the Analysis Package accompanying this letter.

Among the more notable changes in the reported data was a shift of reported results from qualified vendors to independent vendors, changes of day treatment program results from the group setting to individual settings, the roll-up of room and board into just two categories – group home and developmental homes, and the breakout of ICF/IDD and nursing facility costs. Overall there was a minimal amount of gain or loss in any particular year.

As in past years the claims data was used to derive the following measures for each service for each fiscal year for comparison in this network analysis:

- The number of unique providers billing the service
- The number of unique users receiving the service
- The number of units

- The amount of payments
- The average rate paid by the Division
- The average units provided to each user receiving the service
- The average amount paid for each user receiving the service
- The average units billed by the providers supplying the service
- The average payment to providers supplying the service

We note that there are six in-home services that the Division permits “independent” providers to provide to its users. These independent providers have no employees and are employed by the individual receiving the services. These independent providers must submit claims through a fiscal agent that pays the claims, then the fiscal agent submits the claims to the Division. In our analysis we did not count nor track the number of independent providers, although we did count and track the number of users served, the units provided, and the amount of payments to these providers through the fiscal agent.

A summary of the data reviewed from the claims files is presented in the following Table:

Summary Results – All Fund Sources

SFY	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average Units per User	Average Dollars per User	Average Units per Provider	Average Dollars per Provider
2014	667	27,787	32,734,689	\$777,956,802	\$23.77	1,178	\$27,996	49,077	\$1,166,307
2013	706	26,791	30,582,944	\$696,681,386	\$22.78	1,142	\$26,004	43,323	\$986,801
2012	735	26,414	29,865,130	\$678,958,896	\$22.73	1,131	\$25,705	40,633	\$923,754
2011	803	25,675	28,930,744	\$681,780,001	\$23.57	1,127	\$26,554	36,028	\$849,041
2010	951	25,436	27,822,468	\$660,896,422	\$23.75	1,094	\$25,983	29,256	\$694,949
2009	1,081	24,581	26,059,050	\$691,171,563	\$26.52	1,060	\$28,118	24,106	\$639,382

The themes revealed in this summary results Table are repeated throughout the analysis:

- There has been a steady decline from year to year in number of providers
- There has been an increase from year to year in:
 - The number of individuals receiving services
 - The number of units delivered
 - The average units per user
 - The average units per provider
 - The average dollars per provider
- Payments overall jumped \$81.2 million or 11.7% from SFY 2013 to SFY 2014. While this is the largest single year change in the time horizon presented, it is largely explained by the 3.7% growth in the user population, the 3.2% growth in the average units of service per user, and the aforementioned 5% rate increase granted by the Legislature.
- Over the time period presented, overall average rates declined from year to year until bottoming out in SFY 2012 and then rising (slightly) in SFY 2013 (when the first of the recent rate increases were put into place) and more substantially in SFY 2014.

The accompanying Analysis Package presents detailed tabular information for both total funds and Title XIX funds for all services and for the ten largest services in each of the six years reviewed. The balance of the information presented in this letter concerning network adequacy concentrates on the Division’s largest ten services measured in terms of SFY 2014 total funds payments, as well as a targeted review of therapy and nursing services.

Many of the following Tables identify services by the Division’s service codes. A translation of the ten largest service codes is presented in the Table below. A complete translation of all the Division’s service codes is contained in the Analysis Package.

Service Code Definitions

Service Code	Description	Unit Type
HABQV	Habilitation, Group Home, Qualified Vendor	Day
HAHQV	Habilitation, Support, Qualified Vendor	Hour
RSPQV	Respite, Hourly, Qualified Vendor	Hour
DTA	Day Treatment & Training, Adult	Hour
ATCQV	Attendant Care, Qualified Vendor	Hour
HBA	Habilitation, Vendor Supported Dev. Home, Adult	Day
NURC	Nursing, Continuous	Hour
DTI	Day Treatment & Training, Intense	Hour
RRBQV	Room/Board, Group Home	Day
HIDQV	Habilitation, IDLA, Daily, Qualified Vendor	Day

The top ten SFY 2014 services represent more than 77% of the units and approximately 80% of the payments for the Division’s services.

The following Table presents the ten largest Division services ranked on the basis of SFY 2014 expenditures. The list is practically unchanged from our last review, though the individual rankings may have changed. However, due to the modification of the coding system, the Other service category that was on the list last year has been replaced with Day Treatment – Intensive (DTI) this year.

With the change in the claims processing discussed previously, the ICF/IDD and Nursing Facility services were removed from the Other category. Since ICF expenditures amounted to over \$13.7 million and NF expenditures totaled over \$2.4 million, the Other category of services fell out of the top ten.

Just as the new processing methodology decreased the amount of Other expenditures, it increased the amount of Day Treatment – Intensive (DTI) expenditures. While there has been a dramatic rise in DTI over the time period in absolute terms (as will be shown in subsequent Tables), the new processing methodology shifted a significant amount of expenditures over the last few years from Day Treatment & Training, Adult (DTA) to the Day Treatment – Intensive.

The Top Ten Table also highlights that the Group Home (HABQV) service is the largest service in the Division’s service array, pays the highest average rate (of the top ten services), and generates the highest average dollar payment to providers. Group Home services, when

combined with Room and Board (RRBQV) represents just over 29%³ of all Division payments while serving approximately 10% of the Division's users.

**Top Ten Service Codes by Payments – Total Funds
SFY 2014**

Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average Units per User	Average Dollars per User	Average Units per Provider	Average Dollars per Provider
HABQV	89	2,732	891,519	\$206,598,459	\$231.74	326	\$75,622	10,017	\$2,321,331
HAHQV	190	10,205	4,121,621	\$76,253,438	\$18.50	404	\$7,472	21,693	\$401,334
RSPQV	211	14,581	5,320,492	\$74,695,009	\$14.04	365	\$5,123	25,216	\$354,005
DTA	143	5,599	6,765,168	\$64,671,020	\$9.56	1,208	\$11,550	47,309	\$452,245
ATCQV	168	3,917	3,920,700	\$56,843,957	\$14.50	1,001	\$14,512	23,338	\$338,357
HBA	35	1,162	378,638	\$37,882,312	\$100.05	326	\$32,601	10,818	\$1,082,352
NURC	17	658	956,266	\$33,644,554	\$35.18	1,453	\$51,132	56,251	\$1,979,091
DTI	134	4,992	1,735,189	\$29,730,252	\$17.13	348	\$5,956	12,949	\$221,868
RRBQV	89	2,727	914,472	\$20,744,188	\$22.68	335	\$7,607	10,275	\$233,081
HIDQV	49	303	94,202	\$20,445,595	\$217.04	311	\$67,477	1,922	\$417,257
Sub-Total			25,128,413	\$621,880,772	\$24.75				
TOTAL	667	27,787	32,734,689	\$777,956,802	\$23.77	1,178	\$27,997	49,077	\$1,166,352

As we have done in our previous reviews, we present a series of Tables that compare the year in review to the previous fiscal years. The Tables are divided into user, payment, and finally, provider aspects of the data.

Users Analysis

The following Tables present information relating to users: the number of users receiving each of the top ten services over the review period, the number of units delivered, and the average number of units per user that received services.

**Number of Users Receiving Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Users						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	2,569	2,600	2,582	2,624	2,645	2,732	3.3%	6.3% *
HAHQV	7,363	7,958	8,461	9,030	9,671	10,205	5.5%	38.6%
RSPQV	9,650	10,920	12,015	12,944	13,616	14,581	7.1%	51.1%
DTA	4,037	4,368	4,611	4,963	5,300	5,599	5.6%	38.7%
ATCQV	2,724	2,833	3,000	3,215	3,503	3,917	11.8%	43.8%
HBA	586	739	844	968	1,078	1,162	7.8%	98.3% *
NURC	484	514	545	571	598	658	10.0%	36.0%
DTI	1,016	1,279	1,395	1,512	2,807	4,992	77.8%	391.3%
RRBQV	2,529	2,562	2,533	2,587	2,613	2,727	4.4%	7.8%
HIDQV	293	292	295	287	295	303	2.7%	3.4%
TOTAL	24,581	25,436	25,675	26,414	26,791	27,787	3.7%	13.0%

³ The Payments for RRBQV were reduced by \$677,745 for community protection and medical group home room & board payments. As a result, HABQV and RRBQV combine to total \$226,694,902 or 29.1% of the \$777,956,802 of total expenditures.

In this Table the Total is an unduplicated count of users of all of the Division's services. The Table illustrates the increase over the period in the number of users receiving services from the Division's provider network: an overall growth of 13% since SFY 2009 and a 3.7% growth in just the past year. The most used service is respite with over half of the Division's service users using this service in SFY 2014.

We note the services of HABQV and HBA with an asterisk (*) to highlight two areas where a particular strategy of the Division is focused. For Group Home (HABQV), the Division has instituted an intensive utilization management process of this, one of the Division's most expensive placements. As a result, the long term growth of placements in the service has been constrained. For Vendor Supported Developmental Homes (HBA), the Division has employed a strategy to emphasize the use of this placement when residential services are required. We highlight the change over time in these two services as observable evidence of these management efforts.

For the 2009 to 2014 time period, all of the top ten services experienced increases in users served, ranging from 3.4% in Independent Living (HIDQV) to 391% in Day Treatment - Intensive (DTI). We believe this recent growth in users in Day Treatment- Intensive (DTI) is cause for note and further Division review.

The most striking phenomena illustrated in the '09 to '14 percentage change column of the Table is the number of services that have added users at a substantially greater rate than the overall user population growth – seven of the ten services are growing at a rate faster than the overall population of users. This is also true for the change between SFY 2013 to SFY 2014 where eight of the ten services are growing faster than the overall user population from year to year. We believe this indicates that most users are able to access these top ten services through the Division's service provider network.

The next Table illustrates the total number of units provided through the Division's network. As was the case with the user Table above, the number of units delivered through the provider network has increased each year, with an overall 25.6% more units being delivered in SFY 2014 than in SFY 2009.

**Number of Units Provided for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Units						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	848,497	857,671	865,989	869,110	877,108	891,519	1.6%	5.1%*
HAHQV	3,243,619	3,488,826	3,606,332	3,756,419	3,902,427	4,121,621	5.6%	27.1%
RSPQV	4,043,865	4,857,846	5,422,190	5,403,100	4,979,264	5,320,492	6.9%	31.6%
DTA	4,699,678	5,127,189	5,500,563	5,860,164	6,273,138	6,765,168	7.8%	43.9%
ATCQV	2,720,623	2,797,685	2,928,078	3,125,468	3,394,795	3,920,700	15.5%	44.1%
HBA	176,377	222,112	267,411	301,059	341,915	378,638	10.7%	114.7%*
NURC	688,850	765,528	821,353	819,476	863,892	956,266	10.7%	38.8%
DTI	1,162,250	1,181,463	1,251,131	1,391,581	1,553,594	1,735,189	11.7%	49.3%
RRBQV	860,483	868,231	875,103	882,581	896,683	914,472	2.0%	6.3%
HIDQV	92,581	94,811	95,283	93,720	92,509	94,202	1.8%	1.8%
TOTAL	26,059,050	27,822,468	28,930,744	29,865,130	30,582,944	32,734,689	7.0%	25.6%

For this Table we note that although the 114% increase over the period in Developmental Home – Adult (HBA) is dramatic, it parallels the rise in users and is in accordance with the Division’s strategy for higher utilization of Vendor Supported Developmental Home services.

Having examined the history of both users and units delivered, the following Table combines these indicators in a presentation of Average Units per User.

**Average Units per User for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Average Units per User						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	330	330	335	331	332	326	-1.6%	-1.2%
HAHQV	441	438	426	416	404	404	0.1%	-8.3%
RSPQV	419	445	451	417	366	365	-0.2%	-12.9%
DTA	1,164	1,174	1,193	1,181	1,184	1,208	2.1%	3.8%
ATCQV	999	988	976	972	969	1,001	3.3%	0.2%
HBA	301	301	317	311	317	326	2.7%	8.3%
NURC	1,423	1,489	1,507	1,435	1,445	1,453	0.6%	2.1%
DTI	1,144	924	897	920	553	348	-37.2%	-69.6%
RRBQV	340	339	345	341	343	335	-2.3%	-1.4%
HIDQV	316	325	323	327	314	311	-0.9%	-1.6%
TOTAL	1,060	1,094	1,127	1,131	1,142	1,178	3.2%	11.1%

This Table illustrates the ability of the provider network to respond to the increase in the number of users and deliver more units of service per user. Overall, we see an increase in the average units per user in both the near term (3.2% from '13 to '14) and in the longer term (11.1% from '09 to '14).

Although the long term system performance saw an 11.1% overall increase in the number of units provided per user, there were six services with negative growth, five of which had declines between SFY 2013 to SFY 2014 (HABQV, RSPQV, RRBQV, HIDQV and DTI). As HABQV, HIDQV and RRBQV are daily services and authorized for a full year, we don’t believe these

variances translate to system impacting issues and reflect the changes in users' situations from year to year for a variety of reasons, ranging from hospitalizations to family vacations.

We believe the substantial decline in units per user in Day Treatment – Intensive (DTI) is related to the dramatic increase in the number of users receiving DTI. It appears that although there are many more users receiving the service, these new users are receiving it in lesser quantities than previous users.

We also note that the long term decline in the average amount of Respite (RSPQV) delivered is largely a function of an AHCCCS policy change that reduced the annual number of Respite hours available to a member per year from 720 to 600, beginning in October of 2011.

Lastly we note the marked increase in the use of Attendant Care-Qualified Vendor (ATCQV) over the last year. While this cumulative change over the six year review period is indeed small, it marks a relatively large one year increase (3.3%) and reverses a previously declining trend.

Payment Analysis

The following Tables contain information regarding the total payments for the top ten service categories.

**Total Payments for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Payments (and Rate Changes by Year)						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009 1/10 Yr (-10%)	2010	2011 3/4 Yr (-5%)	2012	2013 1/4 Yr (+2%)	2014 All Yr (+3%)		
HABQV	\$195,879,599	\$182,051,576	\$185,645,384	\$183,583,883	\$189,636,819	\$206,598,459	8.9%	5.5%
HAHQV	\$65,486,998	\$64,086,093	\$66,301,086	\$66,524,588	\$68,586,489	\$76,253,438	11.2%	16.4%
RSPQV	\$61,643,020	\$67,628,745	\$75,530,969	\$72,655,562	\$66,362,664	\$74,695,009	12.6%	21.2%
DTA	\$49,283,277	\$48,872,459	\$52,326,448	\$53,653,187	\$56,919,789	\$64,671,020	13.6%	31.2%
ATCQV	\$42,936,289	\$40,156,639	\$42,073,144	\$43,310,038	\$46,752,349	\$56,843,957	21.6%	32.4%
HBA	\$19,248,478	\$22,047,405	\$26,560,238	\$28,843,759	\$32,517,514	\$37,882,312	16.5%	96.8%
NURC	\$26,334,359	\$26,597,233	\$28,579,641	\$27,411,070	\$28,915,719	\$33,644,554	16.4%	27.8%
DTI	\$19,076,629	\$18,221,621	\$19,588,625	\$21,287,990	\$24,048,936	\$29,730,252	23.6%	55.8%
RRBQV	\$20,005,436	\$18,295,753	\$18,349,781	\$18,521,668	\$18,994,976	\$20,744,188	9.2%	3.7%
HIDQV	\$23,159,771	\$20,770,194	\$21,083,749	\$19,885,157	\$19,054,101	\$20,445,595	7.3%	-11.7%
TOTAL	\$691,171,563	\$660,896,422	\$681,780,001	\$678,958,896	\$696,681,386	\$777,956,802	11.7%	12.6%

The total payment Table above illustrates the payments made for the top ten services and total services for the last six fiscal years. Overall, payments for SFY 2014 increased 11.7% from the previous year and increased 12.6% over the six year review period. The increase of more than \$81 million in payments for SFY 2014 is partly due to rate increases of 2% in April 2013 and 3% in July 2013.

This Table illustrates that most of the top ten services had payment growth that is faster than the overall average. Between SFY 2013 and SFY 2014 six of the top ten services had payments growing faster than the overall average, and over the review period, seven of the top ten grew faster than the overall rate. As we noted earlier, since over 70% of the Divisions payments is

among these top ten services, the accelerated growth of these services appears to be pulling the total payments and, correspondingly, the rate of change higher. This situation also emphasizes the importance of the Division’s strategy of carefully reviewing Group Home placements; without this strategy the overall growth of the Division’s expenditures would be substantially higher.

The following Table presents the Average Rate by service over the time period.

**Average Rate for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Average Rate						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009 1/10Yr (-10%)	2010	2011 3/4Yr (-5%)	2012	2013 1/4Yr (+2%)	2014 All Yr (+3%)		
HABQV	\$230.85	\$212.26	\$214.37	\$211.23	\$216.21	\$231.74	7.2%	0.4%
HAHQV	\$20.19	\$18.37	\$18.38	\$17.71	\$17.58	\$18.50	5.3%	-8.4%
RSPQV	\$15.24	\$13.92	\$13.93	\$13.45	\$13.33	\$14.04	5.3%	-7.9%
DTA	\$10.49	\$9.53	\$9.51	\$9.16	\$9.07	\$9.56	5.4%	-8.8%
ATCQV	\$15.78	\$14.35	\$14.37	\$13.86	\$13.77	\$14.50	5.3%	-8.1%
HBA	\$109.13	\$99.26	\$99.32	\$95.81	\$95.10	\$100.05	5.2%	-8.3%
NURC	\$38.23	\$34.74	\$34.80	\$33.45	\$33.47	\$35.18	5.1%	-8.0%
DTI	\$16.41	\$15.42	\$15.66	\$15.30	\$15.48	\$17.13	10.7%	4.4%
RRBQV	\$23.25	\$21.07	\$20.97	\$20.99	\$21.18	\$22.68	7.1%	-2.4%
HIDQV	\$250.16	\$219.07	\$221.28	\$212.18	\$205.97	\$217.04	5.4%	-13.2%
TOTAL	\$26.52	\$23.75	\$23.57	\$22.73	\$22.78	\$23.77	4.3%	-10.4%

The service network saw rate increases of 2% beginning April 2013 and 3% beginning July 2013. The service network earlier saw rate reductions in May 2009 and in October 2011 totaling a nominal 15%. The overall rate change between SFY 2009 and SFY 2014 was close to the expected negative 10%, with a net reduction of 10.4%.

However, Group Home (HABQV) with a rate *increase* of 0.4% bucked this downward trend, as did Adult Day – Intensive. The Group Home service accounts for almost 27% of all expenditures and the rates paid for this service are based on a staffing matrix, which has over time caused rates to generally inflate because of increasing staff intensity. With respect to Adult Day – Intensive, the recent increase in rates also indicates an increase in staffing intensity for this service with greater relative growth in 1:1 staffing than in 1:2 staffing.

**Average Dollars per User for SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Average Dollars						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	\$76,247	\$70,020	\$71,900	\$69,963	\$71,696	\$75,622	5.5%	-0.8%
HAHQV	\$8,894	\$8,053	\$7,836	\$7,367	\$7,092	\$7,472	5.4%	-16.0%
RSPQV	\$6,388	\$6,193	\$6,286	\$5,613	\$4,874	\$5,123	5.1%	-19.8%
DTA	\$12,208	\$11,189	\$11,348	\$10,811	\$10,740	\$11,550	7.6%	-5.4%
ATCQV	\$15,762	\$14,175	\$14,024	\$13,471	\$13,346	\$14,512	8.7%	-7.9%
HBA	\$32,847	\$29,834	\$31,469	\$29,797	\$30,165	\$32,601	8.1%	-0.7%
NURC	\$54,410	\$51,746	\$52,440	\$48,005	\$48,354	\$51,132	5.7%	-6.0%
DTI	\$18,776	\$14,247	\$14,042	\$14,079	\$8,567	\$5,956	-30.5%	-68.3%
RRBQV	\$7,910	\$7,141	\$7,244	\$7,160	\$7,269	\$7,607	4.6%	-3.8%
HIDQV	\$79,044	\$71,131	\$71,470	\$69,286	\$64,590	\$67,477	4.5%	-14.6%
TOTAL	\$28,118	\$25,983	\$26,554	\$25,705	\$26,004	\$27,997	7.7%	-0.4%

The above Table illustrates the impacts of both the changes in the rates paid for the services and the changes in the average number of units a user receives. Although the rates have risen since SFY 2013, they are still on average below the rates paid in SFY 2009. While the average units per user has climbed since SFY 2009, overall the depressed rates have resulted in a net decline in expenditure per user compared to SFY 2009, although 7.7% higher than SFY 2013.

We once again draw attention to the DTI service – the substantial decline of 68% in average dollars per user reflects the tremendous influx of users with substantially lower units per user.

Provider Analysis

This area of our analysis focuses on the providers for each of the top ten services: how many there are, the average number of units delivered and the payments received, how the number of providers have changed based on size, and the recent history of the top twenty providers ranked by SFY 2014 payments received.

The first Table below presents the number of providers by service for each year of the review period.

**Number of Service Providers for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Number of Service Providers						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	88	90	88	88	92	89	-3.3%	1.1%
HAHQV	166	180	185	186	193	190	-1.6%	14.5%
RSPQV	173	201	208	214	215	211	-1.9%	22.0%
DTA	116	123	137	140	148	143	-3.4%	23.3%
ATCQV	138	148	156	165	164	168	2.4%	21.7%
HBA	24	27	29	31	32	35	9.4%	45.8%
NURC	12	14	14	14	16	17	6.3%	41.7%
DTI	94	112	118	122	134	134	0.0%	42.6%
RRBQV	89	89	87	88	92	89	-3.3%	0.0%
HIDQV	43	42	43	45	43	49	14.0%	14.0%
TOTAL	1,081	951	803	735	706	667	-5.5%	-38.2%

The Table highlights that there has been an overall decrease of 413 providers over the review period, and a decrease of 39 providers from '13 to '14.

Interestingly, there is only one top ten service, RRBQV that had no change over the review period. The other 9 services had a net gain of providers since '09, but five services had modest year-to-year decreases in providers from SFY 2013 to SFY 2014.

As we have in past years we reviewed the provider data to determine that the overall loss of providers was once again concentrated in the OTHA, or miscellaneous, service category. This small category of the services includes those that are periodic or episodic in their use such as home modifications, counseling, and specialized assessments. We therefore do not believe that the overall loss of providers impacts the ability of the Division to ensure that services can continue to be provided.

Information regarding average units per provider is presented in the following Table.

**Average Units per Provider for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Average Units per Provider						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	9,642	9,530	9,841	9,876	9,534	10,017	5.1%	3.9%
HAHQV	19,540	19,382	19,494	20,196	20,220	21,693	7.3%	11.0%
RSPQV	23,375	24,168	26,068	25,248	23,159	25,216	8.9%	7.9%
DTA	40,514	41,684	40,150	41,858	42,386	47,309	11.6%	16.8%
ATCQV	19,715	18,903	18,770	18,942	20,700	23,338	12.7%	18.4%
HBA	7,349	8,226	9,221	9,712	10,685	10,818	1.2%	47.2%
NURC	57,404	54,681	58,668	58,534	53,993	56,251	4.2%	-2.0%
DTI	12,364	10,549	10,603	11,406	11,594	12,949	11.7%	4.7%
RRBQV	9,668	9,755	10,059	10,029	9,747	10,275	5.4%	6.3%
HIDQV	2,153	2,257	2,216	2,083	2,151	1,922	-10.6%	-10.7%
TOTAL	24,129	29,256	36,028	40,633	43,323	49,077	13.3%	103.4%

This Table clearly displays that as the number of top ten providers has increased, there has also been an increase in the number of units delivered per provider. This phenomenon reflects the ability of the provider network to deliver the required number of services. The Table also illustrates the impact of the Division's policy change to move from State managed adult developmental homes to vendor supported adult developmental homes, resulting in the pronounced increase of 47.2% in HBA units per provider over the review period.

Similar to the connection between total units and average units by provider in the preceding Table, the following average payments per provider Table indicates the strong linkage between the total payments and the number of service providers.

**Average Payments per Provider for Each of SFY 2014 Top Ten Services
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Average Payments per Provider						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	\$2,225,905	\$2,022,795	\$2,109,607	\$2,086,180	\$2,061,270	\$2,321,331	12.6%	4.3%
HAHQV	\$394,500	\$356,034	\$358,384	\$357,659	\$355,370	\$401,334	12.9%	1.7%
RSPQV	\$356,318	\$336,461	\$363,130	\$339,512	\$308,664	\$354,005	14.7%	-0.6%
DTA	\$424,856	\$397,337	\$381,945	\$383,237	\$384,593	\$452,245	17.6%	6.4%
ATCQV	\$311,133	\$271,329	\$269,700	\$262,485	\$285,075	\$338,357	18.7%	8.8%
HBA	\$802,020	\$816,571	\$915,870	\$930,444	\$1,016,172	\$1,082,352	6.5%	35.0%
NURC	\$2,194,530	\$1,899,802	\$2,041,403	\$1,957,934	\$1,807,232	\$1,979,091	9.5%	-9.8%
DTI	\$202,943	\$162,693	\$166,005	\$174,492	\$179,470	\$221,868	23.6%	9.3%
RRBQV	\$224,780	\$205,570	\$210,917	\$210,474	\$206,467	\$233,081	12.9%	3.7%
HIDQV	\$538,599	\$494,528	\$490,320	\$441,892	\$443,119	\$417,257	-5.8%	-22.5%
TOTAL	\$639,974	\$694,949	\$849,041	\$923,754	\$986,801	\$1,166,352	18.2%	82.3%

This Table shows that the overall decline in providers and the growth of total payments has combined to result in an 82.3% growth in average payments per provider.

We also examined the change in the number of providers stratified into two groups – above and below annual payments of \$100,000 per year. The results are presented in the following Table.

**Number of Providers Receiving Payment Above and Below \$100,000 per Year
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Provider Size	Units	Payments	Providers
FY 2014			
Providers Greater Than \$100,000 in Payments	32,492,558	\$769,103,260	373
Providers Less \$100,000	242,131	\$8,853,543	294
Total	32,734,689	\$777,956,803	667
FY 2013			
Providers Greater Than \$100,000 in Payments	30,134,079	\$685,762,726	363
Providers Less \$100,000	336,154	\$10,918,948	343
Total	30,470,233	\$696,681,674	706
FY 2012			
Providers Greater Than \$100,000 in Payments	29,366,702	\$667,471,406	363
Providers Less \$100,000	283,085	\$10,553,052	372
Total	29,649,787	\$678,024,458	735
FY 2011			
Providers Greater Than \$100,000 in Payments	28,582,290	\$672,779,127	354
Providers Less \$100,000	380,831	\$12,613,291	449
Total	28,963,121	\$685,392,418	803
FY 2010			
Providers Greater Than \$100,000 in Payments	27,287,928	\$646,628,028	352
Providers Less \$100,000	414,544	\$14,266,375	599
Total	27,702,472	\$660,894,403	951
FY 2009			
Providers Greater Than \$100,000 in Payments	25,546,768	\$674,213,102	342
Providers Less \$100,000	428,497	\$16,958,451	739
Total	25,975,265	\$691,171,553	1,081

As has been the case for the last six fiscal years, the Division's services are being provided primarily by organizations that receive payments of more than \$100,000 per year. This Table illustrates a loss of 445 providers from those with less than \$100,000 in annual payments from SFY 2009 to SFY 2014, a change that is greater than the overall loss of 413 providers because of the offsetting growth in the number of providers with payments of more than \$100,000.

The final examination of providers performed was a compilation of the top twenty providers based on total payments from SFY 2012 through SFY 2014. The results are presented in the following Table.

**Top Twenty Providers Determined by Total Funds Payments
Displayed by SFY 2014 Ranking
SFY 2012 through SFY 2014**

Provider	2012			2013			2014		
	Users	Units	Payments	Users	Units	Payments	Users	Units	Payments
A	829	902,589	\$32,253,647	1,027	1,002,579	\$39,898,725	1,080	1,115,311	\$46,759,576
B	567	704,872	\$32,756,243	540	687,176	\$32,313,785	500	650,798	\$33,713,243
C	1,864	1,690,878	\$26,951,704	2,116	1,797,419	\$27,744,506	2,268	1,995,056	\$32,384,380
D	1,161	605,160	\$28,471,583	1,019	582,637	\$26,969,043	880	572,121	\$28,150,886
E	379	430,899	\$17,048,699	391	471,026	\$17,061,541	424	497,092	\$18,475,176
F	344	64,259	\$14,278,389	232	63,264	\$14,191,829	110	96,557	\$18,264,355
Various	2,027	1,881,381	\$23,203,691	1,702	1,447,421	\$17,896,767	1,545	1,312,869	\$16,672,064
H	966	516,885	\$13,366,996	751	524,726	\$12,196,991	727	568,649	\$13,436,548
I	300	441,308	\$11,974,450	293	437,404	\$11,864,740	286	419,502	\$12,443,034
J	688	686,721	\$11,534,143	697	647,179	\$10,863,230	663	664,365	\$12,322,123
K	626	519,229	\$8,093,023	888	658,471	\$10,026,764	973	759,300	\$12,144,014
L	160	230,546	\$11,237,390	163	225,535	\$11,284,509	164	223,945	\$12,028,548
M	374	236,863	\$7,975,484	486	299,166	\$9,499,652	500	354,408	\$10,860,225
N	397	541,168	\$9,501,291	458	606,216	\$9,640,096	489	666,969	\$10,630,860
O	317	433,818	\$10,667,689	304	429,334	\$9,812,269	314	451,907	\$10,215,207
P	216	384,164	\$7,711,561	257	429,271	\$8,712,930	259	439,765	\$9,898,795
Q	429	284,662	\$8,609,819	362	275,359	\$8,201,771	661	284,707	\$9,107,885
R	627	630,248	\$10,515,022	544	515,484	\$8,437,899	500	484,817	\$8,443,863
S	521	441,668	\$6,601,319	548	448,151	\$6,748,238	598	502,382	\$7,868,980
T	127	72,904	\$8,504,346	118	61,810	\$7,686,763	110	54,377	\$7,744,982
Top Twenty Total	11,700,222	\$301,256,489		11,609,628	\$301,052,048		12,114,894	\$331,564,754	
Grand Total	28,963,121	\$685,392,418		29,649,787	\$678,024,458		32,734,689	\$777,956,803	
Top Twenty Share	40.4%	44.0%		39.2%	44.4%		37.0%	42.6%	

The important highlights from this Table include:

- The top twenty providers account for 37% of the annual units delivered and received over 42% of all payments for SFY 2014.
- Although there are shifts in the relative position among the individual providers, there is relative stability within the group over time: eighteen of the providers have consistently remained among the top twenty during the three years displayed (see the Analysis Packet for details).

- The provider labeled “Various” is the fiscal agent for independent providers and is not an actual provider. This value represents the summation of the numerous independent providers that bill through the fiscal agent.
- Provider F accounts for a significant amount of the ICF/MR (institutional) services purchased by the Division and the reimbursement rates paid for this service are outside of the published rate process.

An Examination of Therapy and Nursing Services

Last year we reviewed the three “base” therapy services (OTA, PTA, and STA) that were not included in the analysis of the top ten services; we have repeated this review and have added Nursing Services- Continuous (NURC) to this special review. Therapy evaluations and intermittent nursing services were excluded from the analysis.

These services have always been of particular concern to the Division in terms of the adequacy of the provider network. Additionally, the rates established during the rebase project indicated that these services would have an adopted benchmark ratio of approximately 80% for therapies and between 70% and 90% for nursing (see the following discussion on the adopted rate ratio and pages A-1 and A-2 attached for more detail). The intent of this examination – which includes a look at these services by geographic service area (GSA) – is to determine if the concerns about network adequacy are warranted.

The first Table presents the number of providers of these services.

**Number of Therapy & Nursing Service Providers by Service
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Number of Service Providers						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
STA	164	162	156	148	148	146	-1.4%	-11.0%
OTA	125	121	119	105	106	100	-5.7%	-20.0%
PTA	99	97	95	94	88	83	-5.7%	-16.2%
NURC	12	14	14	14	16	17	6.3%	41.7%

Each of the therapy services experienced provider loss over the six years of the review period. Occupational therapy (OTA) lost 25 providers or 20%, physical therapy (PTA) lost 16 providers or 16.2%, and speech therapy (STA) lost 18 providers or 11%. This loss of providers was also experienced in the year to year change from SFY 2013 to SFY 2014. However, during this same time period, Nursing (NURC) experienced an increase in the number of providers, although the percent change was 41.7%, and the nominal change was the addition of only 5 providers.

The next Table presents the number of users receiving these services.

**Number of Therapy & Nursing Users by Service
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Number of Users						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
STA	7,653	8,234	9,023	9,565	9,381	10,780	14.9%	40.9%
OTA	6,604	6,551	6,810	6,928	6,838	8,910	30.3%	34.9%
PTA	4,667	4,605	4,640	4,550	4,041	5,851	44.8%	25.4%
NURC	484	514	545	571	598	658	10.0%	36.0%

The number of all users served has grown significantly over the review period, with all services experiencing increases of greater than 10% between SFY 2013 to SFY 2014. After a long period of decline in Physical Therapy users, the number of PTA users increased by nearly 45% between SFYs 2013 and 2014. This increase is particularly notable because adult Physical Therapy services have been the responsibility of the health plans beginning in SFY 2011 when a limit on the number of units was placed on PTA services for adults over 21.

The Table below summarizes the number of units delivered over the evaluation period.

**Number of Therapy & Nursing Units by Service
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Number of Units						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
STA	193,856	216,095	227,419	246,706	250,728	250,989	0.1%	29.5%
OTA	149,778	159,539	163,772	168,069	168,525	181,154	7.5%	20.9%
PTA	102,893	106,850	106,299	105,678	97,182	93,924	-3.4%	-8.7%
NURC	688,850	765,528	821,353	819,476	863,892	956,266	10.7%	38.8%

The number of therapy units delivered over the review period did not keep pace with the growth of users. In all instances the percentage change of therapy units delivered fell short of the percentage change in users. In contrast, the percentage increase in nursing service units almost exactly tracked the growth in users.

The final therapy Table displays the average therapy and nursing units per user.

**Average Therapy & Nursing Units per User by Service
SFY 2009 through SFY 2014**

Service Code	Average Units per User						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
STA	25	26	25	26	27	23	-12.9%	-8.1%
OTA	23	24	24	24	25	20	-17.5%	-10.4%
PTA	22	23	23	23	24	16	-33.3%	-27.2%
NURC	1,423	1,489	1,507	1,435	1,445	1,453	0.6%	2.1%

The average units per user fell over the six year review period for all therapy services, and decreased at an accelerated rate between SFY 2013 and SFY 2014. In contrast, nursing services was relatively flat in both measurement periods.

This year we have incorporated a geographic component to our review of these services. Under its contract with AHCCCS, the Division's statewide service network is subdivided into Geographic Service Areas (GSA) for monitoring and reporting. We have allocated the SFY 2014 claims information among these seven GSAs and include the following Tables that depict the number of service providers, the percent of the Division's clients in each GSA utilizing the service, the units per user by GSA, and the average rate by GSA for SFY 2014. Additional GSA specific data is included in the accompanying Analysis Package.

**Therapy & Nursing Service Highlights by GSA
SFY 2014**

Service Code	Speech Therapy						
	SW 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Providers	12	18	11	43	35	112	15
Utilization %	23%	29%	32%	33%	21%	40%	16%
Units/User	17	23	25	29	15	29	15
Average Rate	\$92.70	\$82.61	\$67.26	\$82.57	\$62.05	\$61.42	\$91.53

Service Code	Occupational Therapy						
	SW 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Providers	9	16	11	33	29	71	16
Utilization %	10%	19%	29%	30%	21%	32%	19%
Units/User	6	22	24	26	19	26	21
Average Rate	\$98.24	\$81.40	\$64.67	\$80.70	\$62.26	\$59.86	\$97.52

Service Code	Physical Therapy						
	SW 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Providers	4	15	8	27	15	61	8
Utilization %	6%	13%	15%	20%	6%	19%	8%
Units/User	16	20	24	20	9	23	18
Average Rate	\$89.04	\$77.21	\$66.11	\$79.20	\$65.00	\$58.52	\$91.32

Service Code	Nursing - Continuous						
	SW 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Providers	1	2	2	8	7	13	-
Utilization %	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%
Units/User	639	1,313	709	1,356	1,881	1,439	-
Average Rate	\$35.85	\$36.28	\$38.72	\$37.56	\$34.90	\$35.06	

For each of the services we use the Maricopa GSA as the benchmark to compare the network performance among the GSAs. With this metric, we observe:

- The southern portion of the state - GSAs 02, 10, and 14 – have significantly lower utilization of therapy services – both in terms of the percentage of clients using the

service and the number of units per user, and in two of the GSAs (Southwest and Southeast), the Division seems to be countering this low utilization with increased provider rates

- It is unknown why the Pima and Santa Cruz GSA (number 10) has such relatively low therapy utilization percentages and units per user
- The therapy units per user showed the widest variance in occupational therapy, while physical therapy appeared the most consistent - except for GSA 10, the Pima/Santa Cruz area
- In nursing services there is a wide variance between the GSAs in terms of units per user: on average GSAs 02 and 06 are receiving half or less than the nursing units per user compared to the other GSAs. However, there is a consistent percentage of the population that utilizes the service

These disparities were noted during the rebase project and have resulted in an expansion of the geographic areas slated to receive incentive rates for therapies – to include the Pima/Santa Cruz GSA – and to institute geographic based incentive rates for nursing services. Together with the increase of 20% to 30% scheduled for base therapy services and the 12% to 40% increases targeted for Nursing, Continuous services, the expansion of the geographic incentive rates are anticipated to reduce some of the disparities across GSAs for these services. Unfortunately, neither the base rate increase nor the expansion of the geographic incentive rates were funded for SFY 2015.

Adopted Rate Ratio Analysis

As we indicated at the beginning of this letter, up until 2010 we had used the adopted rate ratio analysis as a metric to assess the adequacy and appropriateness of the provider rates. In 2010 we dropped that metric for several reasons, including the onset of the Great Recession and our belief that the benchmark rates then in effect did not reflect the then current policy and economic realities.

In SFY 2014 the Division completed its rebasing project and therefore the resulting rates now reflect current policy and economic realities. While we still believe the appropriate metric for measuring the adequacy and appropriateness of the Division's rates is the preceding network analysis, the adopted rate ratio analysis (where the adopted rate is stated as a percentage of the current benchmark rate) does inform the evaluation.

The previous discussion of the therapy and nursing services pointed out that some of the current rates for these services are significantly below the current benchmark rates. These are not the only services where the adopted rate ratios are below desired levels. The following Table presents the SFY 2015 benchmark and adopted rates, as well as the adopted rate ratio for the Division's top ten services. We regard any ratio below 80% as indicative of rates that are not adequate and appropriate.

**SFY 15 Benchmark and Adopted Rates
Summary Comparison by Service**

Service Code	Description	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
HABQV	Habilitation, Group Home, Qualified Vendor	\$20.61	\$17.90	86.8%
HAHQV	Habilitation, Support, Qualified Vendor	\$26.20	\$18.95	72.3%
RSPQV	Respite, Hourly, Qualified Vendor	\$20.29	\$14.56	71.7%
DTA	Day Treatment & Training, Adult (1:3.5)	\$9.98	\$9.72	97.4%
ATCQV	Attendant Care, Qualified Vendor	\$19.87	\$14.85	74.7%
HBA	Habilitation, Vendor Supported Dev. Home, Adult	\$108.71	\$101.31	93.2%
NURC	Nursing, Continuous/Respite (RN)	\$53.64	\$37.45	69.8%
	Nursing, Continuous/Respite (LPN)	\$41.40	\$37.45	90.5%
DTI	Day Treatment & Training, Intense	\$21.37	\$18.95	88.7%
RRBQV	Room and Board, All Group Homes (Maricopa/Urban) 4BR	\$26.79	\$19.73	73.7%
	Room and Board, All Group Homes (Pima/Urban) 4BR	\$26.79	\$18.26	68.2%
	Room and Board, All Group Homes (Flagstaff/Rural) 4BR	\$27.88	\$20.14	72.2%
	Room and Board, All Group Homes (Yuma/Rural) 4BR	\$27.88	\$17.26	61.9%
HIDQV	Habilitation, IDLA, Daily, Qualified Vendor	\$20.24	\$19.15	94.6%

The Table indicates that five of the top ten services have adopted rate ratios of less than 80%, including all three of the in-home services included on the list: Habilitation, Support; Respite, Hourly; and Attendant Care. These three services constitute the backbone of the Division’s least expensive placement option (in the client’s or family’s home) and represent approximately 27% of the Division’s service expenditures.

While the Division’s provider network may be continuing to provide a sufficient number, mix and geographic distribution of providers and services (as required by the Section 1932 Medicaid standard), we cannot conclude – based on the adopted rate ratio – that adopted rates below 80% of the benchmark rate are adequate and appropriate.

For reference purposes we have attached a complete listing of the Division’s services with their corresponding SFY 2015 benchmark and adopted rates, and the corresponding adopted rate ratios.

Summary

Based on the evaluations, analyses, and reviews performed, we believe the Division’s provider network continues to respond positively to the demands placed upon it. The services being provided continue to match the growth of enrollment with the compounded benefit of delivering more units per user per year. The decline in the number of providers is in a segment of the network that does not jeopardize the delivery system’s overall integrity.

We do, however, note some concerning indicators. As the network analyses have pointed out, there are concerns associated with the delivery of therapy services, and as the adopted rate ratio analysis indicated, approximately half of the top ten Division services have ratios of less than 80%.

We are aware of the 2% rate increase that became effective with the beginning of SFY 2015 and regard this as a positive development. However, we regard it as unfortunate that the increase was not distributed in a manner that was focused on those services with adopted rate ratios of less than 80%.

On balance, we conclude that the Division's rates are adequate and appropriate as measured by the network sufficiency standard and largely inadequate as measured by the adopted rate ratio metric. We urge the Division to devote any resources that may become available to increasing the provider rates for those services with adopted rate ratios below 80%, and to implement the revised geographic incentive rates for therapies and nursing services that were recommended by the rate rebasing project.

For your added information, we examined the Global Insights forecast⁴ for inflation for SFY 2015. According to that source, inflation for the Home Health Agency Market Basket of services is projected to increase by 2.6% for the period December of 2014 to December of 2015. We therefore recommend that the benchmark and adopted rates for *SFY 2016* be adjusted by this amount.

Also for your information we have examined the recently issued RFQVA amendment (Amendment 1 dated September 1, 2014) and find no significant costs to providers arising from the amendment.

If this letter or its attachments leave you with any questions, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,



Peter Burns

Attachment

c: Jim Hillyard, Deputy Director, DES
Mark Darmer, Deputy Director, DES
Larry Latham, Assistant Director, DDD
Bryon Winston, Business Operations Administrator, DDD

⁴ *Health Care Cost Review*, 1st Quarter of 2014

Arizona Department of Economic Security, Division of Developmental Disabilities
SFY 15 Benchmark and Adopted Rates
Summary Comparison by Service

SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
-----------------	---------------	----------------------------

Home-Based Services

Service Code	Service Name	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
S5125	ATC Attendant Care	\$19.87	\$14.85	74.72%
H2017	HAH Habilitation, Support	\$26.20	\$18.95	72.33%
S5130	HSK Homemaker	\$17.82	\$13.68	76.75%
S5150	RSP Respite, Hourly	\$20.29	\$14.56	71.77%
S5151	RSD Respite, Daily	\$269.77	\$196.66	72.90%

Independent Living Services

Service Code	Service Name	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
T2017	HAI Habilitation, Individually Designed Living Arrangement, Hourly	\$23.33	\$19.15	82.08%
T2017	HID Habilitation, Individually Designed Living Arrangement, Daily	\$20.24	\$19.15	94.61%

Day Treatment and Training Services

Service Code	Service Name	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
T2021	DTA Day Treatment and Training, Adult (1:3.5)	\$9.98	\$9.72	97.39%
		\$7.51	\$7.07	94.14%
		\$6.38	\$5.84	91.54%
T2021	DTT Day Treatment and Training, Children (After-School) (1:3.5)	\$11.51	\$9.37	81.41%
		\$9.31	\$7.23	77.66%
		\$8.38	\$6.23	74.34%
T2021	DTS Day Treatment and Training, Children (Summer) (1:3.5)	\$11.51	\$9.37	81.41%
		\$9.31	\$7.23	77.66%
		\$8.38	\$6.23	74.34%
T2021	DTA Day Treatment and Training, Adult - Rural (1:3.5)	\$11.36	\$10.79	94.98%
		\$8.92	\$8.13	91.14%
		\$7.82	\$6.94	88.75%
T2021	DTT Day Treatment and Training, Children - Rural (1:3.5)	\$13.63	\$9.37	68.76%
		\$11.49	\$7.23	62.92%
		\$10.62	\$6.23	58.66%
T2021	DTI* Day Treatment and Training, Intense	\$21.37	\$18.95	88.67%

* Day Treatment and Training, Intense applies to DTA, DTT or DTS codes.

Developmental Home Services

Service Code	Service Name	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
T2016	HBA Habilitation, Vendor Supported Developmental Home (Adult)	\$108.71	\$101.31	93.19%
T2016	HBC Habilitation, Vendor Supported Developmental Home (Child)	\$108.71	\$103.34	95.06%
DD031	RBD Room and Board, Vendor Supported Developmental Home	\$19.09	\$12.85	67.31%

Group Home Services

Service Code	Service Name	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
T2016	HPD Habilitation, Community Protection and Treatment Group Home	\$20.76	\$17.90	86.22%
T2016	HAB Habilitation, Group Home	\$20.61	\$17.90	86.84%
T2016	HAN Habilitation, Nursing Supported Group Home, Level I	\$392.10	\$329.56	84.05%
		\$459.96	\$395.75	86.04%
		\$517.12	\$451.91	87.39%
DD030	RRB Room and Board, All Group Homes (Maricopa/Urban) 3BR	\$29.19	\$22.14	75.85%
		\$26.79	\$19.73	73.65%
		\$29.19	\$20.40	69.89%
		\$26.79	\$18.26	68.16%
		\$29.08	\$22.61	77.75%
		\$27.88	\$20.14	72.24%
		\$29.08	\$19.74	67.88%
		\$27.88	\$17.26	61.91%

Professional Services

Service Code	Service Name	SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
T1021	HHA Home Health Aide	\$25.83	\$17.54	67.91%
G0154	HNV Nursing Visit (RN)	\$67.97	\$37.45	55.10%
		\$53.33	\$37.45	70.22%
G0155	HN9 Nursing, Intermittent (RN)	\$70.65	\$37.45	53.01%
		\$55.21	\$37.45	67.83%
S9123	HN1 Nursing, Continuous/Respite (RN)	\$53.64	\$37.45	69.82%
		\$41.40	\$37.45	90.46%
97535	OTA Occupational Therapy (Clinic, Base Rate)	\$69.17	\$57.97	83.81%
		\$91.81	\$71.95	78.37%
97004	OEA Occupational Therapy Evaluation (Clinic)	\$207.50	\$159.30	76.77%
		\$230.15	\$178.14	77.40%
97535	OTA Occupational Therapy Assistant (Clinic, Base Rate)	\$53.24	\$57.97	108.88%
		\$70.99	\$71.95	101.35%

Arizona Department of Economic Security, Division of Developmental Disabilities
SFY 15 Benchmark and Adopted Rates
Summary Comparison by Service

			SFY15 Benchmark	SFY15 Adopted	Adopted to Benchmark Ratio
97530	PTA	Physical Therapy (Clinic, Base Rate)	\$69.17	\$57.97	83.81%
		Physical Therapy (Natural, Base Rate)	\$91.81	\$71.95	78.37%
97001	PEA	Physical Therapy Evaluation (Clinic)	\$207.50	\$159.30	76.77%
		Physical Therapy Evaluation (Natural)	\$230.15	\$178.14	77.40%
97530	PTA	Physical Therapy Assistant (Clinic, Base Rate)	\$53.24	\$57.97	108.88%
		Physical Therapy Assistant (Natural, Base Rate)	\$70.99	\$71.95	101.35%
92507	STA	Speech Therapy (Clinic, Base Rate)	\$69.17	\$57.97	83.81%
		Speech Therapy (Natural, Base Rate)	\$91.81	\$71.95	78.37%

Professional Services (cont.)

92506	SEA	Speech Therapy Evaluation (Clinic)	\$207.50	\$159.30	76.77%
		Speech Therapy Evaluation (Natural)	\$230.15	\$178.14	77.40%
92507	STA	Speech Language Pathology Assistant (Clinic)	\$53.24	\$40.48	76.03%
		Speech Language Pathology Assistant (Natural)	\$70.99	\$55.90	78.74%
S5181	RP1	Respiratory Therapy (Clinic)	\$44.73	\$34.16	76.37%
		Respiratory Therapy (Natural)	\$59.22	\$34.16	57.68%

Employment Support Services

T2019	CBE	Center-Based Employment (High Density) (1:6)	\$6.16	\$5.09	82.63%
		Center-Based Employment (Low Density) (1:6)	\$6.54	\$5.59	85.47%
T2019	GSE	Group Supported Employment (Urban) (1:2)	\$17.25	\$16.88	97.86%
		Group Supported Employment (Rural) (1:2)	\$19.18	\$19.37	100.99%
		Group Supported Employment (Urban) (1:3)	\$12.69	\$11.25	88.65%
		Group Supported Employment (Rural) (1:3)	\$14.64	\$12.91	88.18%
		Group Supported Employment (Urban) (1:4)	\$10.43	\$8.18	78.43%
		Group Supported Employment (Rural) (1:4)	\$12.40	\$9.41	75.89%
		Group Supported Employment (Urban) (1:5)	\$9.09	\$6.55	72.06%
		Group Supported Employment (Rural) (1:5)	\$11.08	\$7.54	68.05%
		Group Supported Employment (Urban) (1:6)	\$8.21	\$5.46	66.50%
		Group Supported Employment (Rural) (1:6)	\$10.22	\$6.28	61.45%
T2019	ISE	Individual Supported Employment, Job Coaching (Urban)	\$41.76	\$25.89	62.00%
		Individual Supported Employment, Job Coaching (Rural)	\$57.51	\$28.48	49.52%
		Individual Supported Employment, Job Development (Urban)	\$40.63	\$25.89	63.72%
		Individual Supported Employment, Job Development (Rural)	\$43.24	\$28.48	65.86%
T2019	TTE	Transition to Employment (1:4), Urban	\$10.30	\$11.25	109.22%
		Transition to Employment (1:4), Rural	\$11.13	\$12.91	115.99%
T2019	ESA	Employment Support Aide - GSE/ISE (Urban)	\$19.87	\$16.83	84.70%
		Employment Support Aide - GSE/ISE (Rural)	\$21.32	\$18.51	86.82%

Specialized Habilitation Services

T2017	HAM	Habilitation with Music Therapy	\$39.66	\$18.95	47.78%
T2017	HCM	Habilitation Consultation, Psychologist (Urban)	\$124.77	\$127.50	102.19%
		Habilitation Consultation, Psychologist (Rural)	\$147.97	\$142.79	96.50%
		Habilitation Consultation, Licensed Behavior Analyst	\$59.45	\$61.20	102.94%
		Habilitation Consultation, BCBA	\$54.85	\$55.39	100.98%
T2020	HCB	Habilitation Consultation, BCABA	\$42.84	\$36.92	86.18%
T2020	HCA	Habilitation Consultation, Assessment	\$297.25	\$297.25	100.00%
T2020	ECM	Habilitation, Early Childhood Autism Specialized (BCBA-D) (Urban)	\$124.77	\$127.50	102.19%
		Habilitation, Early Childhood Autism Specialized (BCBA-D) (Rural)	\$147.97	\$142.79	96.50%
T2020	ECM	Habilitation, Early Childhood Autism Specialized (Lic. Beh. Analyst)	\$59.45	\$61.20	102.94%
T2020	ECM	Habilitation, Early Childhood Autism Specialized (Masters)	\$54.85	\$55.39	100.98%
T2021	ECB	Habilitation, Early Childhood Autism Specialized (Bachelors)	\$42.84	\$36.92	86.18%
T2022	ECH	Habilitation, Early Childhood Autism Spec Hourly Habilitation	\$25.38	\$20.94	82.51%

Transportation Services

A0120	TRA TRE	Regular Scheduled Daily Transportation (Day Program)	\$13.31	\$9.35	70.25%
		Regular Scheduled Daily Transportation (Employment Program)	\$13.31	\$9.51	71.45%
		Regular Scheduled Daily Transportation, Rural	\$22.54	\$13.40	59.45%
A0120	TRA TRE	Single Person Modified Rate, Urban	\$23.83	\$16.77	70.37%
		Single Person Modified Rate, Rural	\$36.25	\$22.37	61.71%
		Extensive Distance Modified Rate, Urban	\$43.14	\$16.78	38.90%
		Extensive Distance Modified Rate, Rural	\$43.14	\$22.38	51.88%

Analysis Package

To Accompany

Letter Dated September 17, 2014 to

Mr. Clarence Carter

Director

Arizona Department of Economic Security

Prepared by:

Burns & Associates, Inc.

September 17, 2014

Arizona DDD
Service Code Definition
Sorted by Service Type

Service Code	Service	Service Type
ATCQV	Attendant Care	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
HAHQV	Habilitation, Support	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
HIDQV	Habilitation, IDLA, Daily	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
HAIQV	Habilitation, IDLA, Hourly	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
HPHQV	Habilitation, CPT, Hourly	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
HSKQV	Homemaker	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
RSDQV	Respite, Daily	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
RSPQV	Respite, Hourly	In-Home Services, Qualified Vendor
ATCIP	Attendant Care	In-Home Services, Indep. Provider
HAHIP	Habilitation, Support	In-Home Services, Indep. Provider
HAIP	Habilitation, IDLA, Hourly	In-Home Services, Indep. Provider
HSKIP	Homemaker	In-Home Services, Indep. Provider
RSDIP	Respite, Daily	In-Home Services, Indep. Provider
RSPIP	Respite, Hourly	In-Home Services, Indep. Provider
DTA	Day Treatment & Training, Adult	Day Treatment & Training
DTI	Day Treatment & Training, Intense	Day Treatment & Training
DTAR	Rural Day Treatment & Training, Adult	Day Treatment & Training
DH1	Day Treatment & Training, Spec Instr.	Day Treatment & Training
DTC	Day Treatment & Training, Child	Day Treatment & Training
DTCR	Rural Day Treatment & Training, Child	Day Treatment & Training
DTASO	Day Treatment & Training, State Operated	Day Treatment & Training
HBA	Habilitation, Vendor Supported Dev. Home, Adult	Residential Services
RBD	Room/Board, Dev. Home, Adult	Residential Services
HBC	Habilitation, Vendor Supported Dev. Home, Child	Residential Services
HAA	Habilitation, State Supported Dev. Home, Adult	Residential Services
HAC	Habilitation, State Supported Dev. Home, Child	Residential Services
HAN	Habilitation, Nursing Supported Group Home	Residential Services
HABQV	Habilitation, Group Home	Residential Services
RRBQV	Room/Board, Group Home	Residential Services
HABSO	Habilitation, Group Home-State Only	Residential Services
RRBSO	Room/Board, Group Home-State Only	Residential Services
HPD	Habilitation, CPT Group Home	Residential Services
HHA	Home Health Aide	Professional Services
NURV	Nursing, Visit	Professional Services
NURI	Nursing, Intermittent	Professional Services
NURC	Nursing, Continuous	Professional Services
OTA	Occupational Therapy	Professional Services
OCV	Occupational Therapy, Evaluation	Professional Services
PTA	Physical Therapy	Professional Services
PHV	Physical Therapy, Evaluation	Professional Services
STA	Speech Therapy	Professional Services
SPV	Speech Therapy, Evaluation	Professional Services
RP1	Respiratory Therapy	Professional Services
CBE	Center Based Employment	Employment Services
GSE	Group Supported Employment	Employment Services
ISE	Individual Supported Employment	Employment Services
ESA	Employment Support Aide	Employment Services
TTE	Transition to Employment	Employment Services
HAM	Habilitation with Music Therapy	Specialized Habilitation
HCM	Habilitation , Consultation	Specialized Habilitation
HCBA	Habilitation , Consultation (BCABA)	Specialized Habilitation
HCA	Habilitation , Consultation-Assessment	Specialized Habilitation
ECM	Habilitation , Early Childhood Autism	Specialized Habilitation
ECB	Habilitation , Early Childhood Autism (Bachelor)	Specialized Habilitation
ECH	Habilitation , Early Childhood Autism-Habilitation	Specialized Habilitation
HBM	Habilitation, Behavioral (B/M)	Specialized Habilitation
HCH	Habilitation, Communication	Specialized Habilitation
TRA	Transportation, Regularly Scheduled, Day Program	Transportation Services
TRE	Transportation, Regularly Scheduled, Employment	Transportation Services
TRR	Transportation, Regularly Scheduled, Rural	Transportation Services
TRO	Transportation, Other	Transportation Services
TRI	Transportation, Family/Friend	Transportation Services
ICFQV	ICF-DD, Qualified Vendor	Other Services
ICFSO	ICF-DD, State Operated	Other Services
NFX	Nursing Facility, All Levels	Other Services
PCP	Person Centered Planning	Other Services
CMG	Case Management	Other Services
OTH	Other Services	Other Services

* Shading indicates Top Ten Services

Arizona DDD
Top Ten Service Codes
Total Funds, by Year

Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average Units per User	Average Dollars per User	Average Units per Provider	Average Dollars per Provider
2014									
HABQV	89	2,732	891,519	\$206,598,459	\$231.74	326	\$75,622	10,017	\$2,321,331
HAHQV	190	10,205	4,121,621	\$76,253,438	\$18.50	404	\$7,472	21,693	\$401,334
RSPQV	211	14,581	5,320,492	\$74,695,009	\$14.04	365	\$5,123	25,216	\$354,005
DTA	143	5,599	6,765,168	\$64,671,020	\$9.56	1,208	\$11,550	47,309	\$452,245
ATCQV	168	3,917	3,920,700	\$56,843,957	\$14.50	1,001	\$14,512	23,338	\$338,357
HBA	35	1,162	378,638	\$37,882,312	\$100.05	326	\$32,601	10,818	\$1,082,352
NURC	17	658	956,266	\$33,644,554	\$35.18	1,453	\$51,132	56,251	\$1,979,091
DTI	134	4,992	1,735,189	\$29,730,252	\$17.13	348	\$5,956	12,949	\$221,868
RRBQV	89	2,727	914,472	\$20,744,188	\$22.68	335	\$7,607	10,275	\$233,081
HIDQV	49	303	94,202	\$20,445,595	\$217.04	311	\$67,477	1,922	\$417,257
Sub-Total			25,098,268	\$621,508,784	\$24.76				
TOTAL	667	27,787	32,734,689	\$777,926,802	\$23.76	1,178	\$27,996	49,077	\$1,166,307

2013									
HABQV	92	2,645	877,108	\$189,636,819	\$216.21	332	\$71,696	9,534	\$2,061,270
HAHQV	193	9,671	3,902,427	\$68,586,489	\$17.58	404	\$7,092	20,220	\$355,370
RSPQV	215	13,616	4,979,264	\$66,362,664	\$13.33	366	\$4,874	23,159	\$308,664
DTA	148	5,300	6,273,138	\$56,919,789	\$9.07	1,184	\$10,740	42,386	\$384,593
ATCQV	164	3,503	3,394,795	\$46,752,349	\$13.77	969	\$13,346	20,700	\$285,075
HBA	32	1,078	341,915	\$32,517,514	\$95.10	317	\$30,165	10,685	\$1,016,172
NURC	16	598	863,892	\$28,915,719	\$33.47	1,445	\$48,354	53,993	\$1,807,232
DTI	134	2,807	1,553,594	\$24,048,936	\$15.48	553	\$8,567	11,594	\$179,470
RRBQV	92	2,613	896,683	\$18,994,976	\$21.18	343	\$7,269	9,747	\$206,467
HIDQV	43	295	92,509	\$19,054,101	\$205.97	314	\$64,590	2,151	\$443,119
Sub-Total			23,175,325	\$551,789,357	\$23.81				
TOTAL	706	26,791	30,585,944	\$696,681,386	\$22.78	1,142	\$26,004	43,323	\$986,801

2012									
HABQV	88	2,624	869,110	\$183,583,883	\$211.23	331	\$69,963	9,876	\$2,086,180
HAHQV	186	9,030	3,756,419	\$66,524,588	\$17.71	416	\$7,367	20,196	\$357,659
RSPQV	214	12,944	5,403,100	\$72,655,562	\$13.45	417	\$5,613	25,248	\$339,512
DTA	140	4,963	5,860,164	\$53,653,187	\$9.16	1,181	\$10,811	41,858	\$383,237
ATCQV	165	3,215	3,125,468	\$43,310,038	\$13.86	972	\$13,471	18,942	\$262,485
HBA	31	968	301,059	\$28,843,759	\$95.81	311	\$29,797	9,712	\$930,444
NURC	14	571	819,476	\$27,411,070	\$33.45	1,435	\$48,005	58,534	\$1,957,934
DTI	122	1,512	1,391,581	\$21,287,990	\$15.30	920	\$14,079	11,406	\$174,492
RRBQV	88	2,587	882,581	\$18,521,668	\$20.99	341	\$7,160	10,029	\$210,474
HIDQV	45	287	93,720	\$19,885,157	\$212.18	327	\$69,286	2,083	\$441,892
Sub-Total			22,502,679	\$535,676,903	\$23.81				
TOTAL	735	26,414	29,865,130	\$678,958,896	\$22.73	1,131	\$25,705	40,633	\$923,754

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
Top Ten Service Codes
Total Funds, by Year

Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average Units per User	Average Dollars per User	Average Units per Provider	Average Dollars per Provider
2011									
HABQV	88	2,582	865,989	\$185,645,384	\$214.37	335	\$71,900	9,841	\$2,109,607
HAHQV	185	8,461	3,606,332	\$66,301,086	\$18.38	426	\$7,836	19,494	\$358,384
RSPQV	208	12,015	5,422,190	\$75,530,969	\$13.93	451	\$6,286	26,068	\$363,130
DTA	137	4,611	5,500,563	\$52,326,448	\$9.51	1,193	\$11,348	40,150	\$381,945
ATCQV	156	3,000	2,928,078	\$42,073,144	\$14.37	976	\$14,024	18,770	\$269,700
HBA	29	844	267,411	\$26,560,238	\$99.32	317	\$31,469	9,221	\$915,870
NURC	14	545	821,353	\$28,579,641	\$34.80	1,507	\$52,440	58,668	\$2,041,403
DTI	118	1,395	1,251,131	\$19,588,625	\$15.66	897	\$14,042	10,603	\$166,005
RRBQV	87	2,533	875,103	\$18,349,781	\$20.97	345	\$7,244	10,059	\$210,917
HIDQV	43	295	95,283	\$21,083,749	\$221.28	323	\$71,470	2,216	\$490,320
Sub-Total			21,633,431	\$536,039,065	\$24.78				
TOTAL	803	25,675	28,930,744	\$681,780,001	\$23.57	1,127	\$26,554	36,028	\$849,041

2010									
HABQV	90	2,600	857,671	\$182,051,576	\$212.26	330	\$70,020	9,530	\$2,022,795
HAHQV	180	7,958	3,488,826	\$64,086,093	\$18.37	438	\$8,053	19,382	\$356,034
RSPQV	201	10,920	4,857,846	\$67,628,745	\$13.92	445	\$6,193	24,168	\$336,461
DTA	123	4,368	5,127,189	\$48,872,459	\$9.53	1,174	\$11,189	41,684	\$397,337
ATCQV	148	2,833	2,797,685	\$40,156,639	\$14.35	988	\$14,175	18,903	\$271,329
HBA	27	739	222,112	\$22,047,405	\$99.26	301	\$29,834	8,226	\$816,571
NURC	14	514	765,528	\$26,597,233	\$34.74	1,489	\$51,746	54,681	\$1,899,802
DTI	112	1,279	1,181,463	\$18,221,621	\$15.42	924	\$14,247	10,549	\$162,693
RRBQV	89	2,562	868,231	\$18,295,753	\$21.07	339	\$7,141	9,755	\$205,570
HIDQV	42	292	94,811	\$20,770,194	\$219.07	325	\$71,131	2,257	\$494,528
Sub-Total			20,261,361	\$508,727,717	\$25.11				
TOTAL	951	25,436	27,822,468	\$660,896,422	\$23.75	1,094	\$25,983	29,256	\$694,949

2009									
HABQV	88	2,569	848,497	\$195,879,599	\$230.85	330	\$76,247	9,642	\$2,225,905
HAHQV	166	7,363	3,243,619	\$65,486,998	\$20.19	441	\$8,894	19,540	\$394,500
RSPQV	173	9,650	4,043,865	\$61,643,020	\$15.24	419	\$6,388	23,375	\$356,318
DTA	116	4,037	4,699,678	\$49,283,277	\$10.49	1,164	\$12,208	40,514	\$424,856
ATCQV	138	2,724	2,720,623	\$42,936,289	\$15.78	999	\$15,762	19,715	\$311,133
HBA	24	586	176,377	\$19,248,478	\$109.13	301	\$32,847	7,349	\$802,020
NURC	12	484	688,850	\$26,334,359	\$38.23	1,423	\$54,410	57,404	\$2,194,530
DTI	94	1,016	1,162,250	\$19,076,629	\$16.41	1,144	\$18,776	12,364	\$202,943
RRBQV	89	2,529	860,483	\$20,005,436	\$23.25	340	\$7,910	9,668	\$224,780
HIDQV	43	293	92,581	\$23,159,771	\$250.16	316	\$79,044	2,153	\$538,599
Sub-Total			18,536,822	\$523,053,856	\$28.22				
TOTAL	1,081	24,581	26,059,050	\$ 691,171,563	\$26.56	1,060	\$28,118	24,106	\$639,382

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
 Top Ten Service Codes
 Total Funds, Comparison to Previous Years

Service Providers							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	88	90	88	88	92	89	-3.3%	1.1%
HAHQV	166	180	185	186	193	190	-1.6%	14.5%
RSPQV	173	201	208	214	215	211	-1.9%	22.0%
DTA	116	123	137	140	148	143	-3.4%	23.3%
ATCQV	138	148	156	165	164	168	2.4%	21.7%
HBA	24	27	29	31	32	35	9.4%	45.8%
NURC	12	14	14	14	16	17	6.3%	41.7%
DTI	94	112	118	122	134	134	0.0%	42.6%
RRBQV	89	89	87	88	92	89	-3.3%	0.0%
HIDQV	43	42	43	45	43	49	14.0%	14.0%
TOTAL	1,081	951	803	735	706	667	-5.5%	-38.3%

Users							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	2,569	2,600	2,582	2,624	2,645	2,732	3.3%	6.3%
HAHQV	7,363	7,958	8,461	9,030	9,671	10,205	5.5%	38.6%
RSPQV	9,650	10,920	12,015	12,944	13,616	14,581	7.1%	51.1%
DTA	4,037	4,368	4,611	4,963	5,300	5,599	5.6%	38.7%
ATCQV	2,724	2,833	3,000	3,215	3,503	3,917	11.8%	43.8%
HBA	586	739	844	968	1,078	1,162	7.8%	98.3%
NURC	484	514	545	571	598	658	10.0%	36.0%
DTI	1,016	1,279	1,395	1,512	2,807	4,992	77.8%	391.3%
RRBQV	2,529	2,562	2,533	2,587	2,613	2,727	4.4%	7.8%
HIDQV	293	292	295	287	295	303	2.7%	3.4%
TOTAL	24,581	25,436	25,675	26,414	26,791	27,787	3.7%	13.0%

Units							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	848,497	857,671	865,989	869,110	877,108	891,519	1.6%	5.1%
HAHQV	3,243,619	3,488,826	3,606,332	3,756,419	3,902,427	4,121,621	5.6%	27.1%
RSPQV	4,043,865	4,857,846	5,422,190	5,403,100	4,979,264	5,320,492	6.9%	31.6%
DTA	4,699,678	5,127,189	5,500,563	5,860,164	6,273,138	6,765,168	7.8%	43.9%
ATCQV	2,720,623	2,797,685	2,928,078	3,125,468	3,394,795	3,920,700	15.5%	44.1%
HBA	176,377	222,112	267,411	301,059	341,915	378,638	10.7%	114.7%
NURC	688,850	765,528	821,353	819,476	863,892	956,266	10.7%	38.8%
DTI	1,162,250	1,181,463	1,251,131	1,391,581	1,553,594	1,735,189	11.7%	49.3%
RRBQV	860,483	868,231	875,103	882,581	896,683	914,472	2.0%	6.3%
HIDQV	92,581	94,811	95,283	93,720	92,509	94,202	1.8%	1.8%
TOTAL	26,059,050	27,822,468	28,930,744	29,865,130	30,585,944	32,734,689	7.0%	25.6%

Payments							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	\$195,879,599	\$182,051,576	\$185,645,384	\$183,583,883	\$189,636,819	\$206,598,459	8.9%	5.5%
HAHQV	\$65,486,998	\$64,086,093	\$66,301,086	\$66,524,588	\$68,586,489	\$76,253,438	11.2%	16.4%
RSPQV	\$61,643,020	\$67,628,745	\$75,530,969	\$72,655,562	\$66,362,664	\$74,695,009	12.6%	21.2%
DTA	\$49,283,277	\$48,872,459	\$52,326,448	\$53,653,187	\$56,919,789	\$64,671,020	13.6%	31.2%
ATCQV	\$42,936,289	\$40,156,639	\$42,073,144	\$43,310,038	\$46,752,349	\$56,843,957	21.6%	32.4%
HBA	\$19,248,478	\$22,047,405	\$26,560,238	\$28,843,759	\$32,517,514	\$37,882,312	16.5%	96.8%
NURC	\$26,334,359	\$26,597,233	\$28,579,641	\$27,411,070	\$28,915,719	\$33,644,554	16.4%	27.8%
DTI	\$19,076,629	\$18,221,621	\$19,588,625	\$21,287,990	\$24,048,936	\$29,730,252	23.6%	55.8%
RRBQV	\$20,005,436	\$18,295,753	\$18,349,781	\$18,521,668	\$18,994,976	\$20,744,188	9.2%	3.7%
HIDQV	\$23,159,771	\$20,770,194	\$21,083,749	\$19,885,157	\$19,054,101	\$20,445,595	7.3%	-11.7%
TOTAL	\$691,171,563	\$660,896,422	\$681,780,001	\$678,958,896	\$696,681,386	\$777,926,802	11.7%	12.6%

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
 Top Ten Service Codes
 Total Funds, Comparison to Previous Years

Service Code	Average Rate						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	\$230.85	\$212.26	\$214.37	\$211.23	\$216.21	\$231.74	7.2%	0.4%
HAHQV	\$20.19	\$18.37	\$18.38	\$17.71	\$17.58	\$18.50	5.3%	-8.4%
RSPQV	\$15.24	\$13.92	\$13.93	\$13.45	\$13.33	\$14.04	5.3%	-7.9%
DTA	\$10.49	\$9.53	\$9.51	\$9.16	\$9.07	\$9.56	5.4%	-8.8%
ATCQV	\$15.78	\$14.35	\$14.37	\$13.86	\$13.77	\$14.50	5.3%	-8.1%
HBA	\$109.13	\$99.26	\$99.32	\$95.81	\$95.10	\$100.05	5.2%	-8.3%
NURC	\$38.23	\$34.74	\$34.80	\$33.45	\$33.47	\$35.18	5.1%	-8.0%
DTI	\$16.41	\$15.42	\$15.66	\$15.30	\$15.48	\$17.13	10.7%	4.4%
RRBQV	\$23.25	\$21.07	\$20.97	\$20.99	\$21.18	\$22.68	7.1%	-2.4%
HIDQV	\$250.16	\$219.07	\$221.28	\$212.18	\$205.97	\$217.04	5.4%	-13.2%
TOTAL	\$26.52	\$23.75	\$23.57	\$22.73	\$22.78	\$23.76	4.3%	-10.4%

Service Code	Average Units per User						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	330	330	335	331	332	326	-1.6%	-1.2%
HAHQV	441	438	426	416	404	404	0.1%	-8.3%
RSPQV	419	445	451	417	366	365	-0.2%	-12.9%
DTA	1,164	1,174	1,193	1,181	1,184	1,208	2.1%	3.8%
ATCQV	999	988	976	972	969	1,001	3.3%	0.2%
HBA	301	301	317	311	317	326	2.7%	8.3%
NURC	1,423	1,489	1,507	1,435	1,445	1,453	0.6%	2.1%
DTI	1,144	924	897	920	553	348	-37.2%	-69.6%
RRBQV	340	339	345	341	343	335	-2.3%	-1.4%
HIDQV	316	325	323	327	314	311	-0.9%	-1.6%
TOTAL	1,060	1,094	1,127	1,131	1,142	1,178	3.2%	11.1%

Service Code	Average Dollars per User						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	\$76,247	\$70,020	\$71,900	\$69,963	\$71,696	\$75,622	5.5%	-0.8%
HAHQV	\$8,894	\$8,053	\$7,836	\$7,367	\$7,092	\$7,472	5.4%	-16.0%
RSPQV	\$6,388	\$6,193	\$6,286	\$5,613	\$4,874	\$5,123	5.1%	-19.8%
DTA	\$12,208	\$11,189	\$11,348	\$10,811	\$10,740	\$11,550	7.6%	-5.4%
ATCQV	\$15,762	\$14,175	\$14,024	\$13,471	\$13,346	\$14,512	8.7%	-7.9%
HBA	\$32,847	\$29,834	\$31,469	\$29,797	\$30,165	\$32,601	8.1%	-0.7%
NURC	\$54,410	\$51,746	\$52,440	\$48,005	\$48,354	\$51,132	5.7%	-6.0%
DTI	\$18,776	\$14,247	\$14,042	\$14,079	\$8,567	\$5,956	-30.5%	-68.3%
RRBQV	\$7,910	\$7,141	\$7,244	\$7,160	\$7,269	\$7,607	4.6%	-3.8%
HIDQV	\$79,044	\$71,131	\$71,470	\$69,286	\$64,590	\$67,477	4.5%	-14.6%
TOTAL	\$28,118	\$25,983	\$26,554	\$25,705	\$26,004	\$27,996	7.7%	-0.4%

Service Code	Average Units per Provider						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	9,642	9,530	9,841	9,876	9,534	10,017	5.1%	3.9%
HAHQV	19,540	19,382	19,494	20,196	20,220	21,693	7.3%	11.0%
RSPQV	23,375	24,168	26,068	25,248	23,159	25,216	8.9%	7.9%
DTA	40,514	41,684	40,150	41,858	42,386	47,309	11.6%	16.8%
ATCQV	19,715	18,903	18,770	18,942	20,700	23,338	12.7%	18.4%
HBA	7,349	8,226	9,221	9,712	10,685	10,818	1.2%	47.2%
NURC	57,404	54,681	58,668	58,534	53,993	56,251	4.2%	-2.0%
DTI	12,364	10,549	10,603	11,406	11,594	12,949	11.7%	4.7%
RRBQV	9,668	9,755	10,059	10,029	9,747	10,275	5.4%	6.3%
HIDQV	2,153	2,257	2,216	2,083	2,151	1,922	-10.6%	-10.7%
TOTAL	24,106	29,256	36,028	40,633	43,323	49,077	13.3%	103.6%

Service Code	Average Dollars per Provider						% Change '13 to '14	% Change '09 to '14
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
HABQV	\$2,225,905	\$2,022,795	\$2,109,607	\$2,086,180	\$2,061,270	\$2,321,331	12.6%	4.3%
HAHQV	\$394,500	\$356,034	\$358,384	\$357,659	\$355,370	\$401,334	12.9%	1.7%
RSPQV	\$356,318	\$336,461	\$363,130	\$339,512	\$308,664	\$354,005	14.7%	-0.6%
DTA	\$424,856	\$397,337	\$381,945	\$383,237	\$384,593	\$452,245	17.6%	6.4%
ATCQV	\$311,133	\$271,329	\$269,700	\$262,485	\$285,075	\$338,357	18.7%	8.8%
HBA	\$802,020	\$816,571	\$915,870	\$930,444	\$1,016,172	\$1,082,352	6.5%	35.0%
NURC	\$2,194,530	\$1,899,802	\$2,041,403	\$1,957,934	\$1,807,232	\$1,979,091	9.5%	-9.8%
DTI	\$202,943	\$162,693	\$166,005	\$174,492	\$179,470	\$221,868	23.6%	9.3%
RRBQV	\$224,780	\$205,570	\$210,917	\$210,474	\$206,467	\$233,081	12.9%	3.7%
HIDQV	\$538,599	\$494,528	\$490,320	\$441,892	\$443,119	\$417,257	-5.8%	-22.5%
TOTAL	\$639,382	\$694,949	\$849,041	\$923,754	\$986,801	\$1,166,307	18.2%	82.4%

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
Top Twenty Providers
Total Funds, by Year

FY 2014

PROVIDER	Users	Units	Payments	Rank FY 2014	Rank FY 2013	Rank FY 2012	Rank FY 2011	Rank FY 2010	Rank FY 2009
A	1080	1115311	\$46,759,576.03	1	1	2	2	2	2
B	500	650798	\$33,713,243.82	2	2	1	1	1	1
C	2268	1995056	\$32,384,380.05	3	3	3	4	5	5
D	880	572121	\$28,150,886.23	4	4	4	3	3	3
E	424	497092	\$18,475,176.00	5	6	6	6	6	6
F	110	96557	\$18,264,355.44	6	7	7	7	8	8
Various	1545	1312869	\$16,672,064.94	7	5	5	5	4	4
H	727	568649	\$13,436,548.95	8	8	9	8	7	9
I	286	419502	\$12,443,034.61	9	9	8	9	10	11
J	663	664365	\$12,322,123.53	10	11	10	10	11	13
K	973	759300	\$12,144,014.41	11	12	15	18	19	
L	164	223945	\$12,028,548.82	12	10	11	11	12	12
M	500	354408	\$10,860,225.52	13	15	17			
N	489	666969	\$10,630,860.04	14	14	13	14	15	14
O	314	451907	\$10,215,207.44	15	13	12	12	13	10
P	259	439765	\$9,898,795.98	16	16	19	19	18	18
Q	661	284707	\$9,107,885.91	17	18	16	16	16	17
R	500	484817	\$8,443,863.64	18	17	14	13	9	7
S	598	502382	\$7,868,980.81	19					
T	110	54377	\$7,744,982.22	20	19	18	17	17	16

Top Twenty	12,114,894	\$ 331,564,754		
Share of Total	37.0%	42.6%	Number	%
Providers Gtr \$100,000	32,492,558	\$ 769,103,260	373	55.9%
Share of Total	99.3%	98.9%		
Providers Less \$100,000	242,131	\$ 8,853,543	294	44.1%
Total	32,734,689	\$ 777,956,803	667	

FY 2013

PROVIDER	Users	Units	Payments
A	1,027	1,002,579	\$ 39,898,725
B	540	687,176	\$ 32,313,785
C	2,116	1,797,419	\$ 27,744,506
D	1,019	582,637	\$ 26,969,043
Various	1,702	1,447,421	\$ 17,896,767
E	391	471,026	\$ 17,061,541
F	232	63,264	\$ 14,191,829
H	751	524,726	\$ 12,196,991
I	293	437,404	\$ 11,864,740
L	163	225,535	\$ 11,284,509
J	697	647,179	\$ 10,863,230
K	888	658,471	\$ 10,026,764
O	304	429,334	\$ 9,812,269
N	458	606,216	\$ 9,640,096
M	486	299,166	\$ 9,499,652
P	257	429,271	\$ 8,712,930
R	544	515,484	\$ 8,437,899
Q	362	275,359	\$ 8,201,771
T	118	61,810	\$ 7,686,763
U	123	127,500	\$ 6,932,708

Top Twenty	11,288,976	\$ 301,236,516		
Share of Total	37.0%	43.2%	Number	%
Providers Gtr \$100,000	30,134,079	\$ 685,762,726	363	51.4%
Share of Total	98.9%	98.4%		
Providers Less \$100,000	336,154	\$ 10,918,948	343	48.6%
Total	30,470,233	\$ 696,681,674	706	

Arizona DDD
 Top Twenty Providers
 Total Funds, by Year

FY 2012

PROVIDER	Users	Units	Payments
B	548	687,260	\$ 32,653,538
A	833	918,114	\$ 32,121,926
C	2,029	1,813,591	\$ 27,905,667
D	1,081	607,345	\$ 27,403,998
Various	1,895	1,713,195	\$ 20,371,015
E	369	438,665	\$ 16,677,825
F	293	64,548	\$ 14,441,127
I	288	448,897	\$ 11,780,521
H	809	459,921	\$ 11,668,391
J	706	685,582	\$ 11,593,774
L	161	227,468	\$ 11,016,497
O	299	430,646	\$ 9,961,297
N	429	566,270	\$ 9,474,211
R	603	567,088	\$ 9,172,388
K	727	570,906	\$ 8,605,154
Q	397	274,205	\$ 8,289,994
M	372	235,513	\$ 7,930,658
T	121	69,866	\$ 7,919,067
P	234	405,205	\$ 7,847,418
AC	510	474,471	\$ 7,091,638

Top Twenty	11,658,754	\$ 293,926,103		
Share of Total	39.0%	43.3%	Number	%
Providers Gtr \$100,000	29,454,472	\$ 668,346,938	363	49.4%
Share of Total	98.6%	98.4%		
Providers Less \$100,000	283,085	\$ 10,553,052	372	50.6%
Total	29,865,130	\$ 678,958,896	735	

FY 2011

PROVIDER	Users	Units	Payments
B	567	704,872	\$32,756,243
A	829	902,589	\$32,253,647
D	1,161	605,160	\$28,471,583
C	1,864	1,690,878	\$26,951,704
Various	2,027	1,881,381	\$23,203,691
E	379	430,899	\$17,048,699
F	344	64,259	\$14,278,389
H	966	516,885	\$13,366,996
I	300	441,308	\$11,974,450
J	688	686,721	\$11,534,143
L	160	230,546	\$11,237,390
O	317	433,818	\$10,667,689
R	627	630,248	\$10,515,022
N	397	541,168	\$9,501,291
AC	662	590,340	\$9,149,770
Q	429	284,662	\$8,609,819
T	127	72,904	\$8,504,346
K	626	519,229	\$8,093,023
P	216	384,164	\$7,711,561
U	130	134,019	\$7,164,649

Top Twenty	11,746,049	\$ 302,994,106		
Share of Total	40.6%	44.2%	Number	%
Providers Gtr \$100,000	28,582,290	\$ 672,779,127	354	44.1%
Share of Total	98.7%	98.2%		
Providers Less \$100,000	380,831	\$ 12,613,291	449	55.9%
Total	28,963,121	\$ 685,392,418	803	

Arizona DDD
 Top Twenty Providers
 Total Funds, by Year

FY 2010

PROVIDER	Users	Units	Payments
B	581	695,910	\$30,642,938
A	825	881,664	\$29,929,499
D	1,292	627,757	\$28,386,492
Various	2,200	2,090,953	\$25,479,095
C	1,570	1,410,636	\$22,658,881
E	375	426,984	\$16,838,777
H	1,023	526,987	\$13,708,758
F	391	65,314	\$13,635,797
R	723	699,675	\$11,884,547
I	327	446,617	\$11,688,659
J	680	683,365	\$11,218,412
L	161	221,434	\$11,013,237
O	312	425,170	\$10,961,045
AC	699	635,489	\$9,740,100
N	414	547,732	\$9,646,186
Q	564	294,392	\$8,564,469
T	127	74,303	\$8,558,418
P	229	386,228	\$7,578,802
K	568	475,543	\$7,440,947
U	137	134,918	\$7,221,572

Top Twenty	11,751,069	\$ 296,796,632		
Share of Total	42.4%	44.9%	Number	%
Providers Gtr \$100,000	27,287,928	\$ 646,628,028	352	37.0%
Share of Total	98.5%	97.8%		
Providers Less \$100,000	414,544	\$ 14,266,375	599	63.0%
Total	27,702,472	\$ 660,894,403	951	

FY 2009

PROVIDER	Users	Units	Payments
B	545	665,015	\$31,573,017
A	1,141	897,065	\$31,176,523
D	1,394	618,858	\$30,771,378
Various	2,381	2,284,114	\$30,341,270
C	1,242	1,067,653	\$19,253,556
E	378	421,700	\$18,405,953
R	840	774,666	\$14,667,513
F	354	68,318	\$14,427,985
H	959	449,784	\$13,501,807
O	443	432,023	\$12,986,250
I	343	458,976	\$12,641,699
L	154	220,594	\$12,197,326
J	692	652,004	\$11,433,299
N	414	534,223	\$10,230,265
AC	681	584,085	\$9,950,563
T	133	75,472	\$9,792,499
Q	638	285,461	\$9,571,735
P	212	375,635	\$8,042,863
X	146	245,826	\$7,771,600
U	137	134,993	\$7,753,532

Top Twenty	11,246,464	\$ 316,490,631		
Share of Total	43.3%	45.8%	Number	%
Providers Gtr \$100,000	25,546,768	\$ 674,213,102	342	31.6%
Share of Total	98.4%	97.5%		
Providers Less \$100,000	428,497	\$ 16,958,451	739	68.4%
Total	25,975,265	\$ 691,171,553	1081	

Arizona DDD
Top Ten Service Codes
TXIX Funds, By Year

Service Code	Svc Provs	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average Units per User	Average Dollars per User	Average Units per Provider	Average Dollars per Provider
2014									
HABQV	89	2,700	878,452	\$203,903,524	\$232.12	325	\$75,520	9,870	\$2,291,051
HAHQV	190	10,203	4,120,968	\$76,241,301	\$18.50	404	\$7,472	21,689	\$401,270
RSPQV	211	14,577	5,318,204	\$74,662,494	\$14.04	365	\$5,122	25,205	\$353,851
DTA	143	5,585	6,743,468	\$64,464,655	\$9.56	1,207	\$11,542	47,157	\$450,802
ATCQV	168	3,916	3,919,330	\$56,824,287	\$14.50	1,001	\$14,511	23,329	\$338,240
HBA	35	1,143	371,491	\$37,172,457	\$100.06	325	\$32,522	10,614	\$1,062,070
NURC	17	658	956,229	\$33,643,196	\$35.18	1,453	\$51,129	56,249	\$1,979,012
DTI	132	2,303	1,694,718	\$27,859,753	\$16.44	736	\$12,097	12,839	\$211,059
HIDQV	49	303	94,188	\$20,440,983	\$217.02	311	\$67,462	1,922	\$417,163
GSE	64	1,820	1,376,184	\$17,803,258	\$12.94	756	\$9,782	21,503	\$278,176
Sub-Total			25,473,232	\$613,015,908	\$24.07				
TOTAL	654	25,041	32,453,867	\$768,388,480	\$23.68	1,296	\$30,685	49,624	\$1,174,906

2013									
HABQV	92	2,614	864,900	\$187,455,702	\$216.74	331	\$71,712	9,401	\$2,037,562
HAHQV	193	9,667	3,901,076	\$68,562,690	\$17.58	404	\$7,092	20,213	\$355,247
RSPQV	215	13,609	4,976,399	\$66,324,000	\$13.33	366	\$4,874	23,146	\$308,484
DTA	148	5,287	6,254,697	\$56,752,929	\$9.07	1,183	\$10,734	42,261	\$383,466
ATCQV	164	3,503	3,393,933	\$46,740,378	\$13.77	969	\$13,343	20,695	\$285,002
HBA	32	1,059	334,700	\$31,824,205	\$95.08	316	\$30,051	10,459	\$994,506
NURC	16	598	863,490	\$28,903,261	\$33.47	1,444	\$48,333	53,968	\$1,806,454
DTI	131	1,873	1,543,705	\$23,695,102	\$15.35	824	\$12,651	11,784	\$180,879
HIDQV	43	294	91,954	\$18,952,109	\$206.10	313		2,138	\$440,747
GSE	63	1,582	1,226,752	\$14,785,698	\$12.05	775	\$9,346	19,472	\$234,694
Sub-Total			23,451,605	\$543,996,073	\$23.20				
TOTAL	695	23,932	28,943,380	\$662,076,301	\$22.87	1,209	\$27,665	41,645	\$952,628

2012									
HABQV	88	2,588	855,703	\$181,248,533	\$211.81	331	\$70,034	9,724	\$2,059,642
HAHQV	186	9,028	3,754,684	\$66,494,173	\$17.71	416	\$7,365	20,186	\$357,496
RSPQV	214	12,939	5,400,455	\$72,619,500	\$13.45	417	\$5,612	25,236	\$339,343
DTA	140	4,950	5,837,076	\$53,442,325	\$9.16	1,179	\$10,796	41,693	\$381,731
ATCQV	165	3,215	3,124,321	\$43,294,142	\$13.86	972	\$13,466	18,935	\$262,389
HBA	31	947	292,822	\$28,042,127	\$95.77	309	\$29,612	9,446	\$904,585
NURC	14	571	819,257	\$27,406,043	\$33.45	1,435	\$47,997	58,518	\$1,957,574
DTI	122	1,509	1,383,967	\$21,179,537	\$15.30	917	\$14,035	11,344	\$173,603
HIDQV	45	286	93,019	\$19,750,861	\$212.33	325		2,067	\$438,908
GSE	57	1,350	1,098,168	\$12,776,988	\$11.63	813	\$9,464	19,266	\$224,158
Sub-Total			22,659,471	\$526,254,228	\$23.22				
TOTAL	718	23,016	28,215,487	\$642,851,981	\$22.78	1,226	\$27,931	39,297	\$895,337

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
 Top Ten Service Codes
 TXIX Funds, By Year

Service Code	Svc Provs	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average Units per User	Average Dollars per User	Average Units per Provider	Average Dollars per Provider
2011									
HABQV	88	2,543	850,150	\$182,753,212	\$214.97	334	\$71,865	9,661	\$2,076,741
HAHQV	185	8,459	3,605,398	\$66,283,834	\$18.38	426	\$7,836	19,489	\$358,291
RSPQV	208	12,011	5,419,928	\$75,500,050	\$13.93	451	\$6,286	26,057	\$362,981
DTA	137	4,595	5,476,314	\$52,096,572	\$9.51	1,192	\$11,338	39,973	\$380,267
ATCQV	156	3,000	2,927,243	\$42,061,089	\$14.37	976	\$14,020	18,764	\$269,622
HBA	29	821	258,804	\$25,701,452	\$99.31	315	\$31,305	8,924	\$886,257
NURC	14	545	821,165	\$28,572,777	\$34.80	1,507	\$52,427	58,655	\$2,040,913
DTI	118	1,391	1,243,115	\$19,468,863	\$15.66	894	\$13,996	10,535	\$164,990
HIDQV	43	294	94,918	\$21,015,604	\$221.41	323		2,207	\$488,735
GSE	55	1,185	1,015,992	\$11,579,492	\$11.40	857	\$9,772	18,473	\$210,536
Sub-Total			21,713,025	\$525,032,946	\$24.18				
TOTAL	778	21,976	27,262,296	\$643,241,716	\$23.59	1,241	\$29,270	35,042	\$826,789

2010									
HABQV	90	2,554	839,656	\$178,766,588	\$212.90	329	\$69,995	9,330	\$1,986,295
HAHQV	180	7,931	3,476,040	\$63,854,457	\$18.37	438	\$8,051	19,311	\$354,747
RSPQV	201	10,893	4,845,896	\$67,465,377	\$13.92	445	\$6,193	24,109	\$335,649
DTA	123	4,311	5,072,371	\$48,352,785	\$9.53	1,177	\$11,216	41,239	\$393,112
ATCQV	148	2,828	2,792,615	\$40,083,218	\$14.35	987	\$14,174	18,869	\$270,833
HBA	27	716	214,432	\$21,288,295	\$99.28	299	\$29,732	7,942	\$788,455
NURC	14	513	764,315	\$26,554,971	\$34.74	1,490	\$51,764	54,594	\$1,896,784
DTI	112	1,276	1,173,909	\$18,107,197	\$15.42	920	\$14,191	10,481	\$161,671
HIDQV	41	291	91,838	\$20,630,569	\$224.64	316	\$70,895	2,240	\$503,185
GSE	51	1,080	905,915	\$9,877,476	\$10.90	839	\$9,146	17,763	\$193,676
Sub-Total			20,176,986	\$494,980,932	\$24.53				
TOTAL	878	20,947	25,814,714	\$615,563,120	\$23.85	1,232	\$29,387	29,402	\$701,097

2009									
HABQV	88	2,514	823,832	\$190,718,640	\$231.50	328	\$75,863	9,362	\$2,167,257
HAHQV	166	7,296	3,211,981	\$64,878,520	\$20.20	440	\$8,892	19,349	\$390,834
RSPQV	173	9,588	4,011,722	\$61,163,490	\$15.25	418	\$6,379	23,189	\$353,546
DTA	116	3,958	4,603,231	\$48,285,099	\$10.49	1,163	\$12,199	39,683	\$416,251
ATCQV	138	2,713	2,710,060	\$42,772,037	\$15.78	999	\$15,766	19,638	\$309,942
HBA	24	565	168,321	\$18,378,894	\$109.19	298	\$32,529	7,013	\$765,787
NURC	12	481	667,078	\$25,589,258	\$38.36	1,387	\$53,200	55,590	\$2,132,438
DTI	94	1,008	1,151,013	\$18,890,720	\$16.41	1,142	\$18,741	12,245	\$200,965
HIDQV	42	283	90,356	\$22,816,510	\$252.52	319		2,151	\$543,250
GSE	47	883	747,633	\$8,715,667	\$11.66	847	\$9,871	15,907	\$185,440
Sub-Total			18,185,227	\$502,208,834	\$27.62				
TOTAL	973	19,852	23,863,995	\$633,950,765	\$26.56	1,151	\$31,934	29,786	\$791,140

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
 Top Ten Service Codes
 TXIX Funds, Comparison to Previous Years

Service Code	Service Providers						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	88	90	88	88	92	89	-3.3%	1.1%
HAHQV	166	180	185	186	193	190	-1.6%	14.5%
RSPQV	173	201	208	214	215	211	-1.9%	22.0%
DTA	116	123	137	140	148	143	-3.4%	23.3%
ATCQV	138	148	156	165	164	168	2.4%	21.7%
HBA	24	27	29	31	32	35	9.4%	45.8%
NURC	12	14	14	14	16	17	6.3%	41.7%
HIDQV	42	41	43	45	43	49	14.0%	16.7%
GSE	47	51	55	57	63	64		
HIDQV	42	41	43	45	43	49	14.0%	16.7%
TOTAL	973	878	778	718	695	654	-5.9%	-32.8%

Service Code	Users						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	2,514	2,554	2,543	2,588	2,614	2,700	3.3%	7.4%
HAHQV	7,296	7,931	8,459	9,028	9,667	10,203	5.5%	39.8%
RSPQV	9,588	10,893	12,011	12,939	13,609	14,577	7.1%	52.0%
DTA	3,958	4,311	4,595	4,950	5,287	5,585	5.6%	41.1%
ATCQV	2,713	2,828	3,000	3,215	3,503	3,916	11.8%	44.3%
HBA	565	716	821	947	1,059	1,143	7.9%	102.3%
NURC	481	513	545	571	598	658	10.0%	36.8%
HIDQV	283	291	294	286	294	303	3.1%	7.1%
GSE	883	1,080	1,185	1,350	1,582	1,820		
HIDQV	283	291	294	286	294	303	3.1%	7.1%
TOTAL	19,852	20,947	21,976	23,016	23,932	25,041	4.6%	26.1%

Service Code	Units						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	823,832	839,656	850,150	855,703	864,900	878,452	1.6%	6.6%
HAHQV	3,211,981	3,476,040	3,605,398	3,754,684	3,901,076	4,120,968	5.6%	28.3%
RSPQV	4,011,722	4,845,896	5,419,928	5,400,455	4,976,399	5,318,204	6.9%	32.6%
DTA	4,603,231	5,072,371	5,476,314	5,837,076	6,254,697	6,743,468	7.8%	46.5%
ATCQV	2,710,060	2,792,615	2,927,243	3,124,321	3,393,933	3,919,330	15.5%	44.6%
HBA	168,321	214,432	258,804	292,822	334,700	371,491	11.0%	120.7%
NURC	667,078	764,315	821,165	819,257	863,490	956,229	10.7%	43.3%
HIDQV	90,356	91,838	94,918	93,019	91,954	94,188	2.4%	4.2%
GSE	747,633	905,915	1,015,992	1,098,168	1,226,752	1,376,184		
HIDQV	90,356	91,838	94,918	93,019	91,954	94,188	2.4%	4.2%
TOTAL	23,863,995	25,814,714	27,262,296	28,215,487	28,943,380	32,453,867	12.1%	36.0%

Service Code	Payments						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	\$190,718,640	\$178,766,588	\$182,753,212	\$181,248,533	\$187,455,702	\$203,903,524	8.8%	6.9%
HAHQV	\$64,878,520	\$63,854,457	\$66,283,834	\$66,494,173	\$68,562,690	\$76,241,301	11.2%	17.5%
RSPQV	\$61,163,490	\$67,465,377	\$75,500,050	\$72,619,500	\$66,324,000	\$74,662,494	12.6%	22.1%
DTA	\$48,285,099	\$48,352,785	\$52,096,572	\$53,442,325	\$56,752,929	\$64,464,655	13.6%	33.5%
ATCQV	\$42,772,037	\$40,083,218	\$42,061,089	\$43,294,142	\$46,740,378	\$56,824,287	21.6%	32.9%
HBA	\$18,378,894	\$21,288,295	\$25,701,452	\$28,042,127	\$31,824,205	\$37,172,457	16.8%	102.3%
NURC	\$25,589,258	\$26,554,971	\$28,572,777	\$27,406,043	\$28,903,261	\$33,643,196	16.4%	31.5%
HIDQV	\$22,816,510	\$20,630,569	\$21,015,604	\$19,750,861	\$18,952,109	\$20,440,983	7.9%	-10.4%
GSE	\$8,715,667	\$9,877,476	\$11,579,492	\$12,776,988	\$14,785,698	\$17,803,258		
HIDQV	\$22,816,510	\$20,630,569	\$21,015,604	\$19,750,861	\$18,952,109	\$20,440,983	7.9%	-10.4%
TOTAL	\$633,950,765	\$615,563,120	\$643,241,716	\$642,851,981	\$662,076,301	\$768,388,480	16.1%	21.2%

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
 Top Ten Service Codes
 TXIX Funds, Comparison to Previous Years

Service Code	Average Rate						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	\$231.50	\$212.90	\$214.97	\$211.81	\$216.74	\$232.12	7.1%	0.3%
HAHQV	\$20.20	\$18.37	\$18.38	\$17.71	\$17.58	\$18.50	5.3%	-8.4%
RSPQV	\$15.25	\$13.92	\$13.93	\$13.45	\$13.33	\$14.04	5.3%	-7.9%
DTA	\$10.49	\$9.53	\$9.51	\$9.16	\$9.07	\$9.56	5.4%	-8.9%
ATCQV	\$15.78	\$14.35	\$14.37	\$13.86	\$13.77	\$14.50	5.3%	-8.1%
HBA	\$109.19	\$99.28	\$99.31	\$95.77	\$95.08	\$100.06	5.2%	-8.4%
NURC	\$38.36	\$34.74	\$34.80	\$33.45	\$33.47	\$35.18	5.1%	-8.3%
HIDQV	\$252.52	\$224.64	\$221.41	\$212.33	\$206.10	\$217.02	5.3%	-14.1%
GSE	\$11.66	\$10.90	\$11.40	\$11.63	\$12.05	\$12.94		
HIDQV	\$252.52	\$224.64	\$221.41	\$212.33	\$206.10	\$217.02	5.3%	-14.1%
TOTAL	\$26.57	\$23.85	\$23.59	\$22.78	\$22.87	\$23.68	3.5%	-10.9%

Service Code	Average Units per User						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	328	329	334	331	331	325	-1.7%	-0.7%
HAHQV	440	438	426	416	404	404	0.1%	-8.3%
RSPQV	418	445	451	417	366	365	-0.2%	-12.8%
DTA	1,163	1,177	1,192	1,179	1,183	1,207	2.1%	3.8%
ATCQV	999	987	976	972	969	1,001	3.3%	0.2%
HBA	298	299	315	309	316	325	2.8%	9.1%
NURC	1,387	1,490	1,507	1,435	1,444	1,453	0.6%	4.8%
HIDQV	319	316	323	325	313	311	-0.6%	-2.6%
GSE	847	839	857	813	775	756		
HIDQV	319	316	323	325	313	311	-0.6%	-2.6%
TOTAL	1,202	1,232	1,241	1,226	1,209	1,296	7.2%	7.8%

Service Code	Average Dollars per User						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	\$75,863	\$69,995	\$71,865	\$70,034	\$71,712	\$75,520	5.3%	-0.5%
HAHQV	\$8,892	\$8,051	\$7,836	\$7,365	\$7,092	\$7,472	5.4%	-16.0%
RSPQV	\$6,379	\$6,193	\$6,286	\$5,612	\$4,874	\$5,122	5.1%	-19.7%
DTA	\$12,199	\$11,216	\$11,338	\$10,796	\$10,734	\$11,542	7.5%	-5.4%
ATCQV	\$15,766	\$14,174	\$14,020	\$13,466	\$13,343	\$14,511	8.8%	-8.0%
HBA	\$32,529	\$29,732	\$31,305	\$29,612	\$30,051	\$32,522	8.2%	0.0%
NURC	\$53,200	\$51,764	\$52,427	\$47,997	\$48,333	\$51,129	5.8%	-3.9%
HIDQV	\$80,624	\$70,895	\$71,482	\$69,059	\$64,463	\$67,462	4.7%	-16.3%
GSE	\$9,871	\$9,146	\$9,772	\$9,464	\$9,346	\$9,782		
HIDQV	\$80,624	\$70,895	\$71,482	\$69,059	\$64,463	\$67,462	4.7%	-16.3%
TOTAL	\$31,934	\$29,387	\$29,270	\$27,931	\$27,665	\$30,685	10.9%	-3.9%

Service Code	Average Units per Provider						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	9,362	9,330	9,661	9,724	9,401	9,870	5.0%	5.4%
HAHQV	19,349	19,311	19,489	20,186	20,213	21,689	7.3%	12.1%
RSPQV	23,189	24,109	26,057	25,236	23,146	25,205	8.9%	8.7%
DTA	39,683	41,239	39,973	41,693	42,261	47,157	11.6%	18.8%
ATCQV	19,638	18,869	18,764	18,935	20,695	23,329	12.7%	18.8%
HBA	7,013	7,942	8,924	9,446	10,459	10,614	1.5%	51.3%
NURC	55,590	54,594	58,655	58,518	53,968	56,249	4.2%	1.2%
HIDQV	2,151	2,240	2,207	2,067	2,138	1,922	-10.1%	-10.7%
GSE	15,907	17,763	18,473	19,266	19,472	21,503		
HIDQV	2,151	2,240	2,207	2,067	2,138	1,922	-10.1%	-10.7%
TOTAL	24,526	29,402	35,042	39,297	41,645	49,624	19.2%	102.3%

Service Code	Average Dollars per Provider						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
HABQV	\$2,167,257	\$1,986,295	\$2,076,741	\$2,059,642	\$2,037,562	\$2,291,051	12.4%	5.7%
HAHQV	\$390,834	\$354,747	\$358,291	\$357,496	\$355,247	\$401,270	13.0%	2.7%
RSPQV	\$353,546	\$335,649	\$362,981	\$339,343	\$308,484	\$353,851	14.7%	0.1%
DTA	\$416,251	\$393,112	\$380,267	\$381,731	\$383,466	\$450,802	17.6%	8.3%
ATCQV	\$309,942	\$270,833	\$269,622	\$262,389	\$285,002	\$338,240	18.7%	9.1%
HBA	\$765,787	\$788,455	\$886,257	\$904,585	\$994,506	\$1,062,070	6.8%	38.7%
NURC	\$2,132,438	\$1,896,784	\$2,040,913	\$1,957,574	\$1,806,454	\$1,979,012	9.6%	-7.2%
HIDQV	\$543,250	\$503,185	\$488,735	\$438,908	\$440,747	\$417,163	-5.4%	-23.2%
GSE	\$185,440	\$193,676	\$210,536	\$224,158	\$234,694	\$278,176		
HIDQV	\$543,250	\$503,185	\$488,735	\$438,908	\$440,747	\$417,163	-5.4%	-23.2%
TOTAL	\$651,542	\$701,097	\$826,789	\$895,337	\$952,628	\$1,174,906	23.3%	80.3%

* TOTAL line reflects all services.

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
Total Funds

FY 2009									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	920	1,110,056	\$ 13,081,413	\$11.78	1,207	\$ 14,219	1,110,056	\$ 13,081,413
ATCQV	138	2,724	2,720,623	\$ 42,936,289	\$15.78	999	\$ 15,762	19,715	\$ 311,133
CBE	27	1,101	1,150,164	\$ 6,285,562	\$5.46	1,045	\$ 5,709	42,599	\$ 232,799
CMG	6	639	16,552	\$ 534,992	\$32.32	26	\$ 837	2,759	\$ 89,165
DHI	31	4,266	89,321	\$ 6,931,928	\$77.61	21	\$ 1,625	2,881	\$ 223,611
DTA	116	4,037	4,699,678	\$ 49,283,277	\$10.49	1,164	\$ 12,208	40,514	\$ 424,856
DTASO	1	13	7,519	\$ -	\$0.00	578	\$ -	7,519	\$ -
DTC	79	2,309	437,107	\$ 4,945,609	\$11.31	189	\$ 2,142	5,533	\$ 62,603
DTI	94	1,016	1,162,250	\$ 19,076,629	\$16.41	1,144	\$ 18,776	12,364	\$ 202,943
DTR	17	192	152,395	\$ 1,752,136	\$11.50	794	\$ 9,126	8,964	\$ 103,067
ECM
ESA	42	307	18,987	\$ 345,255	\$18.18	62	\$ 1,125	452	\$ 8,220
GSE	47	1,026	912,266	\$ 10,601,330	\$11.62	889	\$ 10,333	19,410	\$ 225,560
HAA	146	257	77,760	\$ 4,176,317	\$53.71	303	\$ 16,250	533	\$ 28,605
HABQV	88	2,569	848,497	\$ 195,879,599	\$230.85	330	\$ 76,247	9,642	\$ 2,225,905
HABSO	1	47	10,522	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
HAC	53	82	24,705	\$ 1,778,192	\$71.98	301	\$ 21,685	466	\$ 33,551
HAHIP	1	908	436,388	\$ 6,820,019	\$15.63	481	\$ 7,511	436,388	\$ 6,820,019
HAHQV	166	7,363	3,243,619	\$ 65,486,998	\$20.19	441	\$ 8,894	19,540	\$ 394,500
HAIP	1	9	3,056	\$ 44,154	\$14.45		\$ 4,906	3,056	\$ 44,154
HAIQV	41	215	279,950	\$ 5,612,112	\$20.05	1,302	\$ 26,103	6,828	\$ 136,881
HAM	32	3,032	95,556	\$ 3,760,720	\$39.36	32	\$ 1,240	2,986	\$ 117,523
HAN	5	66	18,908	\$ 7,941,770	\$420.02	286	\$ 120,330	3,782	\$ 1,588,354
HBA	24	586	176,377	\$ 19,248,478	\$109.13	301	\$ 32,847	7,349	\$ 802,020
HBB	3	36	523	\$ 20,758	\$39.67	15	\$ 577	174	\$ 6,919
HBC	20	405	102,010	\$ 11,501,716	\$112.75	252	\$ 28,399	5,101	\$ 575,086
HBM	9	116	2,383	\$ 141,648	\$59.44	21	\$ 1,221	265	\$ 15,739
HCH	14	197	4,343	\$ 116,689	\$26.87	22	\$ 592	310	\$ 8,335
HHA	1	1	220	\$ 4,182	\$19.01	220	\$ 4,182	220	\$ 4,182
HIDQV	43	293	92,581	\$ 23,159,771	\$250.16	316	\$ 79,044	2,153	\$ 538,599
HPD	15	37	11,507	\$ 5,353,136	\$465.21	311	\$ 144,679	767	\$ 356,876
HPHQV	4	7	8,096	\$ 172,989	\$21.37	1,157	\$ 24,713	2,024	\$ 43,247
HSKIP	1	21	3,930	\$ 37,654	\$9.58	187	\$ 1,793	3,930	\$ 37,654
HSKQV	23	63	8,474	\$ 124,334	\$14.67	135	\$ 1,974	368	\$ 5,406
ICFQV	4	60	15,091	\$ 10,840,953	\$718.37	252	\$ 180,683	3,773	\$ 2,710,238
ICFSO	1	139	44,990	\$ -	\$0.00	324	\$ -	44,990	\$ -
ISE	33	193	6,835	\$ 167,109	\$24.45	35	\$ 866	207	\$ 5,064
NFX	26	80	21,284	\$ 3,095,479	\$145.44	266	\$ 38,693	819	\$ 119,057
NURC	12	484	688,850	\$ 26,334,359	\$38.23	1,423	\$ 54,410	57,404	\$ 2,194,530
NURI	11	176	24,817	\$ 982,911	\$39.61	141	\$ 5,585	2,256	\$ 89,356
NURV
OCV	101	1,858	1,866	\$ 333,668	\$178.86	1	\$ 180	18	\$ 3,304
OTA	125	6,604	149,778	\$ 10,473,666	\$69.93	23	\$ 1,586	1,198	\$ 83,789
OTH	401	4,917	74,466	\$ 6,180,803	\$83.00	15	\$ 1,257	186	\$ 15,413
PCP	6	40	41	\$ 17,112	\$417.35	1	\$ 428	7	\$ 2,852
PHV	82	1,142	1,154	\$ 205,614	\$178.25	1	\$ 180	14	\$ 2,507
PTA	99	4,667	102,893	\$ 7,066,910	\$68.68	22	\$ 1,514	1,039	\$ 71,383
RBD	206	1,234	372,509	\$ 4,876,302	\$13.09	302	\$ 3,952	1,808	\$ 23,671
RPI	1	1	90	\$ 34,204	\$380.04	90	\$ 34,204	90	\$ 34,204
RRBQV	89	2,529	860,483	\$ 20,005,436	\$23.25	340	\$ 7,910	9,668	\$ 224,780
RRBSO	1	47	10,514	\$ -	\$0.00	224	\$ -	10,514	\$ -
RSDIP	0	0	0	\$ -	\$ -
RSDQV	66	402	3,760	\$ 715,803	\$190.37	9	\$ 1,781	57	\$ 10,845
RSPIP	1	1,875	710,907	\$ 8,613,657	\$12.12	379	\$ 4,594	710,907	\$ 8,613,657
RSPQV	173	9,650	4,043,865	\$ 61,643,020	\$15.24		\$ 6,388	23,375	\$ 356,318
SPV	120	1,791	1,803	\$ 316,455	\$175.52	1	\$ 177	15	\$ 2,637
STA	164	7,653	193,856	\$ 13,458,313	\$69.42	25	\$ 1,759	1,182	\$ 82,063
STAA
TRA	111	3,142	794,145	\$ 8,496,713	\$10.70	253	\$ 2,704	7,154	\$ 76,547
TRI	16	18	5,460	\$ 53,560	\$9.81	303	\$ 2,976	341	\$ 3,348
TRO	5	75	3,275	\$ 103,863	\$31.71	44	\$ 1,385	655	\$ 20,773
TRR
TOTAL	1,081	24,581	26,059,050	\$ 691,171,563	\$26.52	1,060	\$ 28,118	24,106	\$ 639,382

**Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
Total Funds**

FY 2010									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	845	998,173	\$ 10,766,005	\$10.79	1,181	\$ 12,741	998,173	\$ 10,766,005
ATCQV	148	2,833	2,797,685	\$ 40,156,639	\$14.35	988	\$ 14,175	18,903	\$ 271,329
CBE	25	1,189	1,169,950	\$ 5,814,015	\$4.97	984	\$ 4,890	46,798	\$ 232,561
CMG	6	383	9,470	\$ 273,575	\$28.89	25	\$ 714	1,578	\$ 45,596
DHI	29	4,298	86,772	\$ 6,475,098	\$74.62	20	\$ 1,507	2,992	\$ 223,279
DTA	123	4,368	5,127,189	\$ 48,872,459	\$9.53	1,174	\$ 11,189	41,684	\$ 397,337
DTASO	1	13	21,395	\$ -	\$0.00	1,646	\$ -	21,395	\$ -
DTC	78	1,929	354,161	\$ 3,233,928	\$9.13	184	\$ 1,676	4,541	\$ 41,461
DTI	112	1,279	1,181,463	\$ 18,221,621	\$15.42	924	\$ 14,247	10,549	\$ 162,693
DTR	14	171	156,656	\$ 1,644,918	\$10.50	916	\$ 9,619	11,190	\$ 117,494
ECM									
ESA	42	266	17,247	\$ 285,237	\$16.54	65	\$ 1,072	411	\$ 6,791
GSE	51	1,189	1,024,240	\$ 11,167,596	\$10.90	861	\$ 9,392	20,083	\$ 218,972
HAA	128	207	64,077	\$ 3,274,357	\$51.10	310	\$ 15,818	501	\$ 25,581
HABQV	90	2,600	857,671	\$ 182,051,576	\$212.26	330	\$ 70,020	9,530	\$ 2,022,795
HABSO	1	77	20,484	\$ -			\$ -		
HAC	38	58	16,605	\$ 1,080,400	\$65.06	286	\$ 18,628	437	\$ 28,432
HAHIP	1	787	356,625	\$ 5,062,337	\$14.20	453	\$ 6,432	356,625	\$ 5,062,337
HAHQV	180	7,958	3,488,826	\$ 64,086,093	\$18.37	438	\$ 8,053	19,382	\$ 356,034
HAIP	1	7	2,283	\$ 22,196	\$9.72	326	\$ 3,171	2,283	\$ 22,196
HAIQV	38	205	288,473	\$ 5,287,707	\$18.33	1,407	\$ 25,794	7,591	\$ 139,150
HAM	28	2,664	85,869	\$ 2,718,516	\$31.66	32	\$ 1,020	3,067	\$ 97,900
HAN	5	64	17,587	\$ 6,807,760	\$387.09	275	\$ 106,371	3,517	\$ 1,361,552
HBA	27	739	222,112	\$ 22,047,405	\$99.26	301	\$ 29,834	8,226	\$ 816,571
HBB	5	70	1,075	\$ 38,691	\$36.00	15	\$ 553	215	\$ 7,738
HBC	21	442	115,993	\$ 11,861,328	\$102.26	262	\$ 26,836	5,523	\$ 564,825
HBM	8	174	3,642	\$ 196,647	\$54.00	21	\$ 1,130	455	\$ 24,581
HCH	11	53	1,072	\$ 22,501	\$20.99	20	\$ 425	97	\$ 2,046
HHA									
HIDQV	42	292	94,811	\$ 20,770,194	\$219.07	325	\$ 71,131	2,257	\$ 494,528
HPD	17	35	11,257	\$ 4,341,768	\$385.71	322	\$ 124,051	662	\$ 255,398
HPHQV	3	5	5,455	\$ 105,035	\$19.25	1,091	\$ 21,007	1,818	\$ 35,012
HSKIP	1	14	4,207	\$ 35,878	\$8.53	301	\$ 2,563	4,207	\$ 35,878
HSKQV	26	64	9,256	\$ 123,478	\$13.34	145	\$ 1,929	356	\$ 4,749
ICFQV	3	57	13,719	\$ 10,317,844	\$752.08	241	\$ 181,015	4,573	\$ 3,439,281
ICFSO	1	134	43,831	\$ -	\$0.00	327	\$ -	43,831	\$ -
ISE	30	181	7,808	\$ 179,979	\$23.05	43	\$ 994	260	\$ 5,999
NFX	24	71	17,779	\$ 2,602,036	\$146.35	250	\$ 36,648	741	\$ 108,418
NURC	14	514	765,528	\$ 26,597,233	\$34.74	1,489	\$ 51,746	54,681	\$ 1,899,802
NURI	11	184	24,430	\$ 886,908	\$36.30	133	\$ 4,820	2,221	\$ 80,628
NURV									
OCV	98	1,400	1,407	\$ 225,232	\$160.08	1	\$ 161	14	\$ 2,298
OTA	121	6,551	159,539	\$ 9,913,657	\$62.14	24	\$ 1,513	1,319	\$ 81,931
OTH	273	4,152	120,111	\$ 5,308,691	\$44.20	29	\$ 1,279	440	\$ 19,446
PCP	2	4	4	\$ 1,510	\$377.46	1	\$ 377	2	\$ 755
PHV	84	983	986	\$ 157,249	\$159.48	1	\$ 160	12	\$ 1,872
PTA	97	4,605	106,850	\$ 6,520,438	\$61.02	23	\$ 1,416	1,102	\$ 67,221
RBD	175	1,356	410,459	\$ 4,921,328	\$11.99	303	\$ 3,629	2,345	\$ 28,122
RPI	1	1	95	\$ 33,786	\$355.64	95	\$ 33,786	95	\$ 33,786
RRBQV	89	2,562	868,231	\$ 18,295,753	\$21.07	339	\$ 7,141	9,755	\$ 205,570
RRBSO	1	63	16,267	\$ -	\$0.00	258	\$ -	16,267	\$ -
RSDIP									
RSDQV	65	326	2,799	\$ 478,097	\$170.81	9	\$ 1,467	43	\$ 7,355
RSPIP	1	1,761	710,841	\$ 7,817,437	\$11.00	404	\$ 4,439	710,841	\$ 7,817,437
RSPQV	201	10,920	4,857,846	\$ 67,628,745	\$13.92	445	\$ 6,193	24,168	\$ 336,461
SPV	122	1,751	1,766	\$ 281,953	\$159.70	1	\$ 161	14	\$ 2,311
STA	162	8,234	216,095	\$ 13,401,093	\$62.01	26	\$ 1,628	1,334	\$ 82,723
STAA									
TRA	111	3,019	769,630	\$ 7,212,289	\$9.37	255	\$ 2,389	6,934	\$ 64,976
TRI	21	19	5,186	\$ 48,861	\$9.42	273	\$ 2,572	247	\$ 2,327
TRO	4	35	2,353	\$ 81,685	\$34.72	67	\$ 2,334	588	\$ 20,421
TRR	36	347	87,130	\$ 1,139,660	\$13.08	251	\$ 3,284	2,420	\$ 31,657
TOTAL	951	25,436	27,822,468	\$ 660,896,422	\$23.75	1,094	\$ 25,983	29,256	\$ 694,949

**Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
Total Funds**

FY 2011									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	784	904,816	\$ 9,798,774	\$10.83	1,154	\$ 12,498	904,816	\$ 9,798,774
ATCQV	156	3,000	2,928,078	\$ 42,073,144	\$14.37	976	\$ 14,024	18,770	\$ 269,700
CBE	27	1,156	1,146,948	\$ 5,697,340	\$4.97	992	\$ 4,928	42,480	\$ 211,013
CMG	1	17	289	\$ 12,181	\$42.22	17	\$ 717	289	\$ 12,181
DHI	25	3,983	80,263	\$ 5,954,676	\$74.19	20	\$ 1,495	3,211	\$ 238,187
DTA	137	4,611	5,500,563	\$ 52,326,448	\$9.51	1,193	\$ 11,348	40,150	\$ 381,945
DTASO	1	13	21,254	\$ -	\$0.00	1,635	\$ -	21,254	\$ -
DTC	84	2,127	375,412	\$ 3,428,986	\$9.13	176	\$ 1,612	4,469	\$ 40,821
DTI	118	1,395	1,251,131	\$ 19,588,625	\$15.66	897	\$ 14,042	10,603	\$ 166,005
DTR	12	131	145,455	\$ 1,530,181	\$10.52	1,110	\$ 11,681	12,121	\$ 127,515
ECM									
ESA	39	187	14,102	\$ 235,004	\$16.66	75	\$ 1,257	362	\$ 6,026
GSE	55	1,204	1,039,812	\$ 11,803,749	\$11.35	864	\$ 9,804	18,906	\$ 214,614
HAA	107	173	55,079	\$ 2,889,057	\$52.45	318	\$ 16,700	515	\$ 27,001
HABQV	88	2,582	865,989	\$ 185,645,384	\$214.37	335	\$ 71,900	9,841	\$ 2,109,607
HABSO	1	71	20,517	\$ -			\$ -		
HAC	25	38	12,012	\$ 742,952	\$61.85	316	\$ 19,551	480	\$ 29,718
HAHIP	1	689	290,898	\$ 4,172,751	\$14.34	422	\$ 6,056	290,898	\$ 4,172,751
HAHQV	185	8,461	3,606,332	\$ 66,301,086	\$18.38	426	\$ 7,836	19,494	\$ 358,384
HAHIP	1	4	973	\$ 9,282	\$9.54	243	\$ 2,320	973	\$ 9,282
HAIQV	40	195	282,661	\$ 5,189,310	\$18.36	1,450	\$ 26,612	7,067	\$ 129,733
HAM	22	1,685	47,478	\$ 862,630	\$18.17	28	\$ 512	2,158	\$ 39,210
HAN	5	62	18,419	\$ 7,064,520	\$383.55	297	\$ 113,944	3,684	\$ 1,412,904
HBA	29	844	267,411	\$ 26,560,238	\$99.32	317	\$ 31,469	9,221	\$ 915,870
HBB	7	119	2,281	\$ 82,107	\$36.00	19	\$ 690	326	\$ 11,730
HBC	21	385	97,072	\$ 9,949,829	\$102.50	252	\$ 25,844	4,622	\$ 473,801
HBM	10	276	5,080	\$ 274,307	\$54.00	18	\$ 994	508	\$ 27,431
HCH	6	16	171	\$ 3,124	\$18.24	11	\$ 195	29	\$ 521
HHA									
HIDQV	43	295	95,283	\$ 21,083,749	\$221.28	323	\$ 71,470	2,216	\$ 490,320
HPD	14	32	10,291	\$ 3,697,032	\$359.27	322	\$ 115,532	735	\$ 264,074
HPHQV	1	1	1,659	\$ 30,658	\$18.48	1,659	\$ 30,658	1,659	\$ 30,658
HSKIP	1	13	3,669	\$ 31,223	\$8.51	282	\$ 2,402	3,669	\$ 31,223
HSKQV	28	66	7,942	\$ 105,950	\$13.34	120	\$ 1,605	284	\$ 3,784
ICFQV	1	50	12,511	\$ 10,801,484	\$863.36	250	\$ 216,030	12,511	\$ 10,801,484
ICFSO	1	124	42,175	\$ -	\$0.00	340	\$ -	42,175	\$ -
ISE	28	109	6,025	\$ 155,095	\$25.74	55	\$ 1,423	215	\$ 5,539
NFX	22	53	13,651	\$ 2,018,038	\$147.83	258	\$ 38,076	621	\$ 91,729
NURC	14	545	821,353	\$ 28,579,641	\$34.80	1,507	\$ 52,440	58,668	\$ 2,041,403
NURI	13	165	27,190	\$ 992,879	\$36.52	165	\$ 6,017	2,092	\$ 76,375
NURV									
OCV	93	1,649	1,656	\$ 262,783	\$158.69	1	\$ 159	18	\$ 2,826
OTA	119	6,810	163,772	\$ 10,003,881	\$61.08	24	\$ 1,469	1,376	\$ 84,066
OTH	136	2,948	49,437	\$ 4,157,580	\$84.10	17	\$ 1,410	364	\$ 30,570
PCP									
PHV	72	909	909	\$ 144,302	\$158.84	1	\$ 159	13	\$ 2,004
PTA	95	4,640	106,299	\$ 6,394,734	\$60.16	23	\$ 1,378	1,119	\$ 67,313
RBD	144	1,373	424,961	\$ 5,107,790	\$12.02	310	\$ 3,720	2,951	\$ 35,471
RPI	1	1	88	\$ 35,175	\$399.72	88	\$ 35,175	88	\$ 35,175
RRBQV	87	2,533	875,103	\$ 18,349,781	\$20.97	345	\$ 7,244	10,059	\$ 210,917
RRBSO	1	56	16,216	\$ -	\$0.00	290	\$ -	16,216	\$ -
RSDIP	1	1	3	\$ 37	\$12.20	3	\$ 37	3	\$ 37
RSDQV	65	320	2,730	\$ 459,710	\$168.42	9	\$ 1,437	42	\$ 7,072
RSPIP	1	1,586	648,519	\$ 7,275,728	\$11.22	409	\$ 4,587	648,519	\$ 7,275,728
RSPQV	208	12,015	5,422,190	\$ 75,530,969	\$13.93	451	\$ 6,286	26,068	\$ 363,130
SPV	117	2,092	2,116	\$ 335,583	\$158.59	1	\$ 160	18	\$ 2,868
STA	156	9,023	227,419	\$ 14,053,121	\$61.79	25	\$ 1,557	1,458	\$ 90,084
STAA	26	891	16,044	\$ 706,243	\$44.02	18	\$ 793	617	\$ 27,163
TRA	115	3,290	856,973	\$ 8,014,051	\$9.35	260	\$ 2,436	7,452	\$ 69,687
TRI	16	15	5,142	\$ 44,460	\$8.65	343	\$ 2,964	321	\$ 2,779
TRO	2	19	2,104	\$ 76,973	\$36.58	111	\$ 4,051	1,052	\$ 38,487
TRR	36	316	86,825	\$ 1,135,664	\$13.08	275	\$ 3,594	2,412	\$ 31,546
TOTAL	803	25,675	28,930,744	\$ 681,780,001	\$23.57	1,127	\$ 26,554	36,028	\$ 849,041

**Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
Total Funds**

FY 2012									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	721	835,344	\$ 8,728,633	\$10.45	1,159	\$ 12,106	835,344	\$ 8,728,633
ATCQV	165	3,215	3,125,468	\$ 43,310,038	\$13.86	972	\$ 13,471	18,942	\$ 262,485
CBE	25	1,205	1,169,829	\$ 5,591,307	\$4.78	971	\$ 4,640	46,793	\$ 223,652
CMG	1	29	487	\$ 20,023	\$41.15	17	\$ 690	487	\$ 20,023
DHI	24	3,336	70,867	\$ 5,134,763	\$72.46	21	\$ 1,539	2,953	\$ 213,948
DTA	140	4,963	5,860,164	\$ 53,653,187	\$9.16	1,181	\$ 10,811	41,858	\$ 383,237
DTASO	1	13	20,104	\$ -	\$0.00	1,546	\$ -	20,104	\$ -
DTC	83	2,292	381,915	\$ 3,382,574	\$8.86	167	\$ 1,476	4,601	\$ 40,754
DTI	122	1,512	1,391,581	\$ 21,287,990	\$15.30	920	\$ 14,079	11,406	\$ 174,492
DTR	18	180	155,373	\$ 1,572,260	\$10.12	863	\$ 8,735	8,632	\$ 87,348
ECM									
ESA	37	187	14,303	\$ 233,334	\$16.31	76	\$ 1,248	387	\$ 6,306
GSE	57	1,370	1,120,142	\$ 12,985,699	\$11.59	818	\$ 9,479	19,652	\$ 227,819
HAA	91	154	50,147	\$ 2,559,053	\$51.03	326	\$ 16,617	551	\$ 28,121
HABQV	88	2,624	869,110	\$ 183,583,883	\$211.23	331	\$ 69,963	9,876	\$ 2,086,180
HABSO	1	64	19,556	\$ -			\$ -		
HAC	19	29	7,183	\$ 439,867	\$61.24	248	\$ 15,168	378	\$ 23,151
HAHIP	1	597	242,170	\$ 3,367,442	\$13.91	406	\$ 5,641	242,170	\$ 3,367,442
HAHQV	186	9,030	3,756,419	\$ 66,524,588	\$17.71	416	\$ 7,367	20,196	\$ 357,659
HAIP	1	5	1,851	\$ 21,740	\$11.74	370	\$ 4,348	1,851	\$ 21,740
HAIQV	42	198	281,856	\$ 4,948,378	\$17.56	1,424	\$ 24,992	6,711	\$ 117,819
HAM	22	1,219	33,104	\$ 571,539	\$17.27	27	\$ 469	1,505	\$ 25,979
HAN	6	67	20,509	\$ 7,649,774	\$373.00	306	\$ 114,176	3,418	\$ 1,274,962
HBA	31	968	301,059	\$ 28,843,759	\$95.81	311	\$ 29,797	9,712	\$ 930,444
HBB	5	176	3,910	\$ 135,386	\$34.62	22	\$ 769	782	\$ 27,077
HBC	22	342	84,232	\$ 8,270,370	\$98.19	246	\$ 24,182	3,829	\$ 375,926
HBM	9	378	8,873	\$ 460,397	\$51.89	23	\$ 1,218	986	\$ 51,155
HCH	3	3	69	\$ 1,219	\$17.73	23	\$ 406	23	\$ 406
HHA	1	1	12	\$ 205	\$17.11	12	\$ 205	12	\$ 205
HIDQV	45	287	93,720	\$ 19,885,157	\$212.18	327	\$ 69,286	2,083	\$ 441,892
HPD	15	27	8,481	\$ 2,805,564	\$330.81	314	\$ 103,910	565	\$ 187,038
HPHQV	1	1	1,855	\$ 32,972	\$17.77	1,855	\$ 32,972	1,855	\$ 32,972
HSKIP	1	11	2,888	\$ 24,648	\$8.53	263	\$ 2,241	2,888	\$ 24,648
HSKQV	22	57	7,338	\$ 94,123	\$12.83	129	\$ 1,651	334	\$ 4,278
ICFQV	1	44	12,449	\$ 11,100,885	\$891.71	283	\$ 252,293	12,449	\$ 11,100,885
ICFSO	1	117	39,970	\$ -	\$0.00	342	\$ -	39,970	\$ -
ISE	27	89	4,736	\$ 117,320	\$24.77	53	\$ 1,318	175	\$ 4,345
NFX	26	61	15,454	\$ 2,682,363	\$173.57	253	\$ 43,973	594	\$ 103,168
NURC	14	571	819,476	\$ 27,411,070			\$ 48,005		
NURI	14	182	33,925	\$ 1,211,532	\$35.71	186	\$ 6,657	2,423	\$ 86,538
NURV									
OCV	82	1,617	1,628	\$ 251,973	\$154.82	1	\$ 156	20	\$ 3,073
OTA	105	6,928	168,069	\$ 9,929,387	\$59.08	24	\$ 1,433	1,601	\$ 94,566
OTH	69	2,569	25,460	\$ 3,715,145	\$145.92	10	\$ 1,446	369	\$ 53,843
PCP									
PHV	74	819	823	\$ 125,989	\$153.08	1	\$ 154	11	\$ 1,703
PTA	94	4,550	105,678	\$ 6,091,825	\$57.65	23	\$ 1,339	1,124	\$ 64,807
RBD	129	1,431	435,370	\$ 5,244,479	\$12.05	304	\$ 3,665	3,375	\$ 40,655
RP1	1	1	97	\$ 37,310	\$384.64	97	\$ 37,310	97	\$ 37,310
RRBQV	88	2,587	882,581	\$ 18,521,668	\$20.99	341	\$ 7,160	10,029	\$ 210,474
RRBSO	1	47	15,400	\$ -	\$0.00	328	\$ -	15,400	\$ -
RSDIP									
RSDQV	66	374	2,979	\$ 488,014	\$163.82	8	\$ 1,305	45	\$ 7,394
RSPIP	1	1,490	614,156	\$ 6,603,455	\$10.75	412	\$ 4,432	614,156	\$ 6,603,455
RSPQV	214	12,944	5,403,100	\$ 72,655,562	\$13.45	417	\$ 5,613	25,248	\$ 339,512
SPV	112	2,059	2,098	\$ 322,339	\$153.62	1	\$ 157	19	\$ 2,878
STA	148	9,565	246,706	\$ 14,694,702	\$59.56	26	\$ 1,536	1,667	\$ 99,289
STAA	46	1,884	36,394	\$ 1,653,587	\$45.44	19	\$ 878	791	\$ 35,948
TRA	123	3,675	952,620	\$ 8,616,504	\$9.05	259	\$ 2,345	7,745	\$ 70,053
TRI	14	12	4,559	\$ 42,210	\$9.26	380	\$ 3,518	326	\$ 3,015
TRO	3	20	1,612	\$ 56,770	\$35.22	81	\$ 2,839	537	\$ 18,923
TRR	33	359	99,871	\$ 1,264,935	\$12.67	278	\$ 3,523	3,026	\$ 38,331
TOTAL	735	26,414	29,865,130	\$ 678,958,896	\$22.73	1,131	\$ 25,705	40,633	\$ 923,754

**Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
Total Funds**

FY 2013									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	673	784,180	\$ 8,082,273	\$10.31	1,165	\$ 12,009	784,180	\$ 8,082,273
ATCQV	164	3,503	3,394,795	\$ 46,752,349	\$13.77	969	\$ 13,346	20,700	\$ 285,075
CBE	25	1,263	1,192,219	\$ 5,654,308	\$4.74	944	\$ 4,477	47,689	\$ 226,172
CMG	1	24	493	\$ 19,899	\$40.38	21	\$ 829	493	\$ 19,899
DHI	22	2,419	47,067	\$ 3,339,836	\$70.96	19	\$ 1,381	2,139	\$ 151,811
DTA	148	5,300	6,273,138	\$ 56,919,789	\$9.07	1,184	\$ 10,740	42,386	\$ 384,593
DTASO	1	11	12,422	\$ -	\$0.00	1,129	\$ -	12,422	\$ -
DTC	89	2,427	388,559	\$ 3,396,111	\$8.74	160	\$ 1,399	4,366	\$ 38,159
DTI	134	2,807	1,553,594	\$ 24,048,936	\$15.48	553	\$ 8,567	11,594	\$ 179,470
DTR	24	244	200,839	\$ 2,022,794	\$10.07	823	\$ 8,290	8,368	\$ 84,283
ECM									
ESA	37	183	13,159	\$ 210,617	\$16.01	72	\$ 1,151	356	\$ 5,692
GSE	63	1,599	1,247,238	\$ 14,984,650	\$12.01	780	\$ 9,371	19,797	\$ 237,852
HAA	86	139	43,556	\$ 2,178,580	\$50.02	313	\$ 15,673	506	\$ 25,332
HABQV	92	2,645	877,108	\$ 189,636,819	\$216.21	332	\$ 71,696	9,534	\$ 2,061,270
HABSO	1	58	18,232	\$ -	\$0.00	314	\$ -	18,232	\$ -
HAC	11	13	3,371	\$ 193,507	\$57.40	259	\$ 14,885	306	\$ 17,592
HAHIP	1	523	201,957	\$ 2,775,361	\$13.74	386	\$ 5,307	201,957	\$ 2,775,361
HAHQV	193	9,671	3,902,427	\$ 68,586,489	\$17.58	404	\$ 7,092	20,220	\$ 355,370
HAIP	1	13	5,139	\$ 60,770	\$11.83	395	\$ 4,675	5,139	\$ 60,770
HAIQV	43	209	277,000	\$ 4,838,465	\$17.47	1,325	\$ 23,151	6,442	\$ 112,522
HAM	19	1,151	31,139	\$ 532,707	\$17.11	27	\$ 463	1,639	\$ 28,037
HAN	6	78	22,108	\$ 8,226,682	\$372.11	283	\$ 105,470	3,685	\$ 1,371,114
HBA	32	1,078	341,915	\$ 32,517,514	\$95.10	317	\$ 30,165	10,685	\$ 1,016,172
HBB	7	248	5,309	\$ 182,756	\$34.42	21	\$ 737	758	\$ 26,108
HBC	23	325	80,904	\$ 7,862,928	\$97.19	249	\$ 24,194	3,518	\$ 341,866
HBM	11	547	12,007	\$ 622,160	\$51.82	22	\$ 1,137	1,092	\$ 56,560
HCH	2	2	30	\$ 527	\$17.55	15	\$ 263	15	\$ 263
HHA									
HIDQV	43	295	92,509	\$ 19,054,101	\$205.97	314	\$ 64,590	2,151	\$ 443,119
HPD	13	22	7,515	\$ 2,520,593	\$335.41	342	\$ 114,572	578	\$ 193,892
HPHQV	1	1	1,750	\$ 30,896	\$17.65	1,750	\$ 30,896	1,750	\$ 30,896
HSKIP	1	12	2,892	\$ 25,444	\$8.80	241	\$ 2,120	2,892	\$ 25,444
HSKQV	23	61	6,423	\$ 81,869	\$12.75	105	\$ 1,342	279	\$ 3,560
ICFQV	1	41	12,224	\$ 11,031,188	\$902.42	298	\$ 269,053	12,224	\$ 11,031,188
ICFSO	1	113	37,797	\$ -	\$0.00	334	\$ -	37,797	\$ -
ISE	27	76	3,546	\$ 86,612	\$24.43	47	\$ 1,140	131	\$ 3,208
NFX	23	67	14,260	\$ 2,641,816	\$185.26	213	\$ 39,430	620	\$ 114,862
NURC	16	598	863,892	\$ 28,915,719	\$33.47	1,445	\$ 48,354	53,993	\$ 1,807,232
NURI	16	188	17,303	\$ 638,138	\$36.88	92	\$ 3,394	1,081	\$ 39,884
NURV	11	124	22,973	\$ 816,378	\$35.54	185	\$ 6,584	2,088	\$ 74,216
OCV	86	1,511	1,523	\$ 229,315	\$150.62	1	\$ 152	18	\$ 2,666
OTA	106	6,838	168,525	\$ 9,826,506	\$58.31	25	\$ 1,437	1,590	\$ 92,703
OTH	54	3,622	28,557	\$ 4,129,914	\$144.62	8	\$ 1,140	529	\$ 76,480
PCP									
PHV	61	711	712	\$ 106,091	\$149.11	1	\$ 149	12	\$ 1,739
PTA	88	4,041	97,182	\$ 5,604,620	\$57.67	24	\$ 1,387	1,104	\$ 63,689
RBD	121	1,486	464,011	\$ 5,632,191	\$12.14	312	\$ 3,790	3,835	\$ 46,547
RPI	1	1	99	\$ 37,801	\$381.83	99	\$ 37,801	99	\$ 37,801
RRBQV	92	2,613	896,683	\$ 18,994,976	\$21.18	343	\$ 7,269	9,747	\$ 206,467
RRBSO	1	62	18,583	\$ -	\$0.00	300	\$ -	18,583	\$ -
RSDIP	1	467	4,547	\$ 656,107	\$144.29	10	\$ 1,405	4,547	\$ 656,107
RSDQV	116	2,000	14,742	\$ 2,563,001	\$173.86	7	\$ 1,282	127	\$ 22,095
RSPIP	1	1,302	433,541	\$ 4,710,902	\$10.87	333	\$ 3,618	433,541	\$ 4,710,902
RSPQV	215	13,616	4,979,264	\$ 66,362,664	\$13.33	366	\$ 4,874	23,159	\$ 308,664
SPV	120	1,995	2,025	\$ 308,353	\$152.27	1	\$ 155	17	\$ 2,570
STA	148	9,381	250,728	\$ 14,838,607	\$59.18	27	\$ 1,582	1,694	\$ 100,261
STAA	54	2,345	49,096	\$ 2,295,063	\$46.75	21	\$ 979	909	\$ 42,501
TRA	134	4,140	1,052,319	\$ 9,481,787	\$9.01	254	\$ 2,290	7,853	\$ 70,760
TRI	12	11	3,785	\$ 34,894	\$9.22	344	\$ 3,172	315	\$ 2,908
TRO	5	21	1,290	\$ 47,867	\$37.11	61	\$ 2,279	258	\$ 9,573
TRR	35	377	105,745	\$ 1,331,145	\$12.59	280	\$ 3,531	3,021	\$ 38,033
TOTAL	706	26,791	30,585,944	\$ 696,681,386	\$22.78	1,142	\$ 26,004	43,323	\$ 986,801

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
Total Funds

FY 2014									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	649	753,912	\$ 8,061,555	\$10.69	1,162	\$ 12,422	753,912	\$ 8,061,555
ATCQV	168	3,917	3,920,700	\$ 56,843,957	\$14.50	1,001	\$ 14,512	23,338	\$ 338,357
CBE	24	1,295	1,229,793	\$ 6,144,927	\$5.00	950	\$ 4,745	51,241	\$ 256,039
CMG									
DH1									
DTA	143	5,599	6,765,168	\$ 64,671,020	\$9.56	1,208	\$ 11,550	47,309	\$ 452,245
DTASO	1	11	6,843	\$ -	\$0.00	622	\$ -	6,843	\$ -
DTC	86	2,562	420,306	\$ 3,858,246	\$9.18	164	\$ 1,506	4,887	\$ 44,863
DTI	134	4,992	1,735,189	\$ 29,730,252	\$17.13	348	\$ 5,956	12,949	\$ 221,868
DTR	21	254	269,990	\$ 2,856,511	\$10.58	1,063	\$ 11,246	12,857	\$ 136,024
ECM	1	1	15	\$ 815	\$54.30	15	\$ 815	15	\$ 815
ESA	36	181	14,984	\$ 253,860	\$16.94	83	\$ 1,403	416	\$ 7,052
GSE	64	1,836	1,398,260	\$ 18,045,057	\$12.91	762	\$ 9,828	21,848	\$ 281,954
HAA	60	98	29,737	\$ 1,551,775	\$52.18	303	\$ 15,834	496	\$ 25,863
HABQV	89	2,732	891,519	\$ 206,598,459	\$231.74	326	\$ 75,622	10,017	\$ 2,321,331
HABSO	1	56	16,729	\$ -	\$0.00	299	\$ -	16,729	\$ -
HAC	4	6	1,383	\$ 91,044	\$65.83	231	\$ 15,174	346	\$ 22,761
HAHIP	1	471	173,923	\$ 2,461,072	\$14.15	369	\$ 5,225	173,923	\$ 2,461,072
HAHQV	190	10,205	4,121,621	\$ 76,253,438	\$18.50	404	\$ 7,472	21,693	\$ 401,334
HAIP	1	12	2,864	\$ 29,214	\$10.20	239	\$ 2,435	2,864	\$ 29,214
HAIQV	43	213	258,932	\$ 4,803,087	\$18.55	1,216	\$ 22,550	6,022	\$ 111,700
HAM	15	1,138	32,470	\$ 582,367	\$17.94	29	\$ 512	2,165	\$ 38,824
HAN	6	101	27,495	\$ 10,774,672	\$391.88	272	\$ 106,680	4,583	\$ 1,795,779
HBA	35	1,162	378,638	\$ 37,882,312	\$100.05	326	\$ 32,601	10,818	\$ 1,082,352
HBB	10	302	6,760	\$ 243,460	\$36.02	22	\$ 806	676	\$ 24,346
HBC	27	314	76,526	\$ 7,801,560	\$101.95	244	\$ 24,846	2,834	\$ 288,947
HBM	14	632	14,539	\$ 788,401	\$54.23	23	\$ 1,247	1,039	\$ 56,314
HCH	1	1	473	\$ 8,467	\$17.90	473	\$ 8,467	473	\$ 8,467
HHA	1	3	2,358	\$ 20,279	\$8.60	786	\$ 6,760	2,358	\$ 20,279
HIDQV	49	303	94,202	\$ 20,445,595	\$217.04	311	\$ 67,477	1,922	\$ 417,257
HPD	10	20	6,050	\$ 2,141,121	\$353.90	303	\$ 107,056	605	\$ 214,112
HPHQV	1	1	1,729	\$ 32,125	\$18.58	1,729	\$ 32,125	1,729	\$ 32,125
HSKIP	1	12	1,657	\$ 14,679	\$8.86	138	\$ 1,223	1,657	\$ 14,679
HSKQV	18	55	6,465	\$ 86,695	\$13.41	118	\$ 1,576	359	\$ 4,816
ICFQV	2	52	12,513	\$ 13,762,464	\$1,099.85	241	\$ 264,663	6,257	\$ 6,881,232
ICFSO	1	112	37,364	\$ -	\$0.00	334	\$ -	37,364	\$ -
ISE	28	68	3,217	\$ 81,823	\$25.43	47	\$ 1,203	115	\$ 2,922
NFX	20	67	13,205	\$ 2,454,431	\$185.87	197	\$ 36,633	660	\$ 122,722
NURC	17	658	956,266	\$ 33,644,554	\$35.18	1,453	\$ 51,132	56,251	\$ 1,979,091
NURI	14	96	10,532	\$ 418,193	\$39.71	110	\$ 4,356	752	\$ 29,871
NURV	12	139	34,008	\$ 1,270,792	\$37.37	245	\$ 9,142	2,834	\$ 105,899
OCV	70	1,343	1,351	\$ 216,203	\$160.03	1	\$ 161	19	\$ 3,089
OTA	100	8,910	181,154	\$ 11,378,876	\$62.81	20	\$ 1,277	1,812	\$ 113,789
OTH	59	2,842	26,227	\$ 4,442,264	\$169.38	9	\$ 1,563	445	\$ 75,293
PCP									
PHV	54	659	659	\$ 103,315	\$156.78	1	\$ 157	12	\$ 1,913
PTA	83	5,851	93,924	\$ 5,822,427	\$61.99	16	\$ 995	1,132	\$ 70,150
RBD	97	1,522	482,315	\$ 6,152,372	\$12.76	317	\$ 4,042	4,972	\$ 63,427
RP1	1	1	74	\$ 29,739	\$401.88	74	\$ 29,739	74	\$ 29,739
RRBQV	89	2,727	914,472	\$ 20,744,188	\$22.68	335	\$ 7,607	10,275	\$ 233,081
RRBSO	1	60	18,504	\$ -	\$0.00	308	\$ -	18,504	\$ -
RSDIP	1	407	4,095	\$ 643,994	\$157.26	10	\$ 1,582	4,095	\$ 643,994
RSDQV	119	1,889	15,527	\$ 2,935,849	\$189.09	8	\$ 1,554	130	\$ 24,671
RSPIP	1	1,146	362,942	\$ 4,038,417	\$11.13	317	\$ 3,524	362,942	\$ 4,038,417
RSPQV	211	14,581	5,320,492	\$ 74,695,009	\$14.04	365	\$ 5,123	25,216	\$ 354,005
SPV	101	1,655	1,686	\$ 269,573	\$159.89	1	\$ 163	17	\$ 2,669
STA	146	10,780	250,989	\$ 16,119,264	\$64.22	23	\$ 1,495	1,719	\$ 110,406
STAA	57	2,861	64,981	\$ 3,222,317	\$49.59	23	\$ 1,126	1,140	\$ 56,532
TRA	128	4,279	1,155,066	\$ 10,908,188	\$9.44	270	\$ 2,549	9,024	\$ 85,220
TRI	11	10	3,102	\$ 26,233	\$8.46	310	\$ 2,623	282	\$ 2,385
TRO	3	6	1,691	\$ 62,938	\$37.22	282	\$ 10,490	564	\$ 20,979
TRR	36	401	107,105	\$ 1,407,360	\$13.14	267	\$ 3,510	2,975	\$ 39,093
TOTAL	667	27,787	32,734,689	\$ 777,926,802	\$23.76	1,178	\$ 27,996	49,077	\$ 1,166,307

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
TXIX Funds

FY 2009									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	913	1,096,240	\$ 12,910,096	\$11.78	1,201	\$ 14,140	1,096,240	\$ 12,910,096
ATCQV	138	2,713	2,710,060	\$ 42,772,037	\$15.78	999	\$ 15,766	19,638	\$ 309,942
CBE	27	1,005	1,025,235	\$ 5,598,833	\$5.46	1,020	\$ 5,571	37,972	\$ 207,364
CMG	1	1	2	\$ 54	\$24.21	2	\$ 54	2	\$ 54
DHI	29	896	17,519	\$ 1,337,432	\$76.34	20	\$ 1,493	604	\$ 46,118
DTA	116	3,958	4,603,231	\$ 48,285,099	\$10.49	1,163	\$ 12,199	39,683	\$ 416,251
DTASO	0	0	0	\$ -					
DTC	79	2,252	426,456	\$ 4,839,265	\$11.35	189	\$ 2,149	5,398	\$ 61,257
DTI	94	1,008	1,151,013	\$ 18,890,720	\$16.41	1,142	\$ 18,741	12,245	\$ 200,965
DTR	17	189	150,340	\$ 1,728,895	\$11.50	795	\$ 9,148	8,844	\$ 101,700
ECM									
ESA	37	203	12,790	\$ 232,202	\$18.16	63	\$ 1,144	346	\$ 6,276
GSE	47	883	747,633	\$ 8,715,667	\$11.66	847	\$ 9,871	15,907	\$ 185,440
HAA	143	251	75,886	\$ 4,092,163	\$53.93	302	\$ 16,303	531	\$ 28,617
HABQV	88	2,514	823,832	\$ 190,718,640	\$231.50	328	\$ 75,863	9,362	\$ 2,167,257
HABSO									
HAC	51	75	21,683	\$ 1,553,009	\$71.62	289	\$ 20,707	425	\$ 30,451
HAHIP	1	894	427,628	\$ 6,680,921	\$15.62	478	\$ 7,473	427,628	\$ 6,680,921
HAHQV	166	7,296	3,211,981	\$ 64,878,520	\$20.20	440	\$ 8,892	19,349	\$ 390,834
HAIP	1	8	2,950	\$ 43,045	\$14.59	369	\$ 5,381	2,950	\$ 43,045
HAIQV	39	164	244,565	\$ 4,919,818	\$20.12	1,491	\$ 29,999	6,271	\$ 126,149
HAM	32	3,027	95,329	\$ 3,751,810	\$39.36	31	\$ 1,239	2,979	\$ 117,244
HAN	5	65	18,573	\$ 7,794,225	\$419.65	286	\$ 119,911	3,715	\$ 1,558,845
HBA	24	565	168,321	\$ 18,378,894	\$109.19	298	\$ 32,529	7,013	\$ 765,787
HBB	3	36	521	\$ 20,686	\$39.69	14	\$ 575	174	\$ 6,895
HBC	20	283	69,602	\$ 7,882,359	\$113.25	246	\$ 27,853	3,480	\$ 394,118
HBM	9	113	2,309	\$ 137,421	\$59.50	20	\$ 1,216	257	\$ 15,269
HCH	14	197	4,333	\$ 116,382	\$26.86	22	\$ 591	309	\$ 8,313
HHA	1	1	220	\$ 4,182	\$19.01	220	\$ 4,182	220	\$ 4,182
HIDQV	42	283	90,356	\$ 22,816,510	\$252.52	319	\$ 80,624	2,151	\$ 543,250
HPD	15	37	11,363	\$ 5,290,713	\$465.61	307	\$ 142,992	758	\$ 352,714
HPHQV	4	7	7,942	\$ 170,000	\$21.41	1,135	\$ 24,286	1,985	\$ 42,500
HSKIP	1	21	3,916	\$ 37,465	\$9.57	186	\$ 1,784	3,916	\$ 37,465
HSKQV	23	63	8,369	\$ 122,818	\$14.67	133	\$ 1,949	364	\$ 5,340
ICFQV	3	59	14,930	\$ 10,717,832	\$717.87	253	\$ 181,658	4,977	\$ 3,572,611
ICFSO									
ISE	32	100	5,728	\$ 161,202	\$28.14	57	\$ 1,612	179	\$ 5,038
NFX	26	79	20,908	\$ 3,039,788	\$145.39	265	\$ 38,478	804	\$ 116,915
NURC	12	481	667,078	\$ 25,589,258	\$38.36	1,387	\$ 53,200	55,590	\$ 2,132,438
NURI	11	174	23,788	\$ 942,141	\$39.60	137	\$ 5,415	2,163	\$ 85,649
NURV									
OCV	94	1,189	1,192	\$ 211,716	\$177.61	1	\$ 178	13	\$ 2,252
OTA	123	5,276	122,826	\$ 8,512,400	\$69.30	23	\$ 1,613	999	\$ 69,207
OTH	293	3,801	55,005	\$ 4,855,731	\$88.28	14	\$ 1,277	188	\$ 16,572
PCP	6	40	41	\$ 17,112	\$417.35	1	\$ 428	7	\$ 2,852
PHV	75	727	735	\$ 129,688	\$176.45	1	\$ 178	10	\$ 1,729
PTA	99	3,421	79,881	\$ 5,417,008	\$67.81	23	\$ 1,583	807	\$ 54,717
RBD	0	0	0	\$ -					
RPI	1	1	90	\$ 34,204	\$380.04	90	\$ 34,204	90	\$ 34,204
RRBQV	0	0	0	\$ -					
RRBSO									
RSDIP									
RSDQV	65	394	3,690	\$ 702,345	\$190.34	9	\$ 1,783	57	\$ 10,805
RSPIP	1	1,855	695,462	\$ 8,422,467	\$12.11	375	\$ 4,540	695,462	\$ 8,422,467
RSPQV	173	9,588	4,011,722	\$ 61,163,490	\$15.25	418	\$ 6,379	23,189	\$ 353,546
SPV	113	1,213	1,221	\$ 212,406	\$173.96	1	\$ 175	11	\$ 1,880
STA	161	5,747	157,199	\$ 10,802,176	\$68.72	27	\$ 1,880	976	\$ 67,094
STAA									
TRA	111	3,047	764,298	\$ 8,164,196	\$10.68	251	\$ 2,679	6,886	\$ 73,551
TRI	16	18	5,414	\$ 53,232	\$9.83	301	\$ 2,957	338	\$ 3,327
TRO	5	52	2,590	\$ 82,492	\$31.85	50	\$ 1,586	518	\$ 16,498
TRR									
TOTAL	973	19,852	23,863,995	\$ 633,950,765	\$26.57	1,202	\$ 31,934	24,526	\$ 651,542

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
TXIX Funds

FY 2010									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	840	990,999	\$ 10,692,650	\$10.79	1,180	\$ 12,729	990,999	\$ 10,692,650
ATCQV	148	2,828	2,792,615	\$ 40,083,218	\$14.35	987	\$ 14,174	18,869	\$ 270,833
CBE	25	1,115	1,089,183	\$ 5,411,009	\$4.97	977	\$ 4,853	43,567	\$ 216,440
CMG
DH1	26	942	20,124	\$ 1,496,535	\$74.37	21	\$ 1,589	774	\$ 57,559
DTA	123	4,311	5,072,371	\$ 48,352,785	\$9.53	1,177	\$ 11,216	41,239	\$ 393,112
DTASO
DTC	78	1,923	353,304	\$ 3,226,091	\$9.13	184	\$ 1,678	4,530	\$ 41,360
DTI	112	1,276	1,173,909	\$ 18,107,197	\$15.42	920	\$ 14,191	10,481	\$ 161,671
DTR	14	170	156,433	\$ 1,642,575	\$10.50	920	\$ 9,662	11,174	\$ 117,327
ECM
ESA	37	180	12,449	\$ 205,513	\$16.51	69	\$ 1,142	336	\$ 5,554
GSE	51	1,080	905,915	\$ 9,877,476	\$10.90	839	\$ 9,146	17,763	\$ 193,676
HAA	127	205	62,799	\$ 3,218,592	\$51.25	306	\$ 15,700	494	\$ 25,343
HABQV	90	2,554	839,656	\$ 178,766,588	\$212.90	329	\$ 69,995	9,330	\$ 1,986,295
HABSO
HAC	37	57	16,178	\$ 1,052,628	\$65.07	284	\$ 18,467	437	\$ 28,449
HAHIP	1	784	354,189	\$ 5,027,803	\$14.20	452	\$ 6,413	354,189	\$ 5,027,803
HAHQV	180	7,931	3,476,040	\$ 63,854,457	\$18.37	438	\$ 8,051	19,311	\$ 354,747
HAIP	1	5	1,674	\$ 17,551	\$10.49	335	\$ 3,510	1,674	\$ 17,551
HAIQV	36	162	263,489	\$ 4,856,538	\$18.43	1,626	\$ 29,979	7,319	\$ 134,904
HAM	28	2,661	85,771	\$ 2,715,146	\$31.66	32	\$ 1,020	3,063	\$ 96,970
HAN	5	64	17,587	\$ 6,807,760	\$387.09	275	\$ 106,371	3,517	\$ 1,361,552
HBA	27	716	214,432	\$ 21,288,295	\$99.28	299	\$ 29,732	7,942	\$ 788,455
HBB	5	70	1,075	\$ 38,691	\$36.00	15	\$ 553	215	\$ 7,738
HBC	21	342	85,411	\$ 8,762,595	\$102.59	250	\$ 25,622	4,067	\$ 417,266
HBM	8	174	3,642	\$ 196,647	\$54.00	21	\$ 1,130	455	\$ 24,581
HCH	11	53	1,072	\$ 22,501	\$20.99	20	\$ 425	97	\$ 2,046
HHA
HIDQV	41	291	91,838	\$ 20,630,569	\$224.64	316	\$ 70,895	2,240	\$ 503,185
HPD	17	35	11,257	\$ 4,341,768	\$385.71	322	\$ 124,051	662	\$ 255,398
HPHQV	3	5	5,455	\$ 105,035	\$19.25	1,091	\$ 21,007	1,818	\$ 35,012
HSKIP	1	13	4,031	\$ 34,193	\$8.48	310	\$ 2,630	4,031	\$ 34,193
HSKQV	26	64	9,230	\$ 123,131	\$13.34	144	\$ 1,924	355	\$ 4,736
ICFQV	3	57	13,719	\$ 10,317,844	\$752.08	241	\$ 181,015	4,573	\$ 3,439,281
ICFSO
ISE	28	113	6,839	\$ 176,168	\$25.76	61	\$ 1,559	244	\$ 6,292
NFX	24	71	17,779	\$ 2,602,036	\$146.35	250	\$ 36,648	741	\$ 108,418
NURC	14	513	764,315	\$ 26,554,971	\$34.74	1,490	\$ 51,764	54,594	\$ 1,896,784
NURI	11	183	23,727	\$ 861,241	\$36.30	130	\$ 4,706	2,157	\$ 78,295
NURV
OCV	93	946	954	\$ 152,718	\$160.08	1	\$ 161	10	\$ 1,642
OTA	121	5,527	139,695	\$ 8,627,868	\$61.76	25	\$ 1,561	1,155	\$ 71,305
OTH	198	3,178	80,087	\$ 4,365,712	\$54.51	25	\$ 1,374	404	\$ 22,049
PCP	2	4	4	\$ 1,510	\$377.46	1	\$ 377	2	\$ 755
PHV	79	694	697	\$ 110,094	\$157.95	1	\$ 159	9	\$ 1,394
PTA	97	3,682	89,805	\$ 5,439,832	\$60.57	24	\$ 1,477	926	\$ 56,081
RBD	0	0	0	\$ -	-
RP1	1	1	95	\$ 33,786	\$355.64	95	\$ 33,786	95	\$ 33,786
RRBQV	0	0	0	\$ -	-
RRBSO
RSDIP
RSDQV	64	322	2,728	\$ 466,688	\$171.07	8	\$ 1,449	43	\$ 7,292
RSPIP	1	1,745	681,878	\$ 7,546,372	\$11.07	391	\$ 4,325	681,878	\$ 7,546,372
RSPQV	201	10,893	4,845,896	\$ 67,465,377	\$13.92	445	\$ 6,193	24,109	\$ 335,649
SPV	114	1,316	1,323	\$ 211,880	\$160.15	1	\$ 161	12	\$ 1,859
STA	159	6,539	184,971	\$ 11,358,419	\$61.41	28	\$ 1,737	1,163	\$ 71,437
STAA
TRA	111	2,979	757,012	\$ 7,095,523	\$9.37	254	\$ 2,382	6,820	\$ 63,924
TRI	21	19	5,186	\$ 48,861	\$9.42	273	\$ 2,572	247	\$ 2,327
TRO	4	29	2,237	\$ 76,620	\$34.25	77	\$ 2,642	559	\$ 19,155
TRR	36	334	83,644	\$ 1,094,064	\$13.08	250	\$ 3,276	2,323	\$ 30,391
TOTAL	878	20,947	25,814,714	\$ 615,563,120	\$23.85	1,232	\$ 29,387	29,402	\$ 701,097

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
TXIX Funds

FY 2011									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	784	904,568	\$ 9,796,198	\$10.83	1,154	\$ 12,495	904,568	\$ 9,796,198
ATCQV	156	3,000	2,927,243	\$ 42,061,089	\$14.37	976	\$ 14,020	18,764	\$ 269,622
CBE	27	1,143	1,129,949	\$ 5,613,011	\$4.97	989	\$ 4,911	41,850	\$ 207,889
CMG									
DHI	24	895	19,376	\$ 1,442,581	\$74.45	22	\$ 1,612	807	\$ 60,108
DTA	137	4,595	5,476,314	\$ 52,096,572	\$9.51	1,192	\$ 11,338	39,973	\$ 380,267
DTASO	0	0	0	\$ -					
DTC	84	2,116	374,274	\$ 3,418,584	\$9.13	177	\$ 1,616	4,456	\$ 40,697
DTI	118	1,391	1,243,115	\$ 19,468,863	\$15.66	894	\$ 13,996	10,535	\$ 164,990
DTR	12	131	145,455	\$ 1,530,181	\$10.52	1,110	\$ 11,681	12,121	\$ 127,515
ECM									
ESA	36	173	12,694	\$ 211,274	\$16.64	73	\$ 1,221	353	\$ 5,869
GSE	55	1,185	1,015,992	\$ 11,579,492	\$11.40	857	\$ 9,772	18,473	\$ 210,536
HAA	106	170	53,988	\$ 2,842,180	\$52.64	318	\$ 16,719	509	\$ 26,813
HABQV	88	2,543	850,150	\$ 182,753,212	\$214.97	334	\$ 71,865	9,661	\$ 2,076,741
HABSO									
HAC	25	38	12,012	\$ 742,952	\$61.85	316	\$ 19,551	480	\$ 29,718
HAHIP	1	688	290,800	\$ 4,171,411	\$14.34	423	\$ 6,063	290,800	\$ 4,171,411
HAHQV	185	8,459	3,605,398	\$ 66,283,834	\$18.38	426	\$ 7,836	19,489	\$ 358,291
HAIP	1	3	692	\$ 7,112	\$10.28	231	\$ 2,371	692	\$ 7,112
HAIQV	38	160	267,476	\$ 4,916,868	\$18.38	1,672	\$ 30,730	7,039	\$ 129,391
HAM	22	1,685	47,470	\$ 862,483	\$18.17	28	\$ 512	2,158	\$ 39,204
HAN	5	62	18,419	\$ 7,064,520	\$383.55	297	\$ 113,944	3,684	\$ 1,412,904
HBA	29	821	258,804	\$ 25,701,452	\$99.31	315	\$ 31,305	8,924	\$ 886,257
HBB	7	118	2,278	\$ 81,999	\$36.00	19	\$ 695	325	\$ 11,714
HBC	21	330	85,980	\$ 8,819,891	\$102.58	261	\$ 26,727	4,094	\$ 419,995
HBM	10	276	5,080	\$ 274,307	\$54.00	18	\$ 994	508	\$ 27,431
HCH	6	16	171	\$ 3,124	\$18.24	11	\$ 195	29	\$ 521
HHA									
HIDQV	43	294	94,918	\$ 21,015,604	\$221.41	323	\$ 71,482	2,207	\$ 488,735
HPD	14	32	10,291	\$ 3,697,032	\$359.27	322	\$ 115,532	735	\$ 264,074
HPHQV	1	1	1,659	\$ 30,658	\$18.48	1,659	\$ 30,658	1,659	\$ 30,658
HSKIP	1	13	3,669	\$ 31,223	\$8.51	282	\$ 2,402	3,669	\$ 31,223
HSKQV	28	66	7,942	\$ 105,950	\$13.34	120	\$ 1,605	284	\$ 3,784
ICFQV	1	50	12,419	\$ 10,741,249	\$864.90	248	\$ 214,825	12,419	\$ 10,741,249
ICFSO									
ISE	28	107	6,000	\$ 154,472	\$25.75	56	\$ 1,444	214	\$ 5,517
NFX	22	53	13,651	\$ 2,018,038	\$147.83	258	\$ 38,076	621	\$ 91,729
NURC	14	545	821,165	\$ 28,572,777	\$34.80	1,507	\$ 52,427	58,655	\$ 2,040,913
NURI	13	164	26,460	\$ 966,227	\$36.52	161	\$ 5,892	2,035	\$ 74,325
NURV									
OCV	89	1,095	1,100	\$ 174,070	\$158.25	1	\$ 159	12	\$ 1,956
OTA	118	5,868	146,612	\$ 8,926,726	\$60.89	25	\$ 1,521	1,242	\$ 75,650
OTH	115	2,860	43,389	\$ 4,024,439	\$92.75	15	\$ 1,407	377	\$ 34,995
PCP									
PHV	67	666	666	\$ 105,333	\$158.16	1	\$ 158	10	\$ 1,572
PTA	95	3,735	90,971	\$ 5,457,013	\$59.99	24	\$ 1,461	958	\$ 57,442
RBD	0	0	0	\$ -					
RP1	1	1	88	\$ 35,175	\$399.72	88	\$ 35,175	88	\$ 35,175
RRBQV	0	0	0	\$ -					
RRBSO									
RSDIP	1	1	3	\$ 37	\$12.20	3	\$ 37	3	\$ 37
RSDQV	65	319	2,701	\$ 455,783	\$168.78	8	\$ 1,429	42	\$ 7,012
RSPIP	1	1,585	648,291	\$ 7,273,196	\$11.22	409	\$ 4,589	648,291	\$ 7,273,196
RSQV	208	12,011	5,419,928	\$ 75,500,050	\$13.93	451	\$ 6,286	26,057	\$ 362,981
SPV	108	1,574	1,588	\$ 251,424	\$158.33	1	\$ 160	15	\$ 2,328
STA	155	7,201	194,896	\$ 11,997,379	\$61.56	27	\$ 1,666	1,257	\$ 77,402
STAA	26	883	15,940	\$ 700,922	\$43.97	18	\$ 794	613	\$ 26,959
TRA	115	3,283	856,233	\$ 8,007,278	\$9.35	261	\$ 2,439	7,446	\$ 69,629
TRI	16	15	5,142	\$ 44,460	\$8.65	343	\$ 2,964	321	\$ 2,779
TRO	2	19	2,104	\$ 76,973	\$36.58	111	\$ 4,051	1,052	\$ 38,487
TRR	36	315	86,777	\$ 1,135,037	\$13.08	275	\$ 3,603	2,410	\$ 31,529
TOTAL	778	21,976	27,262,296	\$ 643,241,716	\$23.59	1,241	\$ 29,270	35,042	\$ 826,789

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
TXIX Funds

FY 2012									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	721	835,344	\$ 8,728,633	\$10.45	1,159	\$ 12,106	835,344	\$ 8,728,633
ATCQV	165	3,215	3,124,321	\$ 43,294,142	\$13.86	972	\$ 13,466	18,935	\$ 262,389
CBE	25	1,192	1,153,095	\$ 5,511,391	\$4.78	967	\$ 4,624	46,124	\$ 220,456
CMG									
DHI	23	821	18,124	\$ 1,315,781	\$72.60	22	\$ 1,603	788	\$ 57,208
DTA	140	4,950	5,837,076	\$ 53,442,325	\$9.16	1,179	\$ 10,796	41,693	\$ 381,731
DTASO	0	0	0	\$ -					
DTC	83	2,289	381,410	\$ 3,378,047	\$8.86	167	\$ 1,476	4,595	\$ 40,699
DTI	122	1,509	1,383,967	\$ 21,179,537	\$15.30	917	\$ 14,035	11,344	\$ 173,603
DTR	18	180	155,369	\$ 1,572,220	\$10.12	863	\$ 8,735	8,632	\$ 87,346
ECM									
ESA	35	177	13,026	\$ 212,168	\$16.29	74	\$ 1,199	372	\$ 6,062
GSE	57	1,350	1,098,168	\$ 12,776,988	\$11.63	813	\$ 9,464	19,266	\$ 224,158
HAA	89	150	48,978	\$ 2,514,131	\$51.33	327	\$ 16,761	550	\$ 28,249
HABQV	88	2,588	855,703	\$ 181,248,533	\$211.81	331	\$ 70,034	9,724	\$ 2,059,642
HABSO									
HAC	19	29	7,183	\$ 439,867	\$61.24	248	\$ 15,168	378	\$ 23,151
HAHIP	1	597	242,116	\$ 3,366,728	\$13.91	406	\$ 5,639	242,116	\$ 3,366,728
HAHQV	186	9,028	3,754,684	\$ 66,494,173	\$17.71	416	\$ 7,365	20,186	\$ 357,496
HAIP	1	5	1,851	\$ 21,740	\$11.74	370	\$ 4,348	1,851	\$ 21,740
HAIQV	42	167	268,133	\$ 4,712,752	\$17.58	1,606	\$ 28,220	6,384	\$ 112,208
HAM	22	1,218	33,100	\$ 571,465	\$17.27	27	\$ 469	1,505	\$ 25,976
HAN	6	67	20,509	\$ 7,649,774	\$373.00	306	\$ 114,176	3,418	\$ 1,274,962
HBA	31	947	292,822	\$ 28,042,127	\$95.77	309	\$ 29,612	9,446	\$ 904,585
HBB	5	176	3,905	\$ 135,206	\$34.62	22	\$ 768	781	\$ 27,041
HBC	22	335	83,798	\$ 8,226,663	\$98.17	250	\$ 24,557	3,809	\$ 373,939
HBM	9	378	8,873	\$ 460,397	\$51.89	23	\$ 1,218	986	\$ 51,155
HCH	3	3	69	\$ 1,219	\$17.73	23	\$ 406	23	\$ 406
HHA	1	1	12	\$ 205	\$17.11	12	\$ 205	12	\$ 205
HIDQV	45	286	93,019	\$ 19,750,861	\$212.33	325	\$ 69,059	2,067	\$ 438,908
HPD	15	27	8,481	\$ 2,805,564	\$330.81	314	\$ 103,910	565	\$ 187,038
HPHQV	1	1	1,855	\$ 32,972	\$17.77	1,855	\$ 32,972	1,855	\$ 32,972
HSKIP	1	11	2,888	\$ 24,648	\$8.53	263	\$ 2,241	2,888	\$ 24,648
HSKQV	22	57	7,338	\$ 94,123	\$12.83	129	\$ 1,651	334	\$ 4,278
ICFQV	1	44	12,449	\$ 11,100,885	\$891.71	283	\$ 252,293	12,449	\$ 11,100,885
ICFSO									
ISE	27	89	4,732	\$ 117,212	\$24.77	53	\$ 1,317	175	\$ 4,341
NFX	26	61	15,454	\$ 2,682,363	\$173.57	253	\$ 43,973	594	\$ 103,168
NURC	14	571	819,257	\$ 27,406,043	\$33.45	1,435	\$ 47,997	58,518	\$ 1,957,574
NURI	14	181	33,131	\$ 1,183,651	\$35.73	183	\$ 6,540	2,367	\$ 84,547
NURV									
OCV	77	1,194	1,201	\$ 184,727	\$153.81	1	\$ 155	16	\$ 2,399
OTA	104	6,039	151,874	\$ 8,926,422	\$58.78	25	\$ 1,478	1,460	\$ 85,831
OTH	51	2,535	25,062	\$ 3,672,094	\$146.52	10	\$ 1,449	491	\$ 72,002
PCP									
PHV	68	639	642	\$ 97,359	\$151.65	1	\$ 152	9	\$ 1,432
PTA	93	3,775	91,609	\$ 5,249,356	\$57.30	24	\$ 1,391	985	\$ 56,445
RBD	0	0	0	\$ -					
RP1	1	1	97	\$ 37,310	\$384.64	97	\$ 37,310	97	\$ 37,310
RRBQV	0	0	0	\$ -					
RRBSO									
RSDIP									
RSDQV	66	373	2,963	\$ 486,365	\$164.15	8	\$ 1,304	45	\$ 7,369
RSPIP	1	1,490	613,921	\$ 6,601,291	\$10.75	412	\$ 4,430	613,921	\$ 6,601,291
RSPQV	214	12,939	5,400,455	\$ 72,619,500	\$13.45	417	\$ 5,612	25,236	\$ 339,343
SPV	110	1,648	1,670	\$ 256,750	\$153.77	1	\$ 156	15	\$ 2,334
STA	147	7,776	212,546	\$ 12,634,510	\$59.44	27	\$ 1,625	1,446	\$ 85,949
STAA	46	1,860	35,780	\$ 1,623,086	\$45.36	19	\$ 873	778	\$ 35,284
TRA	123	3,672	951,598	\$ 8,607,469	\$9.05	259	\$ 2,344	7,737	\$ 69,979
TRI	14	12	4,559	\$ 42,210	\$9.26	380	\$ 3,518	326	\$ 3,015
TRO	3	20	1,612	\$ 56,770	\$35.22	81	\$ 2,839	537	\$ 18,923
TRR	33	357	99,659	\$ 1,262,228	\$12.67	279	\$ 3,536	3,020	\$ 38,249
TOTAL	718	23,016	28,215,487	\$ 642,851,981	\$22.78	1,226	\$ 27,931	39,297	\$ 895,337

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
TXIX Funds

FY 2013									
Service Code	Service Providers	Users	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	673	784,180	\$ 8,082,273	\$10.31	1,165	\$ 12,009	784,180	\$ 8,082,273
ATCQV	164	3,503	3,393,933	\$ 46,740,378	\$13.77	969	\$ 13,343	20,695	\$ 285,002
CBE	25	1,253	1,174,326	\$ 5,569,523	\$4.74	937	\$ 4,445	46,973	\$ 222,781
CMG									
DH1	22	712	13,250	\$ 946,901	\$71.47	19	\$ 1,330	602	\$ 43,041
DTA	148	5,287	6,254,697	\$ 56,752,929	\$9.07	1,183	\$ 10,734	42,261	\$ 383,466
DTASO	0	0	0	\$ -					
DTC	89	2,427	388,539	\$ 3,395,937	\$8.74	160	\$ 1,399	4,366	\$ 38,157
DTI	131	1,873	1,543,705	\$ 23,695,102	\$15.35	824	\$ 12,651	11,784	\$ 180,879
DTR	24	244	200,839	\$ 2,022,794	\$10.07	823	\$ 8,290	8,368	\$ 84,283
ECM									
ESA	36	172	12,245	\$ 195,634	\$15.98	71	\$ 1,137	340	\$ 5,434
GSE	63	1,582	1,226,752	\$ 14,785,698	\$12.05	775	\$ 9,346	19,472	\$ 234,694
HAA	84	135	42,488	\$ 2,137,767	\$50.31	315	\$ 15,835	506	\$ 25,450
HABQV	92	2,614	864,900	\$ 187,455,702	\$216.74	331	\$ 71,712	9,401	\$ 2,037,562
HABSO									
HAC	11	13	3,371	\$ 193,507	\$57.40	259	\$ 14,885	306	\$ 17,592
HAHIP	1	523	201,673	\$ 2,771,095	\$13.74	386	\$ 5,298	201,673	\$ 2,771,095
HAHQV	193	9,667	3,901,076	\$ 68,562,690	\$17.58	404	\$ 7,092	20,213	\$ 355,247
HAIP	1	12	5,034	\$ 59,860	\$11.89	419	\$ 4,988	5,034	\$ 59,860
HAIQV	42	183	265,178	\$ 4,636,235	\$17.48	1,449	\$ 25,335	6,314	\$ 110,387
HAM	19	1,151	31,138	\$ 532,689	\$17.11	27	\$ 463	1,639	\$ 28,036
HAN	6	78	22,088	\$ 8,220,437	\$372.17	283	\$ 105,390	3,681	\$ 1,370,073
HBA	32	1,059	334,700	\$ 31,824,205	\$95.08	316	\$ 30,051	10,459	\$ 994,506
HBB	7	248	5,309	\$ 182,756	\$34.42	21	\$ 737	758	\$ 26,108
HBC	23	325	80,903	\$ 7,862,833	\$97.19	249	\$ 24,193	3,518	\$ 341,862
HBM	11	547	12,007	\$ 622,160	\$51.82	22	\$ 1,137	1,092	\$ 56,560
HCH	2	2	30	\$ 527	\$17.55	15	\$ 263	15	\$ 263
HHA									
HIDQV	43	294	91,954	\$ 18,952,109	\$206.10	313	\$ 64,463	2,138	\$ 440,747
HPD	13	22	7,515	\$ 2,520,593	\$335.41	342	\$ 114,572	578	\$ 193,892
HPHQV	1	1	1,750	\$ 30,896	\$17.65	1,750	\$ 30,896	1,750	\$ 30,896
HSKIP	1	12	2,892	\$ 25,444	\$8.80	241	\$ 2,120	2,892	\$ 25,444
HSKQV	23	61	6,423	\$ 81,869	\$12.75	105	\$ 1,342	279	\$ 3,560
ICFQV	1	41	12,103	\$ 10,911,375	\$901.54	295	\$ 266,131	12,103	\$ 10,911,375
ICFSO	0	0	0	\$ -					
ISE	27	76	3,546	\$ 86,612	\$24.43	47	\$ 1,140	131	\$ 3,208
NFX	23	67	14,260	\$ 2,641,816	\$185.26	213	\$ 39,430	620	\$ 114,862
NURC	16	598	863,490	\$ 28,903,261	\$33.47	1,444	\$ 48,333	53,968	\$ 1,806,454
NURI	16	186	17,131	\$ 632,172	\$36.90	92	\$ 3,399	1,071	\$ 39,511
NURV	11	123	22,341	\$ 794,306	\$35.55	182	\$ 6,458	2,031	\$ 72,210
OCV	82	1,222	1,233	\$ 186,196	\$151.07	1	\$ 152	15	\$ 2,271
OTA	106	6,136	156,600	\$ 9,091,279	\$58.05	26	\$ 1,482	1,477	\$ 85,767
OTH	44	2,569	24,932	\$ 3,849,470	\$154.40	10	\$ 1,498	567	\$ 87,488
PCP									
PHV	59	595	596	\$ 88,465	\$148.43	1	\$ 149	10	\$ 1,499
PTA	87	3,454	86,905	\$ 4,987,567	\$57.39	25	\$ 1,444	999	\$ 57,328
RBD	0	0	0	\$ -					
RP1	1	1	99	\$ 37,801	\$381.83	99	\$ 37,801	99	\$ 37,801
RRBQV	0	0	0	\$ -					
RRBSO									
RSDIP	1	467	4,547	\$ 656,107	\$144.29	10	\$ 1,405	4,547	\$ 656,107
RSDQV	116	1,998	14,735	\$ 2,561,796	\$173.86	7	\$ 1,282	127	\$ 22,084
RSPIP	1	1,301	433,256	\$ 4,707,444	\$10.87	333	\$ 3,618	433,256	\$ 4,707,444
RSPQV	215	13,609	4,976,399	\$ 66,324,000	\$13.33	366	\$ 4,874	23,146	\$ 308,484
SPV	115	1,728	1,751	\$ 266,719	\$152.32	1	\$ 154	15	\$ 2,319
STA	148	8,060	225,842	\$ 13,347,094	\$59.10	28	\$ 1,656	1,526	\$ 90,183
STAA	54	2,316	48,270	\$ 2,253,239	\$46.68	21	\$ 973	894	\$ 41,727
TRA	134	4,138	1,051,791	\$ 9,477,149	\$9.01	254	\$ 2,290	7,849	\$ 70,725
TRI	12	11	3,785	\$ 34,894	\$9.22	344	\$ 3,172	315	\$ 2,908
TRO	5	21	1,290	\$ 47,867	\$37.11	61	\$ 2,279	258	\$ 9,573
TRR	35	375	105,585	\$ 1,329,130	\$12.59	282	\$ 3,544	3,017	\$ 37,975
TOTAL	695	23,932	28,943,380	\$ 662,076,301	\$22.87	1,209	\$ 27,665	41,645	\$ 952,628

Arizona DDD
Service Code Data by Year
TXIX Funds

FY 2014									
Service Code	Service Providers	User	Units	Payments	Average Rate	Average units per user	Average dollars per user	Average units per provider	Average dollars per provider
ATCIP	1	649	753,912	\$ 8,061,555	\$10.69	1,162	\$ 12,422	753,912	\$ 8,061,555
ATCQV	168	3,916	3,919,330	\$ 56,824,287	\$14.50	1,001	\$ 14,511	23,329	\$ 338,240
CBE	24	1,283	1,212,771	\$ 6,059,987	\$5.00	945	\$ 4,723	50,532	\$ 252,499
CMG	0	0	0	\$ -					
DHI	0	0	0	\$ -					
DTA	143	5,585	6,743,468	\$ 64,464,655	\$9.56	1,207	\$ 11,542	47,157	\$ 450,802
DTASO	0	0	0	\$ -					
DTC	86	2,560	420,203	\$ 3,857,300	\$9.18	164	\$ 1,507	4,886	\$ 44,852
DTI	132	2,303	1,694,718	\$ 27,859,753	\$16.44	736	\$ 12,097	12,839	\$ 211,059
DTR	21	254	269,625	\$ 2,852,649	\$10.58	1,062	\$ 11,231	12,839	\$ 135,840
ECM	1	1	15	\$ 815	\$54.30	15	\$ 815	15	\$ 815
ESA	36	171	13,995	\$ 236,488	\$16.90	82	\$ 1,383	389	\$ 6,569
GSE	64	1,820	1,376,184	\$ 17,803,258	\$12.94	756	\$ 9,782	21,503	\$ 278,176
HAA	59	96	29,011	\$ 1,523,493	\$52.51	302	\$ 15,870	492	\$ 25,822
HABQV	89	2,700	878,452	\$ 203,903,524	\$232.12	325	\$ 75,520	9,870	\$ 2,291,051
HABSO	0	0	0	\$ -					
HAC	4	6	1,383	\$ 91,044	\$65.83	231	\$ 15,174	346	\$ 22,761
HAHIP	1	471	173,923	\$ 2,461,072	\$14.15	369	\$ 5,225	173,923	\$ 2,461,072
HAHQV	190	10,203	4,120,968	\$ 76,241,301	\$18.50	404	\$ 7,472	21,689	\$ 401,270
HAIP	1	11	2,753	\$ 28,251	\$10.26		\$ 2,568	2,753	\$ 28,251
HAIQV	42	191	249,021	\$ 4,623,477	\$18.57	1,304	\$ 24,207	5,929	\$ 110,083
HAM	15	1,138	32,469	\$ 582,348	\$17.94	29	\$ 512	2,165	\$ 38,823
HAN	6	101	27,377	\$ 10,722,939	\$391.68	271	\$ 106,168	4,563	\$ 1,787,157
HBA	35	1,143	371,491	\$ 37,172,457	\$100.06	325	\$ 32,522	10,614	\$ 1,062,070
HBB	10	302	6,760	\$ 243,460	\$36.02	22	\$ 806	676	\$ 24,346
HBC	27	314	76,499	\$ 7,798,824	\$101.95	244	\$ 24,837	2,833	\$ 288,845
HBM	14	632	14,538	\$ 788,333	\$54.23	23	\$ 1,247	1,038	\$ 56,309
HCH	1	1	473	\$ 8,467	\$17.90	473	\$ 8,467	473	\$ 8,467
HHA	1	3	2,238	\$ 19,247	\$8.60	746	\$ 6,416	2,238	\$ 19,247
HIDQV	49	303	94,188	\$ 20,440,983	\$217.02	311	\$ 67,462	1,922	\$ 417,163
HPD	10	20	5,977	\$ 2,115,974	\$354.02	299	\$ 105,799	598	\$ 211,597
HPHQV	1	1	1,729	\$ 32,125	\$18.58	1,729	\$ 32,125	1,729	\$ 32,125
HSKIP	1	12	1,657	\$ 14,679	\$8.86	138	\$ 1,223	1,657	\$ 14,679
HSKQV	18	55	6,465	\$ 86,695	\$13.41	118	\$ 1,576	359	\$ 4,816
ICFQV	2	52	12,502	\$ 13,750,364	\$1,099.85	240	\$ 264,430	6,251	\$ 6,875,182
ICFSO	0	0	0	\$ -					
ISE	28	68	3,029	\$ 77,039	\$25.44	45	\$ 1,133	108	\$ 2,751
NFX	20	67	13,175	\$ 2,447,388	\$185.76	197	\$ 36,528	659	\$ 122,369
NURC	17	658	956,229	\$ 33,643,196	\$35.18	1,453	\$ 51,129	56,249	\$ 1,979,012
NURI	14	96	10,497	\$ 416,908	\$39.72	109	\$ 4,343	750	\$ 29,779
NURV	12	138	33,231	\$ 1,242,260	\$37.38	241	\$ 9,002	2,769	\$ 103,522
OCV	70	1,343	1,351	\$ 216,203	\$160.03	1	\$ 161	19	\$ 3,089
OTA	98	6,629	170,685	\$ 10,604,547	\$62.13	26	\$ 1,600	1,742	\$ 108,210
OTH	38	2,277	23,601	\$ 4,304,067	\$182.37	10	\$ 1,890	621	\$ 113,265
PCP	0	0	0	\$ -					
PHV	54	659	659	\$ 103,315	\$156.78	1	\$ 157	12	\$ 1,913
PTA	82	3,469	83,708	\$ 5,063,735	\$60.49	24	\$ 1,460	1,021	\$ 61,753
RBD	0	0	0	\$ -			#DIV/0!		
RPI	1	1	74	\$ 29,739	\$401.88	74	\$ 29,739	74	\$ 29,739
RRBQV	0	0	0	\$ -			#DIV/0!		
RRBSO	0	0	0	\$ -					
RSDIP	1	407	4,091	\$ 643,516	\$157.30	10	\$ 1,581	4,091	\$ 643,516
RSDQV	119	1,888	15,525	\$ 2,935,463	\$189.09	8	\$ 1,555	130	\$ 24,668
RSPIP	1	1,146	362,776	\$ 4,036,981	\$11.13	317	\$ 3,523	362,776	\$ 4,036,981
RSPQV	211	14,577	5,318,204	\$ 74,662,494	\$14.04	365	\$ 5,122	25,205	\$ 353,851
SPV	101	1,654	1,685	\$ 269,417	\$159.89	1	\$ 163	17	\$ 2,667
STA	145	8,378	235,077	\$ 14,918,510	\$63.46	28	\$ 1,781	1,621	\$ 102,886
STAA	57	2,821	64,079	\$ 3,170,018	\$49.47	23	\$ 1,124	1,124	\$ 55,614
TRA	128	4,279	1,154,789	\$ 10,905,635	\$9.44	270	\$ 2,549	9,022	\$ 85,200
TRI	11	10	3,102	\$ 26,233	\$8.46	310	\$ 2,623	282	\$ 2,385
TRO	3	6	1,691	\$ 62,938	\$37.22	282	\$ 10,490	564	\$ 20,979
TRR	36	399	106,995	\$ 1,405,914	\$13.14	268	\$ 3,524	2,972	\$ 39,053
TOTAL	654	25,041	32,453,867	\$ 768,388,480	\$23.68	1,296	\$ 30,685	49,624	\$ 1,174,906

Arizona DDD
Therapy and Nursing Codes by Year

Service Code	Service Providers						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	164	162	156	148	148	146	-1.4%	-11.0%
OTA	125	121	119	105	106	100	-5.7%	-20.0%
PTA	99	97	95	94	88	83	-5.7%	-16.2%
NURC	12	14	14	14	16	17	6.3%	41.7%
NURI	11	11	13	14	16	14	-12.5%	27.3%
NURV	11	12	9.1%	

Service Code	Users						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	7,653	8,234	9,023	9,565	9,381	10,780	14.9%	40.9%
OTA	6,604	6,551	6,810	6,928	6,838	8,910	30.3%	34.9%
PTA	4,667	4,605	4,640	4,550	4,041	5,851	44.8%	25.4%
NURC	484	514	545	571	598	658	10.0%	36.0%
NURI	176	184	165	182	188	96	-48.9%	-45.5%
NURV	124	139	12.1%	

Service Code	Units						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	193,856	216,095	227,419	246,706	250,728	250,989	0.1%	29.5%
OTA	149,778	159,539	163,772	168,069	168,525	181,154	7.5%	20.9%
PTA	102,893	106,850	106,299	105,678	97,182	93,924	-3.4%	-8.7%
NURC	688,850	765,528	821,353	819,476	863,892	956,266	10.7%	38.8%
NURI	24,817	24,430	27,190	33,925	17,303	10,532	-39.1%	-57.6%
NURV	22,973	34,008	48.0%	
TOTAL	1,160,192	1,272,441	1,346,032	1,373,854	1,420,604	1,526,873	7.5%	31.6%

Service Code	Payments						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	\$13,458,313	\$13,401,093	\$14,053,121	\$14,694,702	\$14,838,607	\$16,119,264	8.6%	19.8%
OTA	\$10,473,666	\$9,913,657	\$10,003,881	\$9,929,387	\$9,826,506	\$11,378,876	15.8%	8.6%
PTA	\$7,066,910	\$6,520,438	\$6,394,734	\$6,091,825	\$5,604,620	\$5,822,427	3.9%	-17.6%
NURC	\$26,334,359	\$26,597,233	\$28,579,641	\$27,411,070	\$28,915,719	\$33,644,554	16.4%	27.8%
NURI	\$982,911	\$886,908	\$992,879	\$1,211,532	\$638,138	\$418,193	-34.5%	-57.5%
NURV	\$816,378	\$1,270,792	55.7%	
TOTAL	\$58,316,160	\$57,319,330	\$60,024,257	\$59,338,515	\$60,639,969	\$68,654,107	13.2%	17.7%

Service Code	Average Rate						% Change	% Change
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	\$69.42	\$62.01	\$61.79	\$59.56	\$59.18	\$64.22	8.5%	-7.5%
OTA	\$69.93	\$62.14	\$61.08	\$59.08	\$58.31	\$62.81	7.7%	-10.2%
PTA	\$68.68	\$61.02	\$60.16	\$57.65	\$57.67	\$61.99	7.5%	-9.7%
NURC	\$38.23	\$34.74	\$34.80	\$33.45	\$33.47	\$35.18	5.1%	-8.0%
NURI	\$39.61	\$36.30	\$36.52	\$35.71	\$36.88	\$39.71	7.7%	0.3%
NURV					\$35.54	\$37.37	5.2%	
TOTAL	\$50.26	\$45.05	\$44.59	\$43.19	\$42.69	\$44.96	5.3%	-10.5%

Arizona DDD
Therapy and Nursing Codes by Year

Average Units per User							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	25	26	25	26	27	23	-12.9%	-8.1%
OTA	23	24	24	24	25	20	-17.5%	-10.4%
PTA	22	23	23	23	24	16	-33.3%	-27.2%
NURC	1,423	1,489	1,507	1,435	1,445	1,453	0.6%	2.1%
NURI	141	133	165	186	92	110	19.2%	-22.2%
NURV					185	245	32.1%	

Average Dollars per User							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	\$1,759	\$1,628	\$1,557	\$1,536	\$1,582	\$1,495	-5.5%	-15.0%
OTA	\$1,586	\$1,513	\$1,469	\$1,433	\$1,437	\$1,277	-11.1%	-19.5%
PTA	\$1,514	\$1,416	\$1,378	\$1,339	\$1,387	\$995	-28.3%	-34.3%
NURC	\$54,410	\$51,746	\$52,440	\$48,005	\$48,354	\$51,132	5.7%	-6.0%
NURI	\$5,585	\$4,820	\$6,017	\$6,657	\$3,394	\$4,356	28.3%	-22.0%
NURV					\$6,584	\$9,142	38.9%	

Average Units per Provider							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	1,182	1,334	1,458	1,667	1,694	1,719	1.5%	45.4%
OTA	1,198	1,319	1,376	1,601	1,590	1,812	13.9%	51.2%
PTA	1,039	1,102	1,119	1,124	1,104	1,132	2.5%	8.9%
NURC	57,404	54,681	58,668	58,534	53,993	56,251	4.2%	-2.0%
NURI	2,256	2,221	2,092	2,423	1,081	752	-30.4%	-66.7%
NURV					2,088	2,834	35.7%	

Average Dollars per Provider							% Change	% Change
Service Code	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	'13 to '14	'09 to '14
STA	\$82,063	\$82,723	\$90,084	\$99,289	\$100,261	\$110,406	10.1%	34.5%
OTA	\$83,789	\$81,931	\$84,066	\$94,566	\$92,703	\$113,789	22.7%	35.8%
PTA	\$71,383	\$67,221	\$67,313	\$64,807	\$63,689	\$70,150	10.1%	-1.7%
NURC	\$2,194,530	\$1,899,802	\$2,041,403	\$1,957,934	\$1,807,232	\$1,979,091	9.5%	-9.8%
NURI	\$89,356	\$80,628	\$76,375	\$86,538	\$39,884	\$29,871	-25.1%	-66.6%
NURV					\$74,216	\$105,899	42.7%	

Arizona DDD
Service Codes By Service Area
Total Funds, SFY 2014

Speech Therapy	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Service Providers	12	18	11	43	35	112	15
Users Served	128	363	279	362	797	6,771	83
Total Users	558	1,234	860	1,107	3,745	16,729	516
% of Users Utilizing Service	23%	29%	32%	33%	21%	40%	16%
Units	2,144	8,349	6,941	10,528	12,072	199,118	1,213
Payments	\$198,716	\$689,691	\$466,818	\$869,255	\$749,073	\$12,229,678	\$110,981
Average Rate	\$92.70	\$82.61	\$67.26	\$82.57	\$62.05	\$61.42	\$91.53
Average Units per User	17	23	25	29	15	29	15
Average Dollars per User	\$1,552	\$1,900	\$1,673	\$2,401	\$940	\$1,806	\$1,337
Average Units per Provider	179	464	631	245	345	1,778	81
Average Dollars per Provider	\$16,560	\$38,316	\$42,438	\$20,215	\$21,402	\$109,194	\$7,399

Occupational Therapy	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Service Providers	9	16	11	36	29	71	16
Users Served	58	233	253	337	773	5,323	98
Total Users	558	1,234	860	1,107	3,745	16,729	516
% of Users Utilizing Service	10%	19%	29%	30%	21%	32%	19%
Units	331	5,176	6,127	8,629	14,723	138,023	2,052
Payments	\$32,517	\$421,335	\$396,245	\$696,381	\$916,641	\$8,262,288	\$200,103
Average Rate	\$98.24	\$81.40	\$64.67	\$80.70	\$62.26	\$59.86	\$97.52
Average Units per User	6	22	24	26	19	26	21
Average Dollars per User	\$561	\$1,808	\$1,566	\$2,066	\$1,186	\$1,552	\$2,042
Average Units per Provider	37	324	557	240	508	1,944	128
Average Dollars per Provider	\$3,613	\$26,333	\$36,022	\$19,344	\$31,608	\$116,370	\$12,506

Physical Therapy	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Service Providers	4	15	8	27	15	61	8
Users Served	32	156	132	216	208	3,151	43
Total Users	558	1,234	860	1,107	3,745	16,729	516
% of Users Utilizing Service	6%	13%	15%	20%	6%	19%	8%
Units	502	3,091	3,207	4,241	1,940	73,671	755
Payments	\$44,697	\$238,641	\$211,995	\$335,863	\$126,073	\$4,310,852	\$68,946
Average Rate	\$89.04	\$77.21	\$66.11	\$79.20	\$65.00	\$58.52	\$91.32
Average Units per User	16	20	24	20	9	23	18
Average Dollars per User	\$1,397	\$1,530	\$1,606	\$1,555	\$606	\$1,368	\$1,603
Average Units per Provider	126	206	401	157	129	1,208	94
Average Dollars per Provider	\$11,174	\$15,909	\$26,499	\$12,439	\$8,405	\$70,670	\$8,618

Nursing - Continuous	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
Service Providers	1	2	2	8	7	13	-
Users Served	12	19	12	21	75	518	-
Total Users	558	1,234	860	1,107	3,745	16,729	516
% of Users Utilizing Service	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%
Units	7,668	24,947	8,509	28,485	141,056	745,426	-
Payments	\$274,908	\$905,103	\$329,427	\$1,069,863	\$4,922,896	\$26,135,877	\$0
Average Rate	\$35.85	\$36.28	\$38.72	\$37.56	\$34.90	\$35.06	
Average Units per User	639	1,313	709	1,356	1,881	1,439	
Average Dollars per User	\$22,909	\$47,637	\$27,452	\$50,946	\$65,639	\$50,455	
Average Units per Provider	7,668	12,473	4,255	3,561	20,151	57,340	
Average Dollars per Provider	\$274,908	\$452,551	\$164,713	\$133,733	\$703,271	\$2,010,452	

Arizona DDD
Service Codes By Service Area
Total Funds, SFY 2014

Service Code	Service Providers						
	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
STA	12	18	11	43	35	112	15
OTA	9	16	11	36	29	71	16
PTA	4	15	8	27	15	61	8
NURC	1	2	2	8	7	13	-
NURI	1	2	1	2	6	8	1
NURV	1	1	1	1	5	7	1
TOTAL	51	131	104	190	217	468	78

Service Code	Users Served						
	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
STA	128	363	279	362	797	6,771	83
OTA	58	233	253	337	773	5,323	98
PTA	32	156	132	216	208	3,151	43
NURC	12	19	12	21	75	518	-
NURI	6	6	2	5	30	46	1
NURV	1	12	3	3	13	104	2
TOTAL	558	1,234	860	1,107	3,745	16,729	516

Service Code	% Users Utilizing Services						
	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
STA	23%	29%	32%	33%	21%	40%	16%
OTA	10%	19%	29%	30%	21%	32%	19%
PTA	6%	13%	15%	20%	6%	19%	8%
NURC	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	0%
NURI	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
NURV	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%

Service Code	Units						
	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
STA	2,144	8,349	6,941	10,528	12,072	199,118	1,213
OTA	331	5,176	6,127	8,629	14,723	138,023	2,052
PTA	502	3,091	3,207	4,241	1,940	73,671	755
NURC	7,668	24,947	8,509	28,485	141,056	745,426	-
NURI	531	183	127	111	6,629	2,929	22
NURV	5	454	105	271	2,837	29,573	38
TOTAL	548,460	1,558,222	1,124,314	1,332,260	4,710,996	22,062,086	656,672

Service Code	Payments						
	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
STA	\$198,715.77	\$689,690.87	\$466,818.01	\$869,254.57	\$749,072.57	\$12,229,678.12	\$110,980.58
OTA	\$32,517.47	\$421,334.58	\$396,244.73	\$696,380.80	\$916,641.31	\$8,262,287.82	\$200,102.60
PTA	\$44,697.20	\$238,640.88	\$211,995.13	\$335,863.06	\$126,072.83	\$4,310,852.23	\$68,945.99
NURC	\$274,908.04	\$905,102.80	\$329,426.99	\$1,069,862.53	\$4,922,895.92	\$26,135,877.11	\$0.00
NURI	\$39,215.67	\$13,892.76	\$9,321.52	\$4,057.56	\$243,331.66	\$107,566.46	\$807.84
NURV	\$422.70	\$34,657.88	\$7,681.98	\$9,951.12	\$104,156.28	\$1,085,904.47	\$1,395.36
TOTAL	\$12,154,581.57	\$39,292,074.69	\$28,476,760.89	\$30,909,121.51	\$122,049,280.10	\$508,714,477.28	\$14,245,430.12

Service Code	Average Rate						
	Southwest 02	Northern 04	Yavapai 06	Pinal/Gila 08	Pima/South 10	Maricopa 12	Southeast 14
STA	\$92.70	\$82.61	\$67.26	\$82.57	\$62.05	\$61.42	\$91.53
OTA	\$98.24	\$81.40	\$64.67	\$80.70	\$62.26	\$59.86	\$97.52
PTA	\$89.04	\$77.21	\$66.11	\$79.20	\$65.00	\$58.52	\$91.32
NURC	\$35.85	\$36.28	\$38.72	\$37.56	\$34.90	\$35.06	
NURI	\$73.85	\$75.92	\$73.25	\$36.72	\$36.71	\$36.72	\$36.72
NURV	\$84.54	\$76.34	\$73.16	\$36.72	\$36.72	\$36.72	\$36.72
TOTAL	\$22.16	\$25.22	\$25.33	\$23.20	\$25.91	\$23.06	\$21.69

Arizona DDD
Service Codes By Service Area
Total Funds, SFY 2014

Average Units per User							
Service Code	Southwest	Northern	Yavapai	Pinal/Gila	Pima/South	Maricopa	Southeast
	02	04	06	08	10	12	14
STA	17	23	25	29	15	29	15
OTA	6	22	24	26	19	26	21
PTA	16	20	24	20	9	23	18
NURC	639	1,313	709	1,356	1,881	1,439	
NURI	89	31	64	22	221	64	22
NURV	5	38	35	90	218	284	19
TOTAL	983	1,263	1,307	1,203	1,258	1,319	1,273

Average Dollars per User							
Service Code	Southwest	Northern	Yavapai	Pinal/Gila	Pima/South	Maricopa	Southeast
	02	04	06	08	10	12	14
STA	\$1,552	\$1,900	\$1,673	\$2,401	\$940	\$1,806	\$1,337
OTA	\$561	\$1,808	\$1,566	\$2,066	\$1,186	\$1,552	\$2,042
PTA	\$1,397	\$1,530	\$1,606	\$1,555	\$606	\$1,368	\$1,603
NURC	\$22,909	\$47,637	\$27,452	\$50,946	\$65,639	\$50,455	
NURI	\$6,536	\$2,315	\$4,661	\$812	\$8,111	\$2,338	\$808
NURV	\$423	\$2,888	\$2,561	\$3,317	\$8,012	\$10,441	\$698
TOTAL	\$21,782	\$31,841	\$33,113	\$27,922	\$32,590	\$30,409	\$27,607

Average Units per Provider							
Service Code	Southwest	Northern	Yavapai	Pinal/Gila	Pima/South	Maricopa	Southeast
	02	04	06	08	10	12	14
STA	179	464	631	245	345	1,778	81
OTA	37	324	557	240	508	1,944	128
PTA	126	206	401	157	129	1,208	94
NURC	7,668	12,473	4,255	3,561	20,151	57,340	
NURI	531	92	127	55	1,105	366	22
NURV	5	454	105	271	567	4,225	38
TOTAL	10,754	11,895	10,811	7,012	21,710	47,141	8,419

Average Dollars per Provider							
Service Code	Southwest	Northern	Yavapai	Pinal/Gila	Pima/South	Maricopa	Southeast
	02	04	06	08	10	12	14
STA	\$16,560	\$38,316	\$42,438	\$20,215	\$21,402	\$109,194	\$7,399
OTA	\$3,613	\$26,333	\$36,022	\$19,344	\$31,608	\$116,370	\$12,506
PTA	\$11,174	\$15,909	\$26,499	\$12,439	\$8,405	\$70,670	\$8,618
NURC	\$274,908	\$452,551	\$164,713	\$133,733	\$703,271	\$2,010,452	
NURI	\$39,216	\$6,946	\$9,322	\$2,029	\$40,555	\$13,446	\$808
NURV	\$423	\$34,658	\$7,682	\$9,951	\$20,831	\$155,129	\$1,395
TOTAL	\$238,325	\$299,940	\$273,815	\$162,680	\$562,439	\$1,086,997	\$182,634

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2013

	Old Service Code					New Service Code					Difference			
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	
In-Home - Qual Vendor	ATTR	164	3,503	3,394,795	\$ 46,752,349	ATCQV	164	3,503	3,394,795	\$ 46,752,349	0	0	0	\$ -
	HAHR	193	9,671	3,902,429	\$ 68,586,579	HAHQV	193	9,671	3,902,427	\$ 68,586,489	0	0	-2	\$ (90)
	HAIH	42	294	92,144	\$ 19,002,089	HIDQV	43	295	92,509	\$ 19,054,101	1	1	365	\$ 52,013
	HAIR	43	209	277,000	\$ 4,838,465	HAIQV	43	209	277,000	\$ 4,838,465	0	0	0	\$ -
	HPHA	1	1	1,750	\$ 30,896	HPHQV	1	1	1,750	\$ 30,896	0	0	0	\$ -
	HSKR	23	61	6,423	\$ 81,869	HSKQV	23	61	6,423	\$ 81,869	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSDR	116	2,000	14,742	\$ 2,563,001	RSDQV	116	2,000	14,742	\$ 2,563,001	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSPR	215	13,616	4,979,264	\$ 66,362,664	RSPQV	215	13,616	4,979,264	\$ 66,362,664	0	0	0	\$ -
			12,668,546	\$ 208,217,912				12,668,909	\$ 208,269,835			363	\$ 51,923	
In-Home - Ind. Prov.	ATTN	1	673	784,180	\$ 8,082,273	ATCIP	1	673	784,180	\$ 8,082,273	0	0	0	\$ -
	HAHN	1	523	201,957	\$ 2,775,361	HAHIP	1	523	201,957	\$ 2,775,361	0	0	0	\$ -
	HAIN	1	13	5,139	\$ 60,770	HAIP	1	13	5,139	\$ 60,770	0	0	0	\$ -
	HIDN	-	-	-	\$ -	HIDIP	-	-	-	\$ -				\$ -
	HSKN	1	12	2,892	\$ 25,444	HSKIP	1	12	2,892	\$ 25,444	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSDN	1	467	4,547	\$ 656,107	RSDIP	1	467	4,547	\$ 656,107	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSPN	1	1,302	433,589	\$ 4,711,190	RSPIP	1	1,302	433,541	\$ 4,710,902	0	0	-48	\$ (288)
			1,432,304	\$ 16,311,145				1,432,256	\$ 16,310,857			-48	\$ (288)	
Day Treatment	DTAA	155	6,259	7,129,369	\$ 68,072,115	DTA	148	5,300	6,273,138	\$ 56,919,789	-7	-959	-856,230	\$ (11,152,325)
	DHIA	22	2,419	47,067	\$ 3,339,836	DHI	22	2,419	47,067	\$ 3,339,836	0	0	0	\$ -
	DSIA	-	-	-	\$ -									\$ -
	DTAI	102	737	688,105	\$ 12,079,568	DTI	134	2,807	1,553,594	\$ 24,048,936	32	2,070	865,489	\$ 11,969,368
	DTCA	50	720	214,608	\$ 2,124,471	DTC	89	2,427	388,559	\$ 3,396,111	93	-964	-70,244	\$ 1,271,640
	DTSA	93	2,671	244,195	\$ 2,444,546									\$ (2,444,546)
				Data Excluded		DTASO	1	11	12,422	\$ -	93	-171	-121,256	\$ -
DTAR	20	182	133,678	\$ 1,329,554	DTR	24	244	200,839	\$ 2,022,794	4	62	67,161	\$ 693,240	
			8,457,022	\$ 89,390,090				8,475,620	\$ 89,727,467			-115,080	\$ 337,376	
Residential	DHSA	86	139	43,556	\$ 2,178,580	HAA	86	139	43,556	\$ 2,178,580	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHSC	11	13	3,371	\$ 193,507	HAC	11	13	3,371	\$ 193,507	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHVA	32	1,078	341,915	\$ 32,517,514	HBA	32	1,078	341,915	\$ 32,517,514	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHVC	23	325	80,904	\$ 7,862,928	HBC	23	325	80,904	\$ 7,862,928	0	0	0	\$ -
	HABA	92	2,645	877,108	\$ 189,636,819	HABQV	92	2,645	877,108	\$ 189,636,819	0	0	0	\$ -
	HANA	6	78	22,108	\$ 8,226,682	HAN	6	78	22,108	\$ 8,226,682	0	0	0	\$ -
	HPDA	13	22	7,515	\$ 2,520,593	HPD	13	22	7,515	\$ 2,520,593	0	0	0	\$ -
				Data Excluded		HABSO	1	58	18,232	\$ -	0	0	18,232	\$ -
	RRBB	88	2,516	859,852	\$ 18,319,628	RRBQV	92	2,613	896,683	\$ 18,994,976			36,831	\$ 675,347
	RRBA	69	554	83,056	\$ 1,050,590							-83,056	\$ (1,050,590)	
	RRBN	6	77	22,018	\$ 409,093							-22,018	\$ (409,093)	
	RRBP	13	22	7,514	\$ 178,690							-7,514	\$ (178,690)	
	RRBV	32	1,073	342,160	\$ 4,187,752	RBD	121	1,486	464,011	\$ 5,632,191			121,851	\$ 1,444,439
	RRBS	81	131	40,234	\$ 449,919							-40,234	\$ (449,919)	
RRBT	9	11	2,855	\$ 31,433							-2,855	\$ (31,433)		
RRBW	1	1	5	\$ 61							-5	\$ (61)		
			*RRBSO Contains Data Within RRB'X' coding		RRBSO	1	62	18,583	\$ -	1	62	18,583	\$ -	
			2,734,171	\$ 267,763,790				2,773,986	\$ 267,763,790			39,815	\$ (0)	
Professional	HHAA	-	-	-	\$ -	HHA								\$ -
	NURC	16	188	17,303	\$ 638,138	NURC	16	598	863,892	\$ 28,915,719	0	410	846,589	\$ 28,277,581
	NURS	16	593	858,286	\$ 28,915,719	NURI	16	188	17,303	\$ 638,138	0	-405	-840,983	\$ (28,277,581)
	NURV	11	124	22,973	\$ 816,378	NURV	11	124	22,973	\$ 816,378	0	0	0	\$ -
	OCCA	106	6,792	162,866	\$ 9,826,506	OTA	106	6,838	168,525	\$ 9,826,506	0	46	5,659	\$ -
	OCEE	86	1,505	1,517	\$ 229,315	OCV	86	1,511	1,523	\$ 229,315	0	6	6	\$ -
	PHYA	88	4,005	93,250	\$ 5,604,620	PTA	88	4,041	97,182	\$ 5,604,620	0	36	3,932	\$ -
	PTEE	61	708	709	\$ 106,091	PHV	61	711	712	\$ 106,091	0	3	3	\$ -
	RP1A	1	1	99	\$ 37,801	RP1	1	1	99	\$ 37,801	0	0	0	\$ -
	SLPA	54	2,520	41,087	\$ 1,950,213	STAA	54	2,345	49,096	\$ 2,295,063	0	-175	8,009	\$ 344,851
	SPEA	148	9,704	248,649	\$ 15,183,458	STA	148	9,381	250,728	\$ 14,838,607	0	-323	2,079	\$ (344,851)
	SPEE	119	1,983	2,013	\$ 308,353	SPV	120	1,995	2,025	\$ 308,353	1	12	12	\$ -
	SPEG	-	-	-	\$ -	Eliminated								\$ -
			1,448,752	\$ 63,616,592				1,474,057	\$ 63,616,592			25,305	\$ 0	

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2013

	Old Service Code					New Service Code					Difference			
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Provider	Users	Units	Payments	
Employment	CBEA	25	1,263	1,192,219	\$ 5,654,308	CBE	25	1,263	1,192,219	\$ 5,654,308	0	0	0	\$ -
	ESAA	37	183	13,159	\$ 210,617	ESA	37	183	13,159	\$ 210,617	0	0	0	\$ -
	GSEA	63	1,599	1,247,238	\$ 14,984,650	GSE	63	1,599	1,247,238	\$ 14,984,650	0	0	0	\$ -
	ISEA	27	76	3,546	\$ 86,612	ISE	27	76	3,546	\$ 86,612	0	0	0	\$ -
			2,456,161	\$ 20,936,187				2,456,161	\$ 20,936,187			0	\$ -	
Spec. Hab.	HBMA	10	1,466	11,035	\$ 703,562	HBM	11	547	12,007	\$ 622,160	1	-919	972	\$ (81,402)
	HAHB	11	558	17,314	\$ 804,827	HBB	7	248	5,309	\$ 182,756	-4	-310	-12,005	\$ (622,071)
	HAHM	19	1,150	30,757	\$ 532,707	HAM	19	1,151	31,139	\$ 532,707	0	1	383	\$ -
	HCHA	2	2	30	\$ 527	HCH	2	2	30	\$ 527	0	0	0	\$ -
						ECM								
			59,136	\$ 2,041,622				48,486	\$ 1,338,150			-10,651	\$ (703,472)	
Transportation	TRND	138	3,944	928,300	\$ 8,389,969	TRA	134	4,140	1,052,319	\$ 9,481,787	-4	196	124,019	\$ 1,091,818
	TREA	55	739	184,297	\$ 1,853,261	TRE					-55	-739	-184,297	\$ (1,853,261)
	TRNI	12	11	3,785	\$ 34,894	TRI	12	11	3,785	\$ 34,894	0	0	0	\$ -
	TRNO	5	21	1,290	\$ 47,867	TRO	5	21	1,290	\$ 47,867	0	0	0	\$ -
	TRNR	29	210	45,467	\$ 569,702	TRR	35	377	105,745	\$ 1,331,145	6	167	60,278	\$ 761,443
				1,163,139	\$ 10,895,692				1,163,139	\$ 10,895,692			0	\$ -
Other Services	CMGS	1	24	493	\$ 19,899	CMG	1	24	493	\$ 19,899	0	0	0	\$ -
	CPGV	-	-	-	-									
	OTHA	69	2,473	50,510	\$ 17,488,745	OTH	54	3,622	28,557	\$ 4,129,914	-15	1,149	-21,953	\$ (13,358,831)
	OTHA					ICFQV	1	41	12,224	\$ 11,031,188	1	41	12,224	\$ 11,031,188
	OTHA					NFX	23	67	14,260	\$ 2,641,816	23	67	14,260	\$ 2,641,816
	PCPA	-	-	-	-	PCP								
			Data Excluded		ICFSO	1	113	37,797	\$ -			37,797		
			51,002	\$ 17,508,644				92,838	\$ 17,822,817			42,328	\$ 314,173	
TOTAL	706	26,751	30,470,233	\$ 696,681,674	TOTAL	706	26,791	30,585,451	\$ 696,681,386			-17,968	\$ (288)	
										SO Totals		74,612		

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2012

	Old Service Code					New Service Code					Difference			
		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Provider	Users	Units	Payments
In-Home - Qual Vendor	ATTR	164	3,276	3,213,406	\$ 44,536,823	ATCQV	165	3,215	3,125,468	\$ 43,310,038	1	-61	-87,937	\$ (1,226,785)
	HAHR	184	9,044	3,754,650	\$ 66,495,177	HAHQV	186	9,030	3,756,419	\$ 66,524,588	2	-14	1,770	\$ 29,411
	HAID	44	286	93,384	\$ 19,835,447	HIDQV	45	287	93,720	\$ 19,885,157	1	1	336	\$ 49,710
	HAIR	43	199	282,146	\$ 4,953,520	HAIQV	42	198	281,856	\$ 4,948,378	-1	-1	-290	\$ (5,142)
	HPHA	1	1	1,855	\$ 32,972	HPHQV	1	1	1,855	\$ 32,972	0	0	0	\$ -
	HSKR	22	57	7,338	\$ 94,123	HSKQV	22	57	7,338	\$ 94,123	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSDR	65	370	2,898	\$ 474,583	RSDQV	66	374	2,979	\$ 488,014	1	4	81	\$ 13,431
	RSPR	210	13,224	5,456,414	\$ 73,313,426	RSPQV	214	12,944	5,403,100	\$ 72,655,562	4	-280	-53,313	\$ (657,864)
			12,812,089	\$ 209,736,071				12,672,735	\$ 207,938,832			-139,354	\$ (1,797,239)	
In-Home - Ind. Prov.	ATTN	3	687	747,407	\$ 7,501,847	ATCIP	1	721	835,344	\$ 8,728,633	-2	34	87,937	\$ 1,226,785
	HAHN	4	617	243,940	\$ 3,396,854	HAHIP	1	597	242,170	\$ 3,367,442	-3	-20	-1,770	\$ (29,411)
	HAIN	1	5	1,561	\$ 16,599	HAIIIP	1	5	1,851	\$ 21,740	0	0	290	\$ 5,142
	HIDN	0	0	0	\$ -	HIDIP								
	HSKN	1	11	2,888	\$ 24,648	HSKIP	1	11	2,888	\$ 24,648	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSDN	1	5	81	\$ 13,431	RSDIP					-1	-5	-81	\$ (13,431)
	RSPN	6	1,360	560,859	\$ 5,945,757	RSPIP	1	1,490	614,156	\$ 6,603,455	-5	130	53,297	\$ 657,698
			1,556,735	\$ 16,899,135				1,696,409	\$ 18,745,919			139,674	\$ 1,846,783	
Day Treatment	DTAA	147	5,836	6,267,290	\$ 58,071,740	DTA	140	4,963	5,860,164	\$ 53,653,187	-7	-873	-407,126	\$ (4,418,552)
	DSIA	21	3,196	67,645	\$ 4,893,891	DHI	24	3,336	70,867	\$ 5,134,763	3	140	3,222	\$ 240,872
	DHIA	4	140	3,222	\$ 240,899						-4	-140	-3,222	\$ (240,899)
	DTAI	98	896	949,708	\$ 16,088,684	DTI	122	1,512	1,391,581	\$ 21,287,990	24	616	441,873	\$ 5,199,306
	DTCA	131	3,123	439,684	\$ 4,402,450	DTC	83	2,292	381,915	\$ 3,382,574	-48	-831	-57,769	\$ (1,019,877)
	DTSA										0	0	0	\$ -
				Data Excluded		DTASO	1	13	20,104	\$ -	1	13	20,104	\$ -
	DTAR	14	118	37,881	\$ 398,505	DTR	18	180	155,373	\$ 1,572,260	4	62	117,492	\$ 1,173,755
			7,765,430	\$ 84,096,170				7,880,004	\$ 85,030,774			114,574	\$ 934,604	
Residential	DHSA	91	154	50,147	\$ 2,559,053	HAA	91	154	50,147	\$ 2,559,053	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHSC	19	29	7,183	\$ 439,867	HAC	19	29	7,183	\$ 439,867	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHVA	31	966	301,059	\$ 28,843,759	HBA	31	968	301,059	\$ 28,843,759	0	2	0	\$ -
	DHVC	22	340	84,232	\$ 8,270,370	HBC	22	342	84,232	\$ 8,270,370	0	2	0	\$ -
	HABA	88	2,624	869,110	\$ 183,583,883	HABQV	88	2,624	869,110	\$ 183,583,883	0	0	0	\$ -
	HANA	6	67	20,509	\$ 7,649,774	HAN	6	67	20,509	\$ 7,649,774	0	0	0	\$ -
	HPDA	15	27	8,481	\$ 2,805,564	HPD	15	27	8,481	\$ 2,805,564	0	0	0	\$ -
				Data Excluded		HABSO	1	64	19,556	\$ -	0	6	1,324	\$ -
	RRBB	84	2,493	850,251	\$ 17,878,868	RRBQV	88	2,587	882,581	\$ 18,521,668	4	94	32,330	\$ 642,801
	RRBA	50	238	4,312	\$ 82,320						-50	-238	-4,312	\$ (82,320)
	RRBN	6	65	20,452	\$ 367,684						-6	-65	-20,452	\$ (367,684)
	RRBP	15	27	8,703	\$ 206,207						-15	-27	-8,703	\$ (206,207)
	RRBV	31	950	298,634	\$ 3,634,376	RBD	129	1,431	435,370	\$ 5,244,479	98	481	136,736	\$ 1,610,103
	RRBW	22	329	83,370	\$ 1,014,611						-22	-329	-83,370	\$ (1,014,611)
	RRBS	82	142	46,279	\$ 516,912						-82	-142	-46,279	\$ (516,912)
RRBT	18	25	5,950	\$ 65,170						-18	-25	-5,950	\$ (65,170)	
*RBBSO Contains Data Within RRBX' coding				\$ -	RRBSO	1	47	15,400	\$ -	1	47	15,400	\$ 0	
			2,658,672	\$ 257,918,417				2,693,628	\$ 257,918,417			34,956	\$ -	
Professional	HHAA	1	1	12	\$ 205	HHAA	1	1	12	\$ 205				
	NURC	14	182	33,925	\$ 1,211,532	NURC	14	571	819,476	\$ 27,411,070	0	389	785,550	\$ 26,199,538
	NURS	14	566	812,916	\$ 27,411,070	NURI	14	182	33,925	\$ 1,211,532	0	-384	-778,991	\$ (26,199,538)
	NURV					NURV					0	0	0	\$ -
	OCCA	105	6,886	162,810	\$ 9,929,387	OTA	105	6,928	168,069	\$ 9,929,387	0	42	5,259	\$ -
	OCEE	82	1,616	1,627	\$ 251,973	OCV	82	1,617	1,628	\$ 251,973	0	1	1	\$ -
	PHYA	94	4,519	101,933	\$ 6,091,825	PTA	94	4,550	105,678	\$ 6,091,825	0	31	3,745	\$ -
	PTEE	61	555	558	\$ 84,524	PHV	74	819	823	\$ 125,989	13	264	265	\$ 41,465
	RP1A	1	1	97	\$ 37,310	RP1	1	1	97	\$ 37,310	0	0	0	\$ -
	SLPA					STAA	46	1,884	36,394	\$ 1,653,587	46	1,884	36,394	\$ 1,653,587
	SPEA	148	9,966	273,254	\$ 16,348,288	STA	148	9,565	246,706	\$ 14,694,702	0	-401	-26,548	\$ (1,653,587)
	SPEE	112	2,043	2,082	\$ 322,339	SPV	112	2,059	2,098	\$ 322,339	0	16	16	\$ -
SPEG				\$ -	Eliminated									
			1,389,215	\$ 61,688,453				1,414,905	\$ 61,729,918			25,690	\$ 41,465	

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2012

	Old Service Code				New Service Code				Difference					
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Provider	Users	Units	Payments		
Employment	CBEA	25	1,205	1,169,829	\$ 5,591,307	CBE	25	1,205	1,169,829	\$ 5,591,307	0	0	0	\$ -
	ESAA	37	187	14,303	\$ 233,334	ESA	37	187	14,303	\$ 233,334	0	0	0	\$ -
	GSEA	57	1,370	1,120,142	\$ 12,985,699	GSE	57	1,370	1,120,142	\$ 12,985,699	0	0	0	\$ -
	ISEA	27	89	4,736	\$ 117,320	ISE	27	89	4,736	\$ 117,320	0	0	0	\$ -
			2,309,010	\$ 18,927,660				2,309,010	\$ 18,927,660				0	\$ -
Spec. Hab.	HBMA	59	657		\$ 637,248	HBM	9	378		\$ 460,397	-50	-279		\$ (176,851)
				13,047					8,873				-4,173	
	HAHB					HBB	5	176		\$ 135,386	5	176	3,910	\$ 135,386
	HAHM	22	1,219	32,930	\$ 571,539	HAM	22	1,219	33,104	\$ 571,539	0	0	174	\$ -
	HCHA	3	3	69	\$ 1,219	HCH	3	3	69	\$ 1,219	0	0	0	\$ -
					ECM					0	0	0	\$ -	
			46,045	\$ 1,210,006				45,956	\$ 1,168,541				-89	\$ (41,465)
Transportation	TRND	127	3,546	893,118	\$ 8,255,449	TRA	123	3,675	952,620	\$ 8,616,504	-4	129	59,502	\$ 361,056
	TREA	51	602	159,373	\$ 1,625,991	TRE					-51	-602	-159,373	\$ (1,625,991)
	TRNI	14	12	4,559	\$ 42,210	TRI	14	12	4,559	\$ 42,210	0	0	0	\$ -
	TRNO	3	20	1,612	\$ 56,770	TRO	3	20	1,612	\$ 56,770	0	0	0	\$ -
	TRNR					TRR	33	359	99,871	\$ 1,264,935	33	359	99,871	\$ 1,264,935
			1,058,662	\$ 9,980,420				1,058,662	\$ 9,980,420				0	\$ -
Other Services	CMGS	1	29	487	\$ 20,023	CMG	1	29	487	\$ 20,023	0	0	0	\$ -
	CPGV	0	0	0	\$ -									
	OTHA	97	2,668	53,441	\$ 17,548,103	OTH	69	2,569	25,460	\$ 3,715,145	-28	-99	-27,981	\$ (13,832,958)
	OTHA					ICFQV	1	44	12,449	\$ 11,100,885	1	44	12,449	\$ 11,100,885
	OTHA					NFX	26	61	15,454	\$ 2,682,363	26	61	15,454	\$ 2,682,363
	PCPA	0	0	0	\$ -	PCP					0	0	0	\$ -
			Data Excluded		ICFSO	1	117	39,970	\$ -	1	117	39,970	\$ -	
			91,725	\$ 17,568,126				93,820	\$ 17,518,416				39,892	\$ (49,710)
TOTAL	738	26,384	29,687,584	\$ 678,024,458	TOTAL	735	26,414	29,865,130	\$ 678,958,896				215,343	\$ 934,438
										SO Totals			56,694	

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2011

	Old Service Code				New Service Code				Difference					
		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Provider	Users	Units	Payments
In-Home - Qual Vendor	ATTR	156	3,070	3,036,210	\$ 43,638,341	ATCQV	156	3,000	2,928,078	\$ 42,073,144	0	-70	-108,132	\$ (1,565,197)
	HAHR	183	8,536	3,639,304	\$ 66,907,216	HAHQV	185	8,461	3,606,332	\$ 66,301,086	2	-75	-32,972	\$ (606,130)
	HAID	43	294	95,059	\$ 21,060,054	HIDQV	43	295	95,283	\$ 21,083,749	0	1	224	\$ 23,696
	HAIR	41	195	283,654	\$ 5,207,850	HAIQV	40	195	282,661	\$ 5,189,310	-1	0	-993	\$ (18,539)
	HPHA	1	1	1,659	\$ 30,658	HPHQV	1	1	1,659	\$ 30,658	0	0	0	\$ -
	HSKR	28	66	8,009	\$ 106,844	HSKQV	28	66	7,942	\$ 105,950	0	0	-67	\$ (894)
	RSDR	65	331	2,809	\$ 472,963	RSDQV	65	320	2,730	\$ 459,710	0	-11	-79	\$ (13,253)
	RSPR	204	12,421	5,551,706	\$ 77,250,685	RSPQV	208	12,015	5,422,190	\$ 75,530,969	4	-406	-129,517	\$ (1,719,716)
			12,618,409	\$ 214,674,610				12,346,874	\$ 210,774,576			-271,535	\$ (3,900,034)	
In-Home - Ind. Prov.	ATTN	4	740	814,769	\$ 8,483,185	ATCIP	1	784	904,816	\$ 9,798,774	-3	44	90,047	\$ 1,315,589
	HAHN	4	680	277,586	\$ 3,925,347	HAHIP	1	689	290,898	\$ 4,172,751	-3	9	13,311	\$ 247,404
	HAIN	1	4	820	\$ 6,425	HAIP	1	4	973	\$ 9,282	0	0	153	\$ 2,857
	HIDN					HIDIP					0	0	0	\$ -
	HSKN	1	13	3,702	\$ 31,506	HSKIP	1	13	3,669	\$ 31,223	0	0	-33	\$ (283)
	RSDN	2	3	20	\$ 2,987	RSDIP	1	1	3	\$ 37	-1	-2	-17	\$ (2,950)
	RSPN	6	1,416	565,673	\$ 6,182,931	RSPIP	1	1,586	648,519	\$ 7,275,728	-5	170	82,846	\$ 1,092,798
			1,662,569	\$ 18,632,381				1,848,877	\$ 21,287,795			186,307	\$ 2,655,414	
Day Treatment	DTAA	137	4,618	5,509,462	\$ 52,410,813	DTA	137	4,611	5,500,563	\$ 52,326,448	0	-7	-8,899	\$ (84,365)
	DSIA	22	3,872	78,327	\$ 5,807,419	DHI	25	3,983	80,263	\$ 5,954,676	3	111	1,936	\$ 147,257
	DHIA	4	171	3,157	\$ 240,656						-4	-171	-3,157	\$ (240,656)
	DTAI	103	992	1,186,333	\$ 18,508,357	DTI	118	1,395	1,251,131	\$ 19,588,625	15	403	64,798	\$ 1,080,267
	DTCA	91	2,506	460,145	\$ 4,736,633	DTC	84	2,127	375,412	\$ 3,428,986	-7	-379	-84,733	\$ (1,307,647)
	DTSA										0	0	0	\$ -
				Data Excluded		DTASO	1	13	21,254	\$ -	1	13	21,254	\$ -
	DTAR	12	131	145,951	\$ 1,535,402	DTR	12	131	145,455	\$ 1,530,181	0	0	-496	\$ (5,221)
			7,383,375	\$ 83,239,279				7,374,078	\$ 82,828,916			-9,296	\$ (410,364)	
Residential	DHSA	108	174	55,533	\$ 2,904,973	HAA	107	173	55,079	\$ 2,889,057	-1	-1	-454	\$ (15,916)
	DHSC	25	38	12,129	\$ 749,216	HAC	25	38	12,012	\$ 742,952	0	0	-117	\$ (6,265)
	DHVA	29	849	268,738	\$ 26,691,436	HBA	29	844	267,411	\$ 26,560,238	0	-5	-1,327	\$ (131,198)
	DHVC	21	392	98,949	\$ 10,140,254	HBC	21	385	97,072	\$ 9,949,829	0	-7	-1,877	\$ (190,426)
	HABA	88	2,585	867,215	\$ 185,895,093	HABQV	88	2,582	865,989	\$ 185,645,384	0	-3	-1,226	\$ (249,709)
	HANA	5	62	18,419	\$ 7,064,520	HAN	5	62	18,419	\$ 7,064,520	0	0	0	\$ -
	HPDA	14	32	10,387	\$ 3,729,200	HPD	14	32	10,291	\$ 3,697,032	0	0	-96	\$ (32,168)
				Data Excluded		HABSO	1	71	20,517	\$ -	0	13	2,285	\$ -
	RRBB	84	2,449	844,465	\$ 17,715,762	RRBQV			875,103	\$ 18,349,781			30,638	\$ 634,019
	RRBA	49	135	3,838	\$ 68,038								-3,838	\$ (68,038)
	RRBN	5	62	18,584	\$ 344,918								-18,584	\$ (344,918)
	RRBP	14	32	10,579	\$ 258,185								-10,579	\$ (258,185)
	RRBV	29	845	271,390	\$ 3,302,816	RBD	144	1,373	424,961	\$ 5,107,790			153,571	\$ 1,804,974
	RRBW	22	358	93,305	\$ 1,135,522								-93,305	\$ (1,135,522)
RRBS	97	161	51,519	\$ 576,028								-51,519	\$ (576,028)	
RRBT	24	34	10,695	\$ 117,186								-10,695	\$ (117,186)	
			*RRBSO Contains Data Within RRB'X' coding	\$ -	RRBSO	1	56	16,216	\$ -	1	56	16,216	\$ -	
			2,635,744	\$ 260,693,148				2,663,069	\$ 260,006,583			9,093	\$ (686,565)	
Professional	HHAA					HHA					0	0	0	\$ -
	NURC	13	165	27,496	\$ 1,004,270	NURC	14	545	821,353	\$ 28,579,641	1	380	793,857	\$ 27,575,371
	NURS	14	545	821,492	\$ 28,758,688	NURI	13	165	27,190	\$ 992,879	-1	-380	-794,302	\$ (27,765,809)
	NURV					NURV					0	0	0	\$ -
	OCCA	120	6,825	162,273	\$ 10,210,217	OTA	119	6,810	163,772	\$ 10,003,881	-1	-15	1,498	\$ (206,335)
	OCEE	93	1,706	1,716	\$ 272,337	OCV	93	1,649	1,656	\$ 262,783	0	-57	-60	\$ (9,553)
	PHYA	94	4,636	104,321	\$ 6,508,707	PTA	95	4,640	106,299	\$ 6,394,734	1	4	1,978	\$ (113,972)
	PTEE	73	943	943	\$ 149,247	PHV	72	909	909	\$ 144,302	-1	-34	-34	\$ (4,944)
	RP1A					RP1	1	1	88	\$ 35,175	1	1	88	\$ 35,175
	SLPA					STAA	26	891	16,044	\$ 706,243	26	891	16,044	\$ 706,243
	SPEA	157	9,265	244,198	\$ 15,076,896	STA	156	9,023	227,419	\$ 14,053,121	-1	-242	-16,779	\$ (1,023,776)
	SPEE	117	2,154	2,180	\$ 346,835	SPV	117	2,092	2,116	\$ 335,583	0	-62	-64	\$ (11,252)
								Eliminated						
			1,364,618	\$ 62,327,196				1,366,844	\$ 61,508,343			2,226	\$ (818,853)	

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2011

	Old Service Code				New Service Code				Difference					
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Provider	Users	Units	Payments		
Employment	CBEA	27	1,158	1,147,599	\$ 5,700,568	CBE	27	1,156	1,146,948	\$ 5,697,340	0	-2	-651	\$ (3,228)
	ESAA	39	188	14,179	\$ 236,286	ESA	39	187	14,102	\$ 235,004	0	-1	-77	\$ (1,282)
	GSEA	55	1,210	1,040,627	\$ 11,814,366	GSE	55	1,204	1,039,812	\$ 11,803,749	0	-6	-815	\$ (10,617)
	ISEA	29	112	6,076	\$ 156,408	ISE	28	109	6,025	\$ 155,095	-1	-3	-51	\$ (1,313)
			2,208,480	\$ 17,907,628			2,206,886	\$ 17,891,188				-1,593	\$ (16,440)	
Spec. Hab.	HBMA	11	294	7,463	\$ 361,647	HBM	10	276	5,080	\$ 274,307	-1	-18	-2,383	\$ (87,341)
	HAHB					HBB	7	119	2,281	\$ 82,107	7	119	2,281	\$ 82,107
	HAHM	22	1,687	47,747	\$ 867,608	HAM	22	1,685	47,478	\$ 862,630	0	-2	-269	\$ (4,978)
	HCHA	6	16	188	\$ 3,428	HCH	6	16	171	\$ 3,124	0	0	-17	\$ (304)
						ECM					0	0	0	\$ -
			55,398	\$ 1,232,683			55,010	\$ 1,222,168				-3,575	\$ (43,395)	
Transportation	TRND	122	3,247	806,726	\$ 7,696,040	TRA	115	3,290	856,973	\$ 8,014,051	-7	43	50,247	\$ 318,012
	TREA	50	509	142,990	\$ 1,509,034	TRE					-50	-509	-142,990	\$ (1,509,034)
	TRNI	16	15	5,274	\$ 45,812	TRI	16	15	5,142	\$ 44,460	0	0	-132	\$ (1,352)
	TRNO	2	19	2,148	\$ 79,486	TRO	2	19	2,104	\$ 76,973	0	0	-44	\$ (2,512)
	TRNR					TRR	36	316	86,825	\$ 1,135,664	36	316	86,825	\$ 1,135,664
			957,137	\$ 9,330,372			951,043	\$ 9,271,149				-6,094	\$ (59,223)	
Other Services	CMGS	1	17	289	\$ 12,181	CMG	1	17	289	\$ 12,181	0	0	0	\$ -
	CPGV													
	OTHA	164	3,052	77,103	\$ 17,342,939	OTH	136	2,948	49,437	\$ 4,157,580	-28	-104	-27,665	\$ (13,185,359)
	OTHA					ICFQV	1	50	12,511	\$ 10,801,484	1	50	12,511	\$ 10,801,484
	OTHA					NFX	22	53	13,651	\$ 2,018,038	22	53	13,651	\$ 2,018,038
	PCPA					PCP					0	0	0	\$ -
			Data Excluded		ICFSO	1	124	42,175	\$ -	1	124	42,175	\$ -	
			115,188	\$ 17,355,120			118,063	\$ 16,989,282				40,672	\$ (365,838)	
TOTAL	803	25,740	29,000,918	\$ 685,392,418	TOTAL	803	25,675	28,930,744	\$ 681,780,001				-53,795	\$ (3,645,297)
										SO Total			81,930	

* Note - It appears that in the original processing of SFY 2011 data, the May 2012 file was used rather than the August 2011 for processing.

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2010

	Old Service Code				New Service Code				Difference					
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments		
In-Home - Qual Vendor	ATTR	149	2,921	2,909,216	\$ 41,771,455	ATCQV	148	2,833	2,797,685	\$ 40,156,639	-1	-88	-111,530	\$ (1,614,816)
	HAHR	180	8,065	3,525,501	\$ 64,756,186	HAHQV	180	7,958	3,488,826	\$ 64,086,093	0	-107	-36,675	\$ (670,093)
	HAID	40	291	92,173	\$ 20,715,444	HIDQV	42	292	94,811	\$ 20,770,194	2	1	2,638	\$ 54,750
	HAIR	39	206	288,882	\$ 5,295,343	HAIQV	38	205	288,473	\$ 5,287,707	-1	-1	-409	\$ (7,636)
	HPHA	3	5	5,455	\$ 105,035	HPHQV	3	5	5,455	\$ 105,035	0	0	0	\$ -
	HSKR	26	64	9,256	\$ 123,478	HSKQV	26	64	9,256	\$ 123,478	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSDR	65	326	2,799	\$ 478,097	RSDQV	65	326	2,799	\$ 478,097	0	0	0	\$ -
RSPR	200	11,404	4,984,376	\$ 69,300,490	RSPQV	201	10,920	4,857,846	\$ 67,628,745	1	-484	-126,530	\$ (1,671,745)	
			11,817,657	\$ 202,545,527				11,545,150	\$ 198,635,987			-272,507	\$ (3,909,540)	
In-Home - Ind. Prov.	ATTN	2	787	886,643	\$ 9,151,189	ATCIP	1	845	998,173	\$ 10,766,005	-1	58	111,530	\$ 1,614,816
	HAHN	2	717	319,956	\$ 4,392,314	HAHIP	1	787	356,625	\$ 5,062,337	-1	70	36,669	\$ 670,023
	HAIN	1	7	1,874	\$ 14,560	HAIP	1	7	2,283	\$ 22,196	0	0	409	\$ 7,636
	HIDN					HIDIP					0	0	0	\$ -
	HSKN	1	14	4,207	\$ 35,878	HSKIP	1	14	4,207	\$ 35,878	0	0	0	\$ -
	RSDN					RSDIP					0	0	0	\$ -
	RSPN	3	1,452	584,311	\$ 6,145,692	RSPIP	1	1,761	710,841	\$ 7,817,437	-2	309	126,530	\$ 1,671,745
			1,796,991	\$ 19,739,633				2,072,129	\$ 23,703,853			275,139	\$ 3,964,220	
Day Treatment	DTAA	123	4,368	5,127,189	\$ 48,872,459	DTA	123	4,368	5,127,189	\$ 48,872,459	0	0	0	\$ -
	DSIA	25	4,060	82,013	\$ 6,138,604	DH1	29	4,298	86,772	\$ 6,475,098	4	238	4,760	\$ 336,494
	DH1A	6	361	4,710	\$ 336,494						-6	-361	-4,710	\$ (336,494)
	DTAI	98	948	1,127,572	\$ 17,325,371	DTI	112	1,279	1,181,463	\$ 18,221,621	14	331	53,891	\$ 896,251
	DTCA	81	2,157	408,052	\$ 4,130,179	DTC	78	1,929	354,161	\$ 3,233,928	-3	-228	-53,891	\$ (896,251)
	DTSA										0	0	0	\$ -
				Data Excluded		DTASO	1	13	21,395	\$ -	1	13	21,395	\$ -
DTAR	14	171	156,656	\$ 1,644,918	DTR	14	171	156,656	\$ 1,644,918	0	0	0	\$ -	
			6,906,191	\$ 78,448,025				6,927,636	\$ 78,448,025			21,445	\$ (0)	
Residential	DHSA	128	207	64,077	\$ 3,274,357	HAA	128	207	64,077	\$ 3,274,357	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHSC	38	58	16,605	\$ 1,080,400	HAC	38	58	16,605	\$ 1,080,400	0	0	0	\$ -
	DHVA	27	739	222,097	\$ 22,045,923	HBA	27	739	222,112	\$ 22,047,405	0	0	15	\$ 1,482
	DHVC	21	442	115,992	\$ 11,861,228	HBC	21	442	115,993	\$ 11,861,328	0	0	1	\$ 101
	HABA	90	2,600	857,670	\$ 182,051,376	HABQV	90	2,600	857,671	\$ 182,051,576	0	0	1	\$ 199
	HANA	5	64	17,587	\$ 6,807,760	HAN	5	64	17,587	\$ 6,807,760	0	0	0	\$ -
	HPDA	17	35	11,257	\$ 4,341,768	HPD	17	35	11,257	\$ 4,341,768	0	0	0	\$ -
				Data Excluded		HABSO	1	77	20,484	\$ -	0	19	2,252	\$ -
	RRBB	86	2,463	835,741	\$ 17,589,653	RRBQV	89	2,562	868,231	\$ 18,295,753	3	99	32,490	\$ 706,100
	RRBA	52	151	5,254	\$ 101,326						-52	-151	-5,254	\$ (101,326)
	RRBN	5	64	17,843	\$ 340,786						-5	-64	-17,843	\$ (340,786)
	RRBP	17	35	11,596	\$ 295,429						-17	-35	-11,596	\$ (295,429)
	RRBV	27	713	219,045	\$ 2,665,778	RBD	175	1,356	410,459	\$ 4,921,328	148	643	191,414	\$ 2,255,550
	RRBW	22	427	114,698	\$ 1,395,875						-22	-427	-114,698	\$ (1,395,875)
RRBS	119	194	59,362	\$ 662,485						-119	-194	-59,362	\$ (662,485)	
RRBT	37	53	15,134	\$ 165,524						-37	-53	-15,134	\$ (165,524)	
			*RRBSO Contains Data Within RRBX' coding	\$ -	RRBSO	1	63	16,267	\$ -	1	63	16,267	\$ -	
			2,583,958	\$ 254,679,668				2,620,743	\$ 254,681,675			36,785	\$ 2,007	
Professional	HHAA					HHAA					0	0	0	\$ -
	NURC	11	184	24,412	\$ 886,908	NURC	14	514	765,528	\$ 26,597,233	3	330	741,116	\$ 25,710,326
	NURS	14	514	764,872	\$ 26,597,233	NURI	11	184	24,430	\$ 886,908	-3	-330	-740,442	\$ (25,710,326)
	NURV					NURV					0	0	0	\$ -
	OCCA	121	6,472	154,343	\$ 9,913,657	OTA	121	6,551	159,539	\$ 9,913,657	0	79	5,196	\$ -
	OCEE	98	1,397	1,404	\$ 225,232	OCV	98	1,400	1,407	\$ 225,232	0	3	3	\$ -
	PHYA	97	4,558	102,309	\$ 6,520,438	PTA	97	4,605	106,850	\$ 6,520,438	0	47	4,542	\$ -
	PTEE	84	981	984	\$ 157,249	PHV	84	983	986	\$ 157,249	0	2	2	\$ -
	RPIA					RP1	1	1	95	\$ 33,786	1	1	95	\$ 33,786
	SLPA					STAA					0	0	0	\$ -
	SPEA	162	8,195	211,919	\$ 13,401,093	STA	162	8,234	216,095	\$ 13,401,093	0	39	4,176	\$ -
	SPEE	122	1,737	1,752	\$ 281,953	SPV	122	1,751	1,766	\$ 281,953	0	14	14	\$ -
SPEG								Eliminated						
			1,261,993	\$ 57,983,763				1,276,695	\$ 58,017,549			14,702	\$ 33,786	

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2010

	Old Service Code				New Service Code				Difference					
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Provider	Users	Units	Payments		
Employment	CBEA	25	1,189	1,169,950	\$ 5,814,015	CBE	25	1,189	1,169,950	\$ 5,814,015	0	0	0	\$ -
	ESAA	42	266	17,247	\$ 285,237	ESA	42	266	17,247	\$ 285,237	0	0	0	\$ -
	GSEA	51	1,189	1,024,240	\$ 11,167,596	GSE	51	1,189	1,024,240	\$ 11,167,596	0	0	0	\$ -
	ISEA	29	118	6,990	\$ 179,979	ISE	30	181	7,808	\$ 179,979	1	63	818	\$ -
				2,218,427	\$ 17,446,827					2,219,245	\$ 17,446,827	818	\$ -	
Spec. Hab.	HBMA	37	288	5,541	\$ 312,717	HBM	8	174	3,642	\$ 196,647	-29	-114	-1,899	\$ (116,070)
	HAHB					HBB	5	70	1,075	\$ 38,691	5	70	1,075	\$ 38,691
	HAHM	28	2,664	85,869	\$ 2,718,516	HAM	28	2,664	85,869	\$ 2,718,516	0	0	0	\$ -
	HCHA	10	52	1,066	\$ 22,431	HCH	11	53	1,072	\$ 22,501	1	1	6	\$ 70
						ECM					0	0	0	\$ -
				92,475	\$ 3,053,663					91,657	\$ 2,976,354	-818	\$ (77,309)	
Transportation	TRND	113	2,863	724,383	\$ 6,946,146	TRA	111	3,019	769,630	\$ 7,212,289	-2	156	45,247	\$ 266,143
	TREA	46	481	132,377	\$ 1,405,803	TRE					-46	-481	-132,377	\$ (1,405,803)
	TRNI	21	19	5,186	\$ 48,861	TRI	21	19	5,186	\$ 48,861	0	0	0	\$ -
	TRNO	4	35	2,353	\$ 81,685	TRO	4	35	2,353	\$ 81,685	0	0	0	\$ -
	TRNR					TRR	36	347	87,130	\$ 1,139,660	36	347	87,130	\$ 1,139,660
					864,299	\$ 8,482,496					864,299	\$ 8,482,496	0	\$ -
Other Services	CMGS	6	383	9,470	\$ 273,575	CMG	6	383	9,470	\$ 273,575	0	0	0	\$ -
	CPGV	7	848	6,508	\$ 524,334						-7	-848	-6,508	\$ (524,334)
	OTHA	284	3,503	144,499	\$ 17,715,383	OTH	273	4,152	120,111	\$ 5,308,691	-11	649	-24,389	\$ (12,406,692)
	OTHA					ICFQV	3	57	13,719	\$ 10,317,844	3	57	13,719	\$ 10,317,844
	OTHA					NFX	24	71	17,779	\$ 2,602,036	24	71	17,779	\$ 2,602,036
	PCPA	2	4	4	\$ 1,510	PCP	2	4	4	\$ 1,510	0	0	0	\$ -
	Data Excluded					ICFSO	1	134	43,831	\$ -	0	21	6,034	\$ -
				160,481	\$ 18,514,801					204,913	\$ 18,503,657	6,635	\$ (11,144)	
TOTAL	951	25,371	27,702,472	\$ 660,894,403	TOTAL	951	25,436	27,822,468	\$ 660,896,422			82,199	\$ 2,020	
											SO Totals	45,948	\$ -	

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2009

	Old Service Code				New Service Code				Difference						
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments			
In-Home - Qual Vendor	ATTR	139	2,821	2,839,557	\$44,804,805	ATCQV	138	2,724	2,720,623	\$42,936,289	-1	-97	-118,934	-\$1,868,516	
	HAHR	167	7,507	3,297,138	\$66,556,171	HAHQV	166	7,363	3,243,619	\$65,486,998	-1	-144	-53,519	-\$1,069,173	
	HAID	40	284	91,248	\$23,106,371	HIDQV	43	293	92,581	\$23,159,771	3	9	1,333	\$53,400	
	HAIR	42	218	281,137	\$5,636,639	HAIQV	41	215	279,950	\$5,612,112	-1	-3	-1,187	-\$24,527	
	HPHA	4	7	8,096	\$172,989	HPHQV	4	7	8,096	\$172,989	0	0	0	\$0	
	HSKR	24	66	8,872	\$130,225	HSKQV	23	63	8,474	\$124,334	-1	-3	-398	-\$5,891	
	RSDR	66	402	3,760	\$715,803	RSDQV	66	402	3,760	\$715,803	0	0	0	\$0	
	RSPR	174	10,161	4,177,905	\$63,590,793	RSPQV	173	9,650	4,043,865	\$61,643,020	-1	-511	-134,040	-\$1,947,773	
			10,707,712	\$204,713,795				10,400,967	\$199,851,315				-306,745	-\$4,862,480	
In-Home - Ind. Prov.	ATTN	2	853	991,122	\$11,212,897	ATCIP	1	920	1,110,056	\$13,081,413	-1	67	118,934	\$1,868,516	
	HAHN	1	779	382,867	\$5,750,680	HAHIP	1	908	436,388	\$6,820,019	0	129	53,522	\$1,069,338	
	HAIN	1	8	1,869	\$19,627	HAIP	1	9	3,056	\$44,154	0	1	1,187	\$24,527	
	HIDN	1	1	19	\$2,850	HIDIP					-1	-1	-19	-\$2,850	
	HSKN	1	17	3,533	\$31,763	HSKIP	1	21	3,930	\$37,654	0	4	398	\$5,891	
	RSDN					RSDIP					0	0	0	\$0	
	RSPN	1	1,531	576,867	\$6,665,884	RSPIP	1	1,875	710,907	\$8,613,657	0	344	134,040	\$1,947,773	
			1,956,276	\$23,683,702				2,264,337	\$28,596,897				308,061	\$4,913,195	
Day Treatment	DTAA	116	4,037	4,699,678	\$49,283,277	DTA	116	4,037	4,699,678	\$49,283,277	0	0	0	\$0	
	DSIA	28	3,777	79,248	\$6,243,465	DHI	31	4,266	89,321	\$ 6,931,928	3	489	10,073	\$688,463	
	DHIA	6	484	9,935	\$688,463						-6	-484	-9,935	-\$688,463	
	DTAI	94	1,016	1,162,250	\$19,076,629	DTI	94	1,016	1,162,250	\$19,076,629	0	0	0	\$0	
	DTCA	79	2,309	437,107	\$4,945,609	DTC	79	2,309	437,107	\$ 4,945,609	0	0	0	\$0	
	DTSA										0	0	0	\$0	
				Data Excluded		DTASO	1	13	7,519	\$0	1	13	7,519	\$0	
	DTAR	17	192	152,395	\$1,752,136	DTR	17	192	152,395	\$1,752,136	0	0	0	\$0	
			6,540,612	\$81,989,579				6,548,269	\$81,989,579				7,657	\$0	
Residential	DHSA	146	257	77,760	\$4,176,317	HAA	146	257	77,760	\$4,176,317	0	0	0	\$0	
	DHSC	53	82	24,705	\$1,778,192	HAC	53	82	24,705	\$1,778,192	0	0	0	\$0	
	DHVA	24	586	176,377	\$19,248,478	HBA	24	586	176,377	\$19,248,478	0	0	0	\$0	
	DHVC	20	405	102,010	\$11,501,716	HBC	20	405	102,010	\$11,501,716	0	0	0	\$0	
	HABA	88	2,569	848,497	\$195,879,599	HABQV	88	2,569	848,497	\$195,879,599	0	0	0	\$0	
	HANA	5	66	18,908	\$7,941,770	HAN	5	66	18,908	\$7,941,770	0	0	0	\$0	
	HPDA	15	37	11,507	\$5,353,136	HPD	15	37	11,507	\$5,353,136	0	0	0	\$0	
				Data Excluded		HABSO	1	47	10,522	\$0	1	47	10,522	\$0	
	RRBB	83	2,426	825,794	\$19,189,019	RRBQV	89	2,529	860,483	\$ 20,005,436	6	103	34,690	\$ 816,416.60	
	RRBA	46	153	5,061	\$106,712						-46	-153	-5,061	\$(106,712.33)	
	RRBN	5	66	19,104	\$388,765						-5	-66	-19,104	\$(388,764.76)	
	RRBP	15	35	11,523	\$338,198						-15	-35	-11,523	\$(338,197.68)	
	RRBW	20	396	101,367	\$1,356,968	RBD	206	1,234	372,509	\$ 4,876,302	186	838	271,142	\$ 3,519,334.00	
	RRBV	23	571	173,303	\$2,319,272						-23	-571	-173,303	\$(2,319,271.91)	
RRBS	134	241	72,820	\$893,074						-134	-241	-72,820	\$(893,074.30)		
RRBT	53	81	24,020	\$289,729						-53	-81	-24,020	\$(289,729.39)		
*RRBSO Contains Data Within RRB'X' coding				\$0	RRBSO	1	47	10,514	\$0	1	47	10,514	\$0		
			2,492,755	\$270,760,945				2,513,792	\$270,760,945				21,037	\$0	
Professional	HHAA	1	1	220	\$4,182	HHA	1	1	220	\$4,182	0	0	0	\$0	
	NURC	11	176	24,681	\$982,911	NURC	12	484	688,850	\$26,334,359	1	308	664,169	\$25,351,448	
	NURS	12	483	687,630	\$26,334,359	NURI	11	176	24,817	\$982,911	-1	-307	-662,813	-\$25,351,448	
	NURV					NURV					0	0	0	\$0	
	OCCA	124	6,573	147,677	\$10,473,666	OTA	125	6,604	149,778	\$10,473,666	1	31	2,100	\$0	
	OCEE	101	1,857	1,865	\$333,668	OCV	101	1,858	1,866	\$333,668	0	1	1	\$0	
	PHYA	99	4,636	100,299	\$7,066,910	PTA	99	4,667	102,893	\$7,066,910	0	31	2,594	\$0	
	PTEE	82	1,140	1,152	\$205,614	PHV	82	1,142	1,154	\$205,614	0	2	2	\$0	
	RPIA					RPI	1	1	90	\$34,204	1	1	90	\$34,204	
	SLPA					STAA					0	0	0	\$0	
	SPEA	163	7,625	190,853	\$13,429,526	STA	164	7,653	193,856	\$13,458,313	1	28	3,002	\$28,787	
	SPEE	120	1,785	1,797	\$316,455	SPV	120	1,791	1,803	\$316,455	0	6	6	\$0	
	SPEG	5	50	829	\$28,787	Eliminated								-829	-\$28,787
				1,157,002	\$59,176,078				1,165,324	\$59,210,282				8,322	\$34,204

Arizona DDD
Service Code Crosswalk
2009

	Old Service Code					New Service Code					Difference			
	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments		Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	Svc Prov	Users	Units	Payments	
Employment	CBEA	27	1,101	1,150,164	\$6,285,562	CBE	27	1,101	1,150,164	\$6,285,562	0	0	0	\$0
	ESAA	42	307	18,987	\$345,255	ESA	42	307	18,987	\$345,255	0	0	0	\$0
	GSEA	47	1,026	912,266	\$10,601,330	GSE	47	1,026	912,266	\$10,601,330	0	0	0	\$0
	ISEA	32	111	5,942	\$167,109	ISE	33	193	6,835	\$167,109	1	82	893	\$0
			2,087,359	\$17,399,256				2,088,252	\$17,399,256			893	\$0	
Spec. Hab.	HBMA	20	163	3,275	\$190,133	HBM	9	116	2,383	\$141,648	-11	-47	-893	-\$48,485
	HAHB					HBB	3	36	523	\$20,758	3	36	523	\$20,758
	HAHM	32	3,032	95,556	\$3,760,720	HAM	32	3,032	95,556	\$3,760,720	0	0	0	\$0
	HCHA	14	197	4,343	\$116,689	HCH	14	197	4,343	\$116,689	0	0	0	\$0
						ECM					0	0	0	\$0
			103,174	\$4,067,542				102,805	\$4,039,815			1,417	-\$27,727	
Transportation	TRND	109	2,800	675,922	\$7,121,893	TRA	111	3,142	794,145	\$8,496,713	2	342	118,223	\$1,374,820
	TREA	44	419	118,223	\$1,374,820	TRE					-44	-419	-118,223	-\$1,374,820
	TRNI	16	18	5,460	\$53,560	TRI	16	18	5,460	\$53,560	0	0	0	\$0
	TRNO	5	75	3,275	\$103,863	TRO	5	75	3,275	\$103,863	0	0	0	\$0
	TRNR					TRR					0	0	0	\$0
			802,880	\$8,654,136				802,880	\$8,654,136			0	\$0	
Other Services	CMGS	6	639	16,552	\$534,992	CMG	6	639	16,552	\$534,992	0	0	0	\$0
	CPGV	7	937	9,019	\$781,195						-7	-937	-9,019	-\$781,195
	OTHA	420	4,219	101,882	\$19,393,222	OTH	401	4,917	74,466	\$6,180,803	-19	698	-27,416	-\$13,212,418
	OTHA					ICFQV	4	60	15,091	\$10,840,953	4	60	15,091	\$10,840,953
	OTHA					NFX	26	80	21,284	\$3,095,479	26	80	21,284	\$3,095,479
	PCPA	6	40	41	\$17,112	PCP	6	40	41	\$17,112	0	0	0	\$0
				Data Excluded		ICFSO	1	139	44,990	\$0	1	139	44,990	\$0
			127,495	\$20,726,520				172,424	\$20,669,339			44,930	-\$57,181	
TOTAL	1,081	24,530	25,975,265	\$691,171,553	TOTAL	1,081	24,581	26,059,050	\$691,171,563			85,572	10	
										SO Totals		66,026		